Budget: \$200,000 Final Date: 1/23/2024 at 1:00 PM via Teams

Budget: \$200,000 Final Date: 1/23/2024 at 1:00 PM via Teams										
Evaluators: 1-Derek, 2-Ross, 3-Grant, 4-Harvey	Pond & Company				Wiss, Janey, Elstner Associates, Inc.					
Project Relative Weight					Average Team Score			_		Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	95.3	E1	E2	E3	E4	92.8
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal										
SMWBE Participation - Excluded										
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts								_		
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 4 pts	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.8
References, including government project examples - 4 pts	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Quality of Respondent's services - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3
Respondent's Past Performance - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	25.0	23.0	24.0	25.0	24.3	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	24.0
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts										
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned										
personnel- 15 pts	15.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.3	15.0	13.0	14.0	14.0	14.0
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	9.0	8.0
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5										
pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Bersonnel's Past Performance - 5 pts  Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	5.0 34.0	5.0 33.0	5.0 33.0	5.0 33.0	5.0 33.3	5.0 33.0	5.0 31.0	5.0 31.0	5.0	5.0 32.0
	3 1.0	33.0	33.0	33.0		33.0	31.0	31.0	33.0	
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts		I	1	l			1	1		I
The Methodology proposed to perform Port Houston projects and services 20 pts	19.0	20.0	19.0	20.0	19.5	18.0	17.0	19.0	19.0	18.3
Plan for communicating with Port Houston 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.5
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods 5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3
Plan for utilizing Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Women-Owned	-									
businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive 5 pts  Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	5.0 33.0	3.0	4.0 32.0	4.0 33.0	4.0 32.8	5.0 31.0	5.0 32.0	4.0 31.0	5.0 33.0	4.8 31.8
Total Average - Performance Fiant and Other Benefits	33.0	33.0	32.0	33.0	32.0	31.0	32.0	31.0	33.0	51.0
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts										
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity, Robustness, and Brevity of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Willingness to utilize Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Women-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVEDAGE TOTAL COORES					05.3					02.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					95.3					92.8

Budget: \$200,000 Final Date: 1/23/2024 at 1:00 PM via Teams

Budget: \$200,000 Final Date: 1/23/2024 at 1:00 PM via Teams										
Evaluators: 1-Derek, 2-Ross, 3-Grant, 4-Harvey	Consor Engineers, LLC				Kiefner and Associates, Inc.					
Project Relative Weight					Average Team Score					Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	90.0	E1	E2	E3	E4	81.3
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal										
SMWBE Participation - Excluded										
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts										
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.3
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 4 pts	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0	2.0	4.0	4.0	3.5
References, including government project examples - 4 pts	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.3	4.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Quality of Respondent's services - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.3
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.5	4.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Respondent's Past Performance - 4 pts	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.3	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	25.0	21.0	22.0	22.0	22.5	23.0	15.0	21.0	21.0	20.0
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts										
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned										
personnel- 15 pts	14.0	12.0	13.0	14.0	13.3	13.0	10.0	13.0	14.0	12.5
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	9.0	8.0	8.0	9.0	8.5	9.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	7.5
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5										
pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8
Bersonnel's Past Performance - 5 pts	5.0 33.0	4.0 29.0	4.0 30.0	4.0 32.0	4.3 31.0	5.0 31.0	4.0 24.0	4.0 30.0	4.0 31.0	4.3 29.0
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	33.0	29.0	30.0	32.0	31.0	31.0	24.0	30.0	31.0	29.0
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts				ı						
The Methodology proposed to perform Port Houston projects and services 20 pts	19.0	20.0	18.0	19.0	19.0	16.0	17.0	17.0	18.0	17.0
Plan for communicating with Port Houston 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.5
Plan for utilizing Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Women-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive 5 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.3	2.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	32.0	33.0	30.0	33.0	32.0	26.0	28.0	28.0	31.0	28.3
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts										
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives 2 pts	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.5	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3
Clarity, Robustness, and Brevity of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Willingness to utilize Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Women-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					90.0					81.3
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					90.0					81.3

Budget: \$200,000 Final Date: 1/23/2024 at 1:00 PM via Teams

Budget: \$200,000 Final Date: 1/23/2024 at 1:00 PM via Teams								
Evaluators: 1-Derek, 2-Ross, 3-Grant, 4-Harvey	EDP Engineers and Inspectors, LLC							
Project Relative Weight					Average Team Score			
	E1	E2	E3	E4	79.3			
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal								
SMWBE Participation - Excluded								
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts								
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	3.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.0			
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 4 pts	2.0	2.0	4.0	4.0	3.0			
References, including government project examples - 4 pts	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.5			
Quality of Respondent's services - 4 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0			
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the								
ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 4 pts	4.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0			
Respondent's Past Performance - 4 pts	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3			
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	17.0	16.0	21.0	21.0	18.8			
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts  Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned		l		<u> </u>				
personnel- 15 pts	12.0	10.0	13.0	14.0	12.3			
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects,								
including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10								
pts	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	7.3			
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5			
Personnel's Past Performance - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.3			
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	28.0	24.0	30.0	31.0	28.3			
D. C. D. C. 25								
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts		l I			I			
The Methodology proposed to perform Port Houston projects and services 20 pts	15.0	15.0	17.0	18.0	16.3			
Plan for communicating with Port Houston 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8			
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods 5 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0			
environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	2.0			
Plan for utilizing Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Women-Owned								
businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive 5 pts	5.0 28.0	5.0 28.0	3.0 27.0	5.0 31.0	4.5 28.5			
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	20.0	20.0	27.0	31.0	20.3			
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts								
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives 2 pts	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8			
Clarity, Robustness, and Brevity of Response, including submission of all items	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0			
required by RFQ - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			
Willingness to utilize Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Women-Owned								
businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8			
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					79.3			