Budget: \$300,000	October 6, 2020 11:00 a.m. via Webex			
Project Relative Weight	Dannenbaum Engineering			Average Team Score
	E1	E2	92.33	
Background of Respondent - 10 pts	10.0	9.0	10.0	9.7
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.0
R eferences, including PHA project examples - 10 pts	7.0	10.0	10.0	9.0
及uality of Respondent's services - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.7
Respondent's Past Relation with the Port Authority - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.3
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	34.0	36.0	40.0	36.7
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 45 pts				
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevent experience of assigned				
personnel related to this project - 15 pts	10.0	14.0	14.0	12.7
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects,				
including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same	l			
time - 10 pts	8.0	8.0	10.0	8.7
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated	5.0	. 0	5.0	5.0
personnel - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's Past Relation with the Port Authority - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.7
Personel capabilities and resilience - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	
Personnel's past professional reputation - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	38.0	40.0	44.0	40.7
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 10 pts				
Methodology Proposed to perform the Port Authority projects and services -				
3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Plan for Communicating with the Port Authority - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Any unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or				
environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods	l			
related to this project- 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Presentation of the important issues to consider in this project (see FICAP	l			
reports in Exhibit No. 3) - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts				
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by		2.0	2.0	
the RFQ - 1 pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVEDACE TOTAL CORPE				02.2
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES	·			92.3

FOR ED AND PORT COMMISSION ONLY

Budget: \$300,000

Budget: \$300,000								
Project Relative Weight	Jacob Engineering Group, Inc		Lanier & Associates Average Team Score Consulting Engineers,			Average Team Score		
	E1	E2	E3	86.67	E1	E2	E3	71.33
Background of Respondent - 10 pts	10.0	9.0	10.0	9.7	8.0	8.0	7.0	7.7
≹ eputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.0
Řeferences, including PHA project examples − 10 pts	9.0	9.0	6.0	8.0	5.0	10.0	4.0	6.3
ሺuality of Respondent's services - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.7	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.3
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.7
Respondent's Past Relation with the Port Authority - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	1.0	3.0
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	35.0	33.0	32.0	33.3	27.0	33.0	27.0	29.0
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 45 pts								
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevent experience of assigned								
personnel related to this project - 15 pts	10.0	14.0	15.0	13.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects,								
including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same								
time - 10 pts	6.0	7.0	9.0	7.3	6.0	7.0	10.0	7.7
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated								
personnel - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Žersonnel's Past Relation with the Port Authority - 5 pts	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.3	5.0	3.0	1.0	3.0
Personel capabilities and resilience - 5 pts	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.7
Personnel's past professional reputation - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	34.0	38.0	44.0	38.7	34.0	33.0	36.0	34.3
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 10 pts								
•								
Methodology Proposed to perform the Port Authority projects and services -				2.0		4.0	4.0	
3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	1.0	1.7 0.3
Plan for Communicating with the Port Authority - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.3
Any unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or								
environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods related to this project- 2 pts	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Presentation of the important issues to consider in this project (see FICAP reports in Exhibit No. 3) - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0		2.0	1.0	1.0	
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	10.0	9.0	10.0	9.7	6.0	3.0	4.0	4.3
Total Average - renormance rian and Other Denemis	10.0	7.0	10.0	7.1	0.0	5.0	7.0	7.0
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts								
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.7
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by								
the RFQ - 1 pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.7
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	2.0	4.0	3.7
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				86.7				71.3

Budget: \$300,000

Seckground of Respondent - 10 pts Section Seckground of Respondent services - 5 pts 4.0 4.0 4.0 5.0 4.3 4.0 4.0 5.0 4.3 4.0 5.0 5.0 4.7 4.0 4.0 5.0 4.3 4.0 5.0 5.0 4.7 4.0 5.0 4.3 4.0 5.0 5.0 4.7 4.0 5.0 5.0 4.7 4.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	Budget: \$300,000								
Background of Respondent - 10 pts Background of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts 4.0 4.0 4.0 5.0 4.3 4.0 5.0 5.0 4.7	Project Relative Weight			Average Team Score	Moffatt & Nichol			Average Team Score	
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts									
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts									
References, including PHA project examples - 10 pts	Background of Respondent - 10 pts	10.0	8.0	5.0	7.7	10.0	9.0	10.0	9.7
Detaility of Respondent's services - 5 pts	Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.3	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts	₿eferences, including PHA project examples - 10 pts	7.0	10.0	2.0	6.3	8.0	10.0	8.0	8.7
Respondent's Past Relation with the Port Authority - 5 pts S.0 4.0 1.0 3.3 3.0 3.0 3.0 38.0 38.0 37.0	Žuality of Respondent's services - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.3
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 45 pts	Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 45 pts	Respondent's Past Relation with the Port Authority - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	1.0	3.3	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.7
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevent experience of assigned personnel related to this project - 15 pts 10.0 12.0 8.0 10.0 10.0 14.0 14.0 14.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 1	Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	34.0	35.0	23.0	30.7	35.0	38.0	38.0	37.0
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevent experience of assigned personnel related to this project - 15 pts 10.0 12.0 8.0 10.0 10.0 14.0 14.0 14.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 1	Personnel Qualification and Experience - 45 nts								
Dersonnel related to this project - 15 pts 10.0 12.0 8.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 12.7	, ,			1				1	
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts		10.0	12.0	8.0	10.0	10.0	14.0	14.0	12.7
Including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 1.0 pts 6.0 7.0 5.0 6.0 8.0 8.0 9.0 8.3 Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0									
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0									
Personnel - 5 pts 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 4.7 5.0 5.0 4.7 5.0 5.0 4.7 5.0 5.0 4.7 5.0 5.0 4.7 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.	time - 10 pts	6.0	7.0	5.0	6.0	8.0	8.0	9.0	8.3
Personnel's Past Relation with the Port Authority - 5 pts 5.0 4.0 1.0 3.3 5.0 4.0 5.0 4.7	Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated								
Personel capabilities and resilience - 5 pts	personnel - 5 pts	5.0	5.0		1111		5.0		
Personnel's past professional reputation - 5 pts	Žersonnel's Past Relation with the Port Authority - 5 pts		4.0				4.0		
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience 36.0 37.0 29.0 34.0 38.0 41.0 43.0 40.7	Personel capabilities and resilience - 5 pts								
No. No.	Personnel's past professional reputation - 5 pts								
Methodology Proposed to perform the Port Authority projects and services - 3 pts - 3.0	Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	36.0	37.0	29.0	34.0	38.0	41.0	43.0	40.7
Methodology Proposed to perform the Port Authority projects and services - 3.0	Performance Plan and Other Renefits - 10 nts								
3 pts 3.0 2.0 1.0 2.0 3.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.3 Plan for Communicating with the Port Authority - 1 pts 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	1								
Plan for Communicating with the Port Authority - 1 pts Any unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods related to this project - 2 pts 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 2.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.3 Presentation of the important issues to consider in this project (see FICAP reports in Exhibit No. 3) - 4 pts Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits 2.0 2.0 1.0 4.0 5.7 10.0 6.0 7.0 7.7 Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts 2.0 2.0 1.0 1.7 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 1.0 1.7 1.7 Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0	5, 1	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3
Any unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods related to this project- 2 pts Presentation of the important issues to consider in this project (see FICAP reports in Exhibit No. 3) - 4 pts Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits 7.0 6.0 4.0 5.7 10.0 6.0 7.0 7.7 Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts 2.0 2.0 1.0 1.7 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0			-						17
environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods related to this project- 2 pts 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
related to this project- 2 pts 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 2.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.3 Presentation of the important issues to consider in this project (see FICAP reports in Exhibit No. 3) - 4 pts 2.0 2.0 1.0 4.0 2.0 3.0 Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits 7.0 6.0 4.0 5.7 10.0 6.0 7.0 7.7 Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts 2.0 2.0 1.0 1.7 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0									
Presentation of the important issues to consider in this project (see FICAP reports in Exhibit No. 3) - 4 pts Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits 7.0 6.0 4.0 5.7 10.0 6.0 7.0 7.7 Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts 2.0 2.0 1.0 1.7 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3
reports in Exhibit No. 3) - 4 pts 2.0 2.0 1.0 4.0 2.0 3.0 Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits 7.0 6.0 4.0 5.7 10.0 6.0 7.0 7.7 Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts 2.0 2.0 1.0 1.7 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0									
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits 7.0 6.0 4.0 5.7 10.0 6.0 7.0 7.7 Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts 2.0 2.0 1.0 1.7 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0	, , , ,	2.0	2.0	1.0		4.0	2.0	3.0	
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts 2.0 2.0 1.0 1.7 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0					5.7				7.7
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts 2.0 2.0 1.0 1.7 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0			1						
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0	•								
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by the RFQ - 1 pt Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	, ,								
the RFQ - 1 pt 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	, , , , ,	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies 5.0 5.0 4.0 4.7 5.0 5.0 5.0		1			4.0				4.0
	•								
TFAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES 75.0	Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
75.0 50.3	TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				75.0				90.3

Budget: \$300,000

Budget: \$300,000								
Project Relative Weight			Avanage Teem Seems	100			Average Team Score	
		Associates,	1	Average Team Score		SP USA, In	1	
	E1	E2	E3	86.00	E1	E2	E3	90.67
Background of Respondent - 10 pts	10.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	10.0	9.7
	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	8.0	10.0	8.0	8.7	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
整eferences, including PHA project examples - 10 pts 遊uality of Respondent's services - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.3
,	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3
Respondent's Past Relation with the Port Authority - 5 pts Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	36.0	38.0	30.0	34.7	38.0	38.0	39.0	38.3
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	30.0	36.0	30.0	34.1	36.0	36.0	37.0	30.3
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 45 pts								
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevent experience of assigned personnel related to this project - 15 pts	10.0	14.0	12.0	12.0	11.0	14.0	12.0	12.3
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects,	10.0	14.0	12.0	12.0	11.0	14.0	12.0	12.3
including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same								
time - 10 pts	9.0	8.0	10.0	9.0	6.0	8.0	10.0	8.0
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated								
personnel - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Žersonnel's Past Relation with the Port Authority − 5 pts	3.0	4.0	2.0	3.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0
Personel capabilities and resilience - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.7
Personnel's past professional reputation - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	2.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	2.0	4.0
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	37.0	41.0	36.0	38.0	37.0	40.0	37.0	38.0
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 10 pts		1	1			I	1	
Methodology Proposed to perform the Port Authority projects and services -								
3 pts	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Plan for Communicating with the Port Authority - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Any unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods								
related to this project- 2 pts	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.7	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Presentation of the important issues to consider in this project (see FICAP								
reports in Exhibit No. 3) - 4 pts	4.0	2.0	3.0		4.0	3.0	3.0	
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	10.0	6.0	9.0	8.3	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.3
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts								
,	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	4.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by the RFQ - 1 pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
	5.0				5.0	J.0	J.0	210
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				86.0				90.7