RFQ-1410 PES Design for Repair of Bridge at Spilman Island

Budget: \$750,000

March 16, 2020, 11:00 am, 3rd Floor S Conference Room

Budget: \$750,000	March 16, 2020, 11:00 am, 3rd Floor S Conference Room																			
Project Relative Weight	LJA Engineering				Average Team Score	Modjeski & Maters, Inc			<u>, </u>	Average Team Score	vvalter P Moore			Average Team Score	WJE			Average Team Score		
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 40 pts	E 1	E2	E3	E4	90.8	E1	E2	E3	E4	75.0	E 1	E2	E3	E4	94.8	E1	E2	E3	E4	84.5
	- 0	- 0	4.0	- 0	4.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	2 =	- 0	- 0			- 0	4.0	4.0	1.0	- 0	1.2
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.3
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services -5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0
≹eferences, including PHA project examples - 10 pts	9.0	8.0	10.0	10.0	9.3	9.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.5	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	7.0	7.0	10.0	7.3
Ďuality of Respondent's services -5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.3	5.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 10 pts	8.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	9.0	9.0	10.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	9.0	8.0	10.0	10.0	9.3	6.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.0
Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.3	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	36.0	38.0	37.0	34.0	36.3	35.0	25.0	25.0	22.0	26.8	39.0	38.0	40.0	35.0	38.0	27.0	36.0	33.0	34.0	32.5
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 45 pts																				
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevent experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	9.0	8.0	8.0	10.0	8.8	8.0	7.0	6.0	5.0	6.5	10.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	9. 5	7.0	8.0	8.0	10.0	8.3
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 15 pts	13.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.3	10.0	10.0	14.0	10.0	11.0	14.0	13.0	13.0	15.0	13.8	11.0	13.0	13.0	15.0	13.0
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.5
Žersonnel's Past Performance -10 pts	9.0	8.0	8.0	10.0	8.8	9.0	8.0	7.0	10.0	8.5	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.3
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	41.0	41.0	40.0	45.0	41.8	37.0	35.0	37.0	35.0	36.0	44.0	43.0	43.0	43.0	43.3	35.0	39.0	39.0	43.0	39.0
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 10 pts				I										I			I		I	
Methodology Proposed - 5 pts	5.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.8	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.3	4.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.0
Plan for Communication - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 3	3.0	2.0	1.0	3.0	2.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.3	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.3
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	10.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	9.0	7.0	10.0	8.5	10.0	7.0	8.0	10.0	8.8	8.0	7.0	2.0 8.0	10.0	8.3
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts																				
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response -2 pts Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all itemas required by	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.5	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.8
RFQ - 1 pt Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0 4.8	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.5 3.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0 4.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0 4.8
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				•	90.8					75.0			•		94.8		•			84.5
						<u> </u>														J