

RFQ-3171 CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL TESTING SERVICES DURING CONSTRUCTION OF CONTAINER YARDS 6 AND 7 OF THE RECONSTRUCTION OF 87-ACRES OF CONTAINER YARDS AT BARBOURS CUT TERMINAL

Budget: \$1,300,000 Final Date: 5/1/2024 Time: 9:00 AM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Harvey, 2-Ross, 3-Josh, 4-Gene, 5-Kerry, 6-Raul	Tetracon Consultants Inc.							Aviles Engineering Corporation							Tolunay-Wong Engineers, Inc.								
	Project Relative Weight						Average Team Score	Project Relative Weight						Average Team Score	Project Relative Weight						Average Team Score		
	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6	96.0	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6	86.3	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6	85.5		
SBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal / MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal																							
S/MWBE Participation - Excluded																							
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 40 pts																							
Background of Respondent - 10 pts	9.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.8	9.0	9.0	9.0	10.0	7.0	7.0	8.5	9.0	8.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	8.7		
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 10 pts	9.0	10.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.3	9.0	9.0	9.0	10.0	7.0	8.0	8.7	9.0	8.0	8.0	9.0	8.0	7.0	8.2		
References, including government project examples - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.7	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8		
Quality of Respondent's services -5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3		
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.5	4.4	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.2	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.5	4.4		
Respondent's Past Performance - 5pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	37.0	39.0	38.0	39.0	39.0	38.5	38.4	37.0	37.0	38.0	39.0	28.0	30.0	34.8	34.0	34.0	35.0	36.0	35.0	32.5	34.4		
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 30 pts																							
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	9.0	10.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.5	9.4	9.0	10.0	9.0	10.0	7.0	8.5	8.9	9.0	8.0	8.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.7		
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	10.0	10.0	9.5	9.0	5.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	7.5	7.9	9.0	5.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	7.0	7.8		
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		
Personnel's Past Performance -5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	28.0	30.0	28.0	29.0	29.0	29.5	28.9	28.0	24.0	28.0	29.0	24.0	24.0	26.2	27.0	22.0	26.0	27.0	26.0	25.0	25.5		
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 25 pts																							
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.2		
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	3.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.7	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.8		
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.5	4.0	3.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8		
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3		
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods - 2pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.7	2.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.2		
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.3		
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	23.0	25.0	24.0	22.0	24.0	24.0	23.7	21.0	21.0	23.0	20.0	19.0	18.0	20.3	22.0	17.0	21.0	21.0	22.0	20.5	20.6		
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts																							
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives 2pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Willingness to utilize Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES							96.0								86.3								85.5

RFQ-3171 CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL TESTING SERVICES DURING CONSTRUCTION OF CONTAINER YARDS 6 AND 7 OF THE RECONSTRUCTION OF 87-ACRES OF CONTAINER YARDS AT BARBOURS CUT TERMINAL
Budget: \$1,300,000 Final Date: 5/1/2024 Time: 9:00 AM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Harvey, 2-Ross, 3-Josh, 4-Gene, 5-Kerry, 6-Raul	Professional Service Industries, Inc.							Raba Kistner, Inc.							Associated Testing Laboratories, Inc.						
	Project Relative Weight						Average Team Score	Project Relative Weight						Average Team Score	Project Relative Weight						Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6	85.3	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6	83.3	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6	77.5
SBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal / MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal																					
S/MWBE Participation - Excluded																					
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 40 pts																					
Background of Respondent - 10 pts	9.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	8.0	10.0	8.8	9.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	7.0	7.0	8.2	9.0	8.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	6.0	7.7
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 10 pts	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	9.5	8.9	9.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	7.0	7.0	8.2	9.0	8.0	6.0	8.0	7.0	5.0	7.2
References, including government project examples - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.3	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3
Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.1	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.3	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.1	3.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Respondent's Past Performance - 5pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.2	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	5.0	2.0	3.3
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	34.0	37.0	33.0	34.0	32.0	38.5	34.8	35.0	37.0	32.0	34.0	30.0	29.0	32.8	32.0	33.0	28.0	30.0	35.0	25.0	30.5
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 30 pts																					
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	9.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	9.0	8.3	9.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	9.0	8.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	6.0	7.7
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	9.0	5.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	7.3	9.0	10.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	8.2	8.0	5.0	7.0	5.0	8.0	6.0	6.5
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.5
Personnel's Past Performance - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	5.0	4.2	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.7
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	28.0	22.0	24.0	24.0	22.0	27.0	24.5	28.0	28.0	25.0	25.0	22.0	23.0	25.2	26.0	21.0	22.0	21.0	25.0	19.0	22.3
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 25 pts																					
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.5	4.6	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.2
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.7
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.5	3.9	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.7	4.0	3.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.8
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.7
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods - 2pts	2.0	0.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.0	2.0	1.5	1.7	2.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.3
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.7	5.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.3	3.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.3
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	23.0	23.0	21.0	18.0	21.0	21.0	21.2	23.0	25.0	18.5	18.0	19.0	18.5	20.3	19.0	19.0	22.0	18.0	21.0	21.0	20.0
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts																					
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives 2pts	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Willingness to utilize Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.7
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES							85.3							83.3							77.5

RFQ-3171 CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL TESTING SERVICES DURING CONSTRUCTION OF CONTAINER YARDS 6 AND 7 OF THE RECONSTRUCTION OF 87-ACRES OF CONTAINER YARDS AT BARBOURS CUT TERMINAL
Budget: \$1,300,000 Final Date: 5/1/2024 Time: 9:00 AM
via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Harvey, 2-Ross, 3-Josh, 4-Gene, 5-Kerry, 6-Raul	Earth Engineering, Inc.							Paradigm Consultants, Inc.							Aster, L.P.						
	Project Relative Weight						Average Team Score	Project Relative Weight						Average Team Score	Project Relative Weight						Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6	76.1	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6	75.3	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6	74.6
SBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal / MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal																					
S/MWBE Participation - Excluded																					
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 40 pts																					
Background of Respondent - 10 pts	9.0	6.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	6.0	7.3	9.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	9.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	7.5
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 10 pts	9.0	6.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	7.5	7.6	9.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	7.5	7.8	9.0	7.0	6.0	8.0	6.0	4.0	6.7
References, including government project examples - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.2	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.3	4.0	5.0	3.5	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.9
Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.5	3.6	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	2.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.3	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	3.7	2.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	3.7
Respondent's Past Performance - 5pts	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.3
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	31.0	26.0	32.0	32.0	31.0	26.5	29.8	32.0	28.0	32.0	32.0	31.0	31.5	31.1	31.0	29.0	27.5	30.0	29.0	26.0	28.8
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 30 pts																					
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	9.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.5	7.9	8.0	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.8	9.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	7.7
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	8.0	3.0	8.0	6.0	8.0	7.0	6.7	8.0	3.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	6.5	6.3	8.0	5.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	7.0	6.7
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	4.2
Personnel's Past Performance - 5 pts	4.0	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.3
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	26.0	18.0	26.0	23.0	25.0	24.0	23.7	25.0	19.0	23.5	23.0	25.0	21.5	22.8	26.0	20.0	23.0	22.0	20.0	20.0	21.8
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 25 pts																					
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility - 5 pts	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.2	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.8	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.3
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project - 5 pts	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.2
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work - 5 pts	3.0	0.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.5	2.9	3.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	2.7	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.0
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent - 3 pts	3.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.3	3.0	0.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.7
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods - 2pts	2.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.2	2.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.3	2.0	0.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.5
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project - 5 pts	2.0	3.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	2.7	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.7
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	17.0	11.0	21.0	18.0	22.0	19.5	18.1	18.0	6.0	18.5	18.0	21.0	19.0	16.8	17.0	17.0	21.0	18.0	22.0	21.0	19.3
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts																					
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives 2pts	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1pts	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8
Willingness to utilize Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	3.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.6	5.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES							76.1							75.3							74.6

RFQ-3171 CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL TESTING SERVICES DURING CONSTRUCTION OF CONTAINER YARDS 6 AND 7 OF THE RECONSTRUCTION OF 87-ACRES OF CONTAINER YARDS AT BARBOURS CUT TERMINAL
Budget: \$1,300,000 Final Date: 5/1/2024 Time: 9:00 AM via Teams

NON-RESPONSIVE VENDOR

Evaluators: 1-Harvey, 2-Ross, 3-Josh, 4-Gen, 5-Kerry, 6-Raul	Quartet Engineers Corporation							Vertex Resource Services, Inc.							Millennium Engineers Group, Inc.									
	Project Relative Weight							Average Team Score	Project Relative Weight							Average Team Score	Project Relative Weight							Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6		E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6		E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6				
SBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal / MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal								70.3								59.3								0.0
S/MWBE Participation - Excluded																								
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 40 pts																								
Background of Respondent - 10 pts	8.0	6.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	6.0	6.8	8.0	6.0	6.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.7								0.0		
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 10 pts	8.0	6.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	7.2	8.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.0	5.0	6.0								0.0		
References, including government project examples - 5 pts	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.0	3.0	2.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	2.3								0.0		
Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.7								0.0		
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3	3.0	5.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.3								0.0		
Respondent's Past Performance - 5pts	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.5	4.0	3.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	1.0	2.0								0.0		
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	30.0	25.0	29.0	30.0	27.0	28.0	28.2	30.0	26.0	21.0	13.0	19.0	17.0	21.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0			
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 30 pts																								
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	8.0	6.0	6.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	6.8	8.0	6.0	6.0	5.0	5.0	5.5	5.9								0.0		
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	8.0	3.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	6.0	6.3	8.0	5.0	6.0	3.0	5.0	5.5	5.4								0.0		
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0								0.0		
Personnel's Past Performance -5 pts	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.3	4.0	3.0	2.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	2.5								0.0		
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	25.0	17.0	22.0	22.0	20.0	20.0	21.0	25.0	19.0	17.0	9.0	15.0	16.0	16.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0			
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 25 pts																								
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility - 5 pts	3.0	1.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	3.2	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	5.0	4.0	3.7								0.0		
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project - 5 pts	4.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.3	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0								0.0		
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work - 5 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.2	3.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.3								0.0		
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent - 3 pts	3.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3								0.0		
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods - 2pts	2.0	0.0	1.5	1.0	2.0	1.5	1.3	2.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0								0.0		
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project - 5 pts	4.0	0.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	1.0	3.0	3.0	3.3								0.0		
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	19.0	4.0	18.5	18.0	21.0	18.5	16.5	21.0	19.0	15.0	13.0	17.0	15.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0			
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts																								
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives 2pts	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8								0.0		
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0								0.0		
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1pts	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0								0.0		
Willingness to utilize Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0								0.0		
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES								70.3								59.3								0.0