

**Port of Houston Authority Detailed Evaluation Form for RFQ  
RFQ-841 CMT for Bayport Rail Spur**

Budget: \$150,000

	HVJ ASSOCIATES				EARTH ENGINEERING, INC				ATSER, L.P.				BRAUN INTERTEC				GEOTEST ENGINEERING, INC				PROFESSIONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES, INC (PSI)				TERRACON				RABA KISTNER											
Project Relative Weight					Average Team Score					Average Team Score					Average Team Score					Average Team Score					Average Team Score					Average Team Score										
	E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4	
<b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts</b>					<b>87.3</b>					<b>74.3</b>					<b>75.0</b>					<b>80.3</b>					<b>75.9</b>					<b>83.0</b>					<b>88.8</b>					<b>74.8</b>
Reputation of Respondent and of Respondent Services, references, including government project examples - 9 points	9.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.5	7.0	9.0	5.0	9.0	7.5	5.0	9.0	5.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	9.0	5.0	9.0	8.0	5.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	7.5	7.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	8.8	7.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 7 points	7.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.3	5.0	7.0	5.0	7.0	6.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	5.0	5.3	7.0	5.0	5.0	6.0	5.8	5.0	4.0	5.0	2.0	4.0	7.0	6.0	6.0	7.0	6.5	5.0	5.0	6.0	3.0	4.8	5.0	4.0	4.0	2.0	3.8
Respondent's Past Relation with PHA and other agents - 4 points	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	2.0	3.0	0.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	4.0	1.0	2.3	4.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
<b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>	20.0	18.0	16.0	17.0	<b>17.8</b>	14.0	19.0	10.0	18.0	<b>15.3</b>	12.0	17.0	14.0	15.0	<b>14.5</b>	20.0	16.0	10.0	16.0	<b>15.5</b>	12.0	15.0	16.0	13.0	<b>14.0</b>	18.0	19.0	17.0	20.0	<b>18.5</b>	18.0	18.0	18.0	16.0	<b>17.5</b>	16.0	17.0	15.0	15.0	<b>15.8</b>
<b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts</b>																																								
Background, Reputation, Qualification - 14 pts	10.0	14.0	11.0	14.0	12.3	14.0	11.0	10.0	14.0	12.3	10.0	12.0	10.0	14.0	11.5	10.0	13.0	10.0	14.0	11.8	10.0	12.0	11.0	14.0	11.8	14.0	11.0	11.0	10.0	11.5	14.0	14.0	12.0	14.0	13.5	10.0	13.0	11.0	14.0	12.0
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel - 12 pts	12.0	11.0	7.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	12.0	7.0	12.0	10.3	10.0	6.0	7.0	6.0	7.3	12.0	11.0	7.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	7.0	4.0	7.8	12.0	11.0	9.0	12.0	11.0	10.0	12.0	9.0	4.0	8.8	10.0	5.0	6.0	4.0	6.3
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses - 9 pts	9.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.5	9.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.5	9.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.5	9.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.5	9.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.5	9.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.5	9.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.5	9.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.5
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	31.0	34.0	25.0	33.0	<b>30.8</b>	33.0	32.0	24.0	35.0	<b>31.0</b>	29.0	27.0	24.0	29.0	<b>27.3</b>	31.0	33.0	24.0	33.0	<b>30.3</b>	29.0	31.0	25.0	27.0	<b>28.0</b>	35.0	31.0	27.0	31.0	<b>31.0</b>	33.0	35.0	28.0	27.0	<b>30.8</b>	29.0	27.0	24.0	27.0	<b>26.8</b>
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts</b>																																								
Methodology Proposed to perform CMT services - 13 pts	13.0	13.0	9.0	13.0	12.0	13.0	9.0	6.0	7.0	8.8	13.0	11.0	6.0	10.0	10.0	13.0	13.0	7.0	13.0	11.5	13.0	10.0	7.0	10.0	10.0	13.0	9.0	7.0	10.0	9.8	13.0	13.0	10.0	13.0	12.3	13.0	11.0	6.0	10.0	10.0
Plan for Communication with PHA - 12 pts	12.0	12.0	9.0	12.0	11.3	12.0	6.0	6.0	4.0	7.0	12.0	10.0	6.0	10.0	9.5	12.0	8.0	6.0	8.0	8.5	12.0	11.0	6.0	12.0	10.3	12.0	10.0	6.0	12.0	10.0	12.0	12.0	9.0	12.0	11.3	12.0	12.0	5.0	12.0	10.3
Unique or Specialized Processes or quality and control Methods - 15 pts	8.0	14.0	9.0	12.0	10.8	10.0	12.0	6.0	5.0	8.3	8.0	14.0	6.0	10.0	9.5	8.0	14.0	7.0	12.0	10.3	8.0	14.0	6.0	12.0	10.0	8.0	14.0	6.0	10.0	9.5	10.0	15.0	9.0	15.0	12.3	8.0	9.0	6.0	8.0	7.8
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	33.0	39.0	27.0	37.0	<b>34.0</b>	35.0	27.0	18.0	16.0	<b>24.0</b>	33.0	35.0	18.0	30.0	<b>29.0</b>	33.0	35.0	20.0	33.0	<b>30.3</b>	33.0	35.0	19.0	34.0	<b>30.3</b>	33.0	33.0	19.0	32.0	<b>29.3</b>	35.0	40.0	28.0	40.0	<b>35.8</b>	33.0	32.0	17.0	30.0	<b>28.0</b>
<b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts</b>																																								
Demonstrated understanding of the RFQ and its objectives with clarity and brevity, and thoroughness of Response - 5 point	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8	3.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.3	3.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.3	1.0	5.0	3.5	5.0	3.6	3.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.3	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	3.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.3
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.8</b>	3.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.0</b>	4.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.3</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.3</b>	1.0	5.0	3.5	5.0	<b>3.6</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.3</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.8</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.3</b>
<b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>					<b>87.3</b>					<b>74.3</b>					<b>75.0</b>					<b>80.3</b>					<b>75.9</b>					<b>83.0</b>					<b>88.8</b>					<b>74.8</b>