

**Port of Houston Authority Detailed Evaluation Form for RFQ  
RFQ-683 Conduct Structural Analysis of 11 Turning Basin Terminal Wharf Structures**

Budget: \$200,000

Date: 5/30/2018

	1				2				3				4				5			
	Atkins North America				CH2M Hill Engineers, Inc.				Moffat & Nichol				Simpson Gumpertz & Heger Inc.				Walter P. Moore			
Project Relative Weight	84.33			Average Team Score	92.00			Average Team Score	70.67			Average Team Score	48.00			Average Team Score	48.00			Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3		E1	E2	E3		E1	E2	E3		E1	E2	E3		E1	E2	E3	
<b>Respondent's (and Subconsultant's, if Applicable) Reputation and Quality of Services - 15 pts</b>																				
Background of Respondent (the type of projects that the company has completed) and Reputation of Respondent (any litigation in the last 5 years should be disclosed - include insurance report) = 9/4/1	4.00	9.00	9.00	<b>7.33</b>	9.00	9.00	9.00	<b>9.00</b>	9.00	4.00	4.00	<b>5.67</b>	1.00	1.00	1.00	<b>1.00</b>	4.00	1.00	4.00	<b>3.00</b>
References (including government project examples) and Quality of Respondent's services (minimum 3 references from their clients - these references should be of projects that are related to this RFQ) = 3 or 1	3.00	3.00	3.00	<b>3.00</b>	3.00	3.00	3.00	<b>3.00</b>	3.00	3.00	3.00	<b>3.00</b>	3.00	3.00	3.00	<b>3.00</b>	3.00	3.00	3.00	<b>3.00</b>
Respondent's past relationship with the Port Authority or other governmental entities (submit up to the last 3 projects conducted with the Port Authority and explain lesson learned and how would you address the issue. If Respondent has never done a project with the Port Authority, use other similar clients) = 3 or 1	1.00	1.00	3.00	<b>1.67</b>	3.00	3.00	3.00	<b>3.00</b>	3.00	3.00	1.00	<b>2.33</b>	1.00	3.00	1.00	<b>1.67</b>	3.00	3.00	1.00	<b>2.33</b>
<b>Total Average - Respondent's</b>	8.00	13.00	15.00	<b>12.00</b>	15.00	15.00	15.00	<b>15.00</b>	15.00	10.00	8.00	<b>11.00</b>	5.00	7.00	5.00	<b>5.67</b>	10.00	7.00	8.00	<b>8.33</b>
<b>Personnel (Including Subconsultant Personnel, if Applicable) Qualifications and Experience - 55 pts</b>																				
Background, and relevant experience of assigned personnel (the relevant projects in the resumes have to be highlighted -the resume of analysts should indicate type of modelling experience: linear/nonlinear material, push over, etc.) = 15/10/5/1	15.00	15.00	15.00	<b>15.00</b>	15.00	15.00	15.00	<b>15.00</b>	15.00	15.00	10.00	<b>13.33</b>	5.00	10.00	5.00	<b>6.67</b>	10.00	5.00	1.00	<b>5.33</b>
Reputation, and qualifications of assigned personnel (sample snapshot of their models and type and name of the software used to analyze and the personnel's involvement in that modeling project) =10/5/1	10.00	5.00	5.00	<b>6.67</b>	10.00	10.00	5.00	<b>8.33</b>	5.00	10.00	1.00	<b>5.33</b>	1.00	5.00	1.00	<b>2.33</b>	10.00	5.00	1.00	<b>5.33</b>
Personnel capabilities and resilience (to add an example of a project they were involved in that took a wrong turn and how they did manage the issues, elevate the risk and mitigate the problem) =10/5/1	10.00	10.00	1.00	<b>7.00</b>	10.00	5.00	10.00	<b>8.33</b>	1.00	10.00	1.00	<b>4.00</b>	1.00	1.00	1.00	<b>1.00</b>	1.00	1.00	1.00	<b>1.00</b>

**Port of Houston Authority Detailed Evaluation Form for RFQ  
RFQ-683 Conduct Structural Analysis of 11 Turning Basin Terminal Wharf Structures**

Budget: \$200,000

Date: 5/30/2018

	1				2				3				4				5			
	Atkins North America				CH2M Hill Engineers, Inc.				Moffat & Nichol				Simpson Gumpertz & Heger Inc.				Walter P. Moore			
Certifications, registrations, and licenses of available and dedicated personnel (all professional personnel should be in good standing with the Texas board of professional engineers - if not it should be disclosed) = 10/5/1	10.00	10.00	10.00	<b>10.00</b>	10.00	10.00	10.00	<b>10.00</b>	10.00	10.00	10.00	<b>10.00</b>	10.00	10.00	10.00	<b>10.00</b>	10.00	10.00	10.00	<b>10.00</b>
Personnel's past professional litigation (if personnel specially professional engineers have been involved in any professional litigation, they have to disclose) = 10/5/1	10.00	10.00	10.00	<b>10.00</b>	10.00	10.00	10.00	<b>10.00</b>	10.00	5.00	10.00	<b>8.33</b>	10.00	5.00	10.00	<b>8.33</b>	10.00	1.00	10.00	<b>7.00</b>
<b>Total Average - Personnel</b>	55.00	50.00	41.00	<b>48.67</b>	55.00	50.00	50.00	<b>51.67</b>	41.00	50.00	32.00	<b>41.00</b>	27.00	31.00	27.00	<b>28.33</b>	41.00	22.00	23.00	<b>28.67</b>
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 25 pts</b>																				
The methodology proposed to perform Port Authority projects and services (this include a high level schedule, execution plan, interface and communication plan and organization chart) = 5/1	1.00	5.00	5.00	<b>3.67</b>	5.00	5.00	5.00	<b>5.00</b>	1.00	5.00	1.00	<b>2.33</b>	1.00	5.00	1.00	<b>2.33</b>	1.00	5.00	1.00	<b>2.33</b>
Outline what are the important issues to consider in the finite element modeling guideline for this RFQ and whether they have done such task in the past =5/3/1	3.00	5.00	5.00	<b>4.33</b>	3.00	3.00	3.00	<b>3.00</b>	1.00	5.00	3.00	<b>3.00</b>	3.00	1.00	3.00	<b>2.33</b>	1.00	1.00	1.00	<b>1.00</b>
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods (the team and proposed process to minimize mistakes) = 5/3/1	3.00	1.00	5.00	<b>3.00</b>	5.00	5.00	5.00	<b>5.00</b>	5.00	5.00	3.00	<b>4.33</b>	5.00	1.00	1.00	<b>2.33</b>	3.00	1.00	1.00	<b>1.67</b>
Provide insight on their plan, e.g. how the respondent would implement existing inspection report in the finite element model in order to quantify the ultimate and operational capacity of the marine structure (specifics and example experience is important) = 5/3/1	3.00	5.00	5.00	<b>4.33</b>	3.00	3.00	5.00	<b>3.67</b>	5.00	5.00	3.00	<b>4.33</b>	3.00	3.00	3.00	<b>3.00</b>	3.00	3.00	1.00	<b>2.33</b>
Example performance issue (include a lesson learned of a project and process that was not successful and why) = 5/3/1	5.00	5.00	1.00	<b>3.67</b>	5.00	3.00	3.00	<b>3.67</b>	1.00	1.00	1.00	<b>1.00</b>	3.00	1.00	1.00	<b>1.67</b>	1.00	1.00	1.00	<b>1.00</b>
<b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>	15.00	21.00	21.00	<b>19.00</b>	21.00	19.00	21.00	<b>20.33</b>	13.00	21.00	11.00	<b>15.00</b>	15.00	11.00	9.00	<b>11.67</b>	9.00	11.00	5.00	<b>8.33</b>
<b>Overall Compliance with Port Authority Policies - 5 pts</b>																				

**Port of Houston Authority Detailed Evaluation Form for RFQ  
RFQ-683 Conduct Structural Analysis of 11 Turning Basin Terminal Wharf Structures**

Budget: \$200,000

Date: 5/30/2018

	1				2				3				4				5			
	Atkins North America				CH2M Hill Engineers, Inc.				Moffat & Nichol				Simpson Gumpertz & Heger Inc.				Walter P. Moore			
Demonstrated understanding of the RFQ and its objectives (Any submitted information (such as example projects, Respondent's experience, etc.) that are not related to this RFQ will be considered lack of understanding) = 2/1	2.00	2.00	2.00	<b>2.00</b>	2.00	2.00	2.00	<b>2.00</b>	2.00	1.00	2.00	<b>1.67</b>	1.00	1.00	1.00	<b>1.00</b>	2.00	1.00	1.00	<b>1.33</b>
Clarity and brevity of the Response (Any response that is not clear will be disregarded and in addition to that will not receive points) = 2/1	2.00	1.00	2.00	<b>1.67</b>	2.00	2.00	2.00	<b>2.00</b>	2.00	1.00	2.00	<b>1.67</b>	1.00	1.00	1.00	<b>1.00</b>	1.00	1.00	1.00	<b>1.00</b>
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ (All documentation and information are submitted as outlined by this RFQ and procurement) = 1/0	1.00	1.00	1.00	<b>1.00</b>	1.00	1.00	1.00	<b>1.00</b>	0.00	0.00	1.00	<b>0.33</b>	0.00	0.00	1.00	<b>0.33</b>	0.00	0.00	1.00	<b>0.33</b>
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with Port Authority Policies</b>	5.00	4.00	5.00	<b>4.67</b>	5.00	5.00	5.00	<b>5.00</b>	4.00	2.00	5.00	<b>3.67</b>	2.00	2.00	3.00	<b>2.33</b>	3.00	2.00	3.00	<b>2.67</b>
<b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>				<b>84.33</b>				<b>92.00</b>				<b>70.67</b>				<b>48.00</b>				<b>48.00</b>