

Port of Houston Authority Evaluation Form for RFQ
Project: RFQ -569 Re-Design of Entry Gate at Barbours Cut Terminal

Date: 2/7/2018 Time 3:00 P.M.

Budget: \$1,500,000

Vendor	SELECTION CRITERIA					Total Score	Comments
	1	2	3				
	<i>Respondent's (and Subconsultant's, if Applicable) Reputation and Quality of Services</i>	<i>Personnel (Including Subconsultant Personnel, if Applicable) Qualifications and Experience</i>	<i>Performance Plan and Other Benefits</i>	<i>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</i>			
Project Relative Weight (%)	40%	45%	10%	5%	100%		
HATCH 750 Town & Country Boulevard Suite 650 Houston, TX 77024	38.33	44.00	9.00	4.33	95.67	96	1. Reputation and quality of service are outstanding. 2. The proposed team personnel had all the qualifications and possess all the required skills, certifications and licenses for this project. 3. The performance plan and other benefits are outstanding. 4. Respondent complied except for submitting the Conflict of Interest Form.
Moffatt & Nichol 1780 Hughes Landing Boulevard Suite 575 The Woodlands, TX 77380	38.67	42.67	7.33	4.00	92.67	93	1. Reputation and quality of service are excellent. 2. The proposed team personnel did not have all the qualification and required skills, for this project, but did possess all required certifications and licenses. 3. The performance plan and other benefits are good. 4. Respondent complied except they did not include the Disclosure of Former PHA Employee Form.
Isani Consultants 3143 Yellowstone Blvd Houston, TX 77054	34.67	40.33	9.67	4.00	88.67	89	1. Reputation and quality of service are good. 2. The proposed team personnel and qualifications did not meet the experience for this type of project, but did have the appropriate certifications and licenses. 3. The performance plan and other benefits are outstanding. 4. Respondent complied but did not complete the Conflict of Interest Form.

Port of Houston Authority Evaluation Form for RFQ
Project: RFQ -569 Re-Design of Entry Gate at Barbours Cut Terminal

Date: 2/7/2018 Time 3:00 P.M.

Budget: \$1,500,000

Vendor	SELECTION CRITERIA					Total Score	Comments
	1	2	3				
	<i>Respondent's (and Subconsultant's, if Applicable) Reputation and Quality of Services</i>	<i>Personnel (Including Subconsultant Personnel, if Applicable) Qualifications and Experience</i>	<i>Performance Plan and Other Benefits</i>	<i>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</i>			
Project Relative Weight (%)	40%	45%	10%	5%	100%		
Civil Concepts, Inc. 3425 Federal Road Pasadena, TX 77504	26.67	28.00	7.00	3.00	64.67	65	1. Reputation and quality of service are good, but lack experience for similar type project. 2. The proposed team is good with limited experience for similar type project, but did have the appropriate certifications and licenses. 3. The performance plan and other benefits are good. 4. Respondent complied but did not complete the Disclosure of Former PHA employee.