

RFQ-2322 Construction Materials Testing Services for Container Yard 1 North and Middle at Bayport Terminal

Budget: \$475,000 8/29/2022 at 10:30 AM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Jessica, 2-Jeff, 3-Alex	Terracon Consultants, Inc.				Aviles Engineering Corporation				Braun Intertec Corporation			
	Project Relative Weight			Average Team Score				Average Team Score				Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	97.0	E1	E2	E3	93.3	E1	E2	E3	91.3
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal												
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts												
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.7	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.3
Quality of Respondent's services -3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.7
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.3	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.7
Respondent's Past Performance - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.7
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	19.0	20.0	18.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	14.0	17.3
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts												
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	9.7	8.0	9.0	8.0	8.3
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	10.0	9.0	10.0	9.7	9.0	9.0	8.0	8.7	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.3
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Personnel's Past Performance -5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	35.0	34.0	35.0	34.7	34.0	34.0	32.0	33.3	33.0	33.0	32.0	32.7
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts												
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility- 10 pts	8.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	9.0	8.3	7.0	9.0	9.0	8.3
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project- 5 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work- 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent- 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.7
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project- 10 pts	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.3	9.0	10.0	8.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.3
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	36.0	39.0	37.0	37.3	34.0	38.0	36.0	36.0	35.0	37.0	37.0	36.3
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts												
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives- 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				97.0				93.3				91.3

RFQ-2322 Construction Materials Testing Services for Container Yard 1 North and Middle at Bayport Terminal

Budget: \$475,000 8/29/2022 at 10:30 AM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Jessica, 2-Jeff, 3-Alex	Raba Kistner				Associated Testing Laboratories, Inc.				Geotech Engineering and Testing			
	Project Relative Weight			Average Team Score				Average Team Score				Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	90.0	E1	E2	E3	88.0	E1	E2	E3	83.3
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal												
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts												
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.3	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.3	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.7
Quality of Respondent's services - 3 pts	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.3	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.7	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.3
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.7	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.7	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3
Respondent's Past Performance - 2 pts	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.3	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	16.0	20.0	16.0	17.3	19.0	19.0	14.0	17.3	13.0	16.0	14.0	14.3
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts												
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.3	8.0	9.0	8.0	8.3	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	10.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.3	10.0	8.0	9.0	9.0
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	10.0	9.7
Personnel's Past Performance -5 pts	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.0
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	32.0	34.0	32.0	32.7	33.0	33.0	32.0	32.7	30.0	30.0	32.0	30.7
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts												
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility- 10 pts	7.0	9.0	9.0	8.3	7.0	9.0	9.0	8.3	6.0	9.0	9.0	8.0
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project- 5 pts	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.3	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.0
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work- 5 pts	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.3	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.0
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent- 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.7
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.7
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project- 10 pts	10.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.3	10.0	8.0	9.0	9.0
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	32.0	37.0	36.0	35.0	29.0	36.0	34.0	33.0	29.0	34.0	37.0	33.3
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts												
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives- 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				90.0				88.0				83.3

RFQ-2322 Construction Materials Testing Services for Container Yard 1 North and Middle at Bayport Terminal

Budget: \$475,000 8/29/2022 at 10:30 AM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Jessica, 2-Jeff, 3-Alex	The Murillo Company			
Project Relative Weight				Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	82.3
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal				
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts				
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.7
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.7
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.7
Quality of Respondent's services -3 pts	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.3
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 2 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Respondent's Past Performance - 2 pts	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	14.0	16.0	14.0	14.7
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts				
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	7.0	8.0	8.0	7.7
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	10.0	8.0	9.0	9.0
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Personnel's Past Performance -5 pts	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.3
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	30.0	31.0	32.0	31.0
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts				
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility- 10 pts	5.0	9.0	9.0	7.7
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project- 5 pts	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.0
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work- 5 pts	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.0
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent- 5 pts	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.7
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 5 pts	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.7
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project- 10 pts	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	24.0	35.0	37.0	32.0
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts				
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives- 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.7
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				82.3