

**RFQ-2304 Construction Material Testing Services for
Maintenance Complex at Barbours Cut Terminal**
Budget: \$200,000 08/23/2022 at 2:00 PM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Jessica, 2-Samuel, 3-Kyle	Braun Intertec Corporation				Aviles Engineering Corporation				HVJ Associates, Inc.			
	Project Relative Weight			Average Team Score				Average Team Score				Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	93.0	E1	E2	E3	89.7	E1	E2	E3	88.0
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal												
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts												
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.7
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.3
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.3	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.7
Quality of Respondent's services -3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.7	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Respondent's Past Performance - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	20.0	19.0	19.0	19.3	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	20.0	16.0	20.0	18.7
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts												
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.3	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.3	9.0	8.0	9.0	8.7
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time -10 pts	10.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	8.0	8.3	10.0	9.0	8.0	9.0
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 10 pts	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.3	10.0	8.0	10.0	9.3	10.0	8.0	9.0	9.0
Personnel's Past Performance -5pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.3
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	35.0	32.0	30.0	32.3	33.0	31.0	31.0	31.7	34.0	28.0	31.0	31.0
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts												
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility-10 pts	8.0	9.0	9.0	8.7	7.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	8.0	9.0	8.0
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.3	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.0
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work-5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.3
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent-5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods-5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project-10 pts	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.3	9.0	10.0	8.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	8.0	9.0
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	36.0	37.0	36.0	36.3	34.0	35.0	33.0	34.0	32.0	33.0	35.0	33.3
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts												
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives-2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				93.0				89.7				88.0

**RFQ-2304 Construction Material Testing Services for
Maintenance Complex at Barbours Cut Terminal**
Budget: \$200,000 08/23/2022 at 2:00 PM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Jessica, 2-Samuel, 3-Kyle	The Paradigm Consultants, Inc.			Associated Testing Laboratories, Inc.			Geotest Engineering, Inc.					
	Project Relative Weight			Average Team Score	Average Team Score			Average Team Score				
	E1	E2	E3	83.0	E1	E2	E3	82.0	E1	E2	E3	79.3
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal												
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts												
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.3	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	3.0	5.0	4.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	5.0	3.3
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.7	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.3	1.0	2.0	3.0	2.0
Quality of Respondent's services -3 pts	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.7	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.7	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.3
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Respondent's Past Performance - 2 pts	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.7	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.3
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	20.0	13.0	19.0	17.3	20.0	15.0	15.0	16.7	13.0	12.0	20.0	15.0
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts												
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	10.0	8.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	8.3	8.0	7.0	9.0	8.0
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time -10 pts	10.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	7.0	8.7	10.0	9.0	8.0	9.0
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 10 pts	10.0	7.0	9.0	8.7	10.0	8.0	9.0	9.0	10.0	7.0	9.0	8.7
Personnel's Past Performance -5pts	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	2.0	4.0	4.0	3.3
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	35.0	27.0	30.0	30.7	34.0	29.0	27.0	30.0	30.0	27.0	30.0	29.0
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts												
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility-10 pts	7.0	7.0	8.0	7.3	7.0	8.0	7.0	7.3	6.0	7.0	9.0	7.3
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project- 5 pts	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.3	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.7
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work-5 pts	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.3	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.7
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent-5 pts	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods-5 pts	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.3	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.3
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project-10 pts	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.7	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.3	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.7
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	31.0	28.0	32.0	30.3	29.0	32.0	30.0	30.3	29.0	28.0	35.0	30.7
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts												
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives-2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.7
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.7	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.7
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				83.0	82.0				79.3			

RFQ-2304 Construction Material Testing Services for
Maintenance Complex at Barbours Cut Terminal
Budget: \$200,000 08/23/2022 at 2:00 PM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Jessica, 2-Samuel, 3-Kyle	Raba Kistner				The Murillo Company				
	Project Relative Weight			Average Team Score				Average Team Score	
	E1	E2	E3	78.3	E1	E2	E3	75.0	
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal									
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts									
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	4.0	3.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.3	
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.3	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.3	
Quality of Respondent's services -3 pts	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.3	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.3	
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.7	
Respondent's Past Performance - 2 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	14.0	13.0	17.0	14.7	14.0	13.0	15.0	14.0	
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts									
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	8.0	7.0	9.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time -10 pts	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.7	10.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 10 pts	10.0	7.0	8.0	8.3	10.0	7.0	9.0	8.7	
Personnel's Past Performance -5pts	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.3	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	31.0	25.0	29.0	28.3	30.0	27.0	28.0	28.3	
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts									
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility-10 pts	6.0	7.0	9.0	7.3	5.0	7.0	8.0	6.7	
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project- 5 pts	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.7	2.0	4.0	4.0	3.3	
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work-5 pts	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.3	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.3	
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent-5 pts	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods-5 pts	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.7	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.3	
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project-10 pts	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.7	9.0	9.0	8.0	8.7	
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	31.0	27.0	34.0	30.7	24.0	29.0	32.0	28.3	
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts									
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives-2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.7	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.3	
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.7	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.3	
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				78.3					75.0