

RFQ-2254 Inspection Services for Three Dockside Electric Container Cranes for Wharves Nos. 2 and 6 at Bayport Container Terminals

Budget: \$600,000 Final Date: 07/25/2022 at 11:00 AM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Paulo, 2-Hugh, 3-Nathan, 4-Gene	Liftech Consultants, LLC					Laurence and Associates, LLC				
	Project Relative Weight				Average Team Score					Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	97.0	E1	E2	E3	E4	90.3
<b>SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal</b>										
<b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 35 pts</b>										
Background of Respondent - 8 pts	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	7.8
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 6 pts	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
References, including PHA project examples - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3
Quality of Respondent's services -5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Respondent's Past Performance - 6 pts	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.0	5.0	5.5
<b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>	<b>35.0</b>	<b>35.0</b>	<b>35.0</b>	<b>35.0</b>	<b>35.0</b>	<b>32.0</b>	<b>33.0</b>	<b>32.0</b>	<b>30.0</b>	<b>31.8</b>
<b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 25 pts</b>										
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 8 pts	8.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	7.8	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.5
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8
Personnel's Past Performance -7 pts	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	6.0	6.0	6.3
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	<b>25.0</b>	<b>23.0</b>	<b>25.0</b>	<b>25.0</b>	<b>24.5</b>	<b>23.0</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>23.0</b>	<b>22.5</b>
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 25 pts</b>										
The methodology proposed to perform Port Houston projects and services- 10 pts	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.3	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
Plan for communicating with Port Houston- 7 pts	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	7.0	6.8
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 8 pts	6.0	7.0	6.0	6.0	6.3	6.0	7.0	6.0	6.0	6.3
<b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>	<b>23.0</b>	<b>23.0</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>22.5</b>	<b>21.0</b>	<b>21.0</b>	<b>21.0</b>	<b>21.0</b>	<b>21.0</b>
<b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 15 pts</b>										
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives- 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Clarity, robustness and brevity of response, including submission of all required items- 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Willingness to employ small business and MWBE's to the extent they are qualified, available and cost competitive - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>
<b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>					<b>97.0</b>					<b>90.3</b>

RFQ-2254 Inspection Services for Three Dockside Electric Container Cranes for Wharves Nos. 2 and 6 at Bayport Container Terminals

Budget: \$600,000 Final Date: 07/25/2022 at 11:00 AM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Paulo, 2-Hugh, 3-Nathan, 4-Gene	APTIM					HENECO Engineering and Consulting, LLC				
	Project Relative Weight				Average Team Score					Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	87.3	E1	E2	E3	E4	56.0
<b>SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal</b>										
<b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 35 pts</b>										
Background of Respondent - 8 pts	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.3
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 6 pts	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3
References, including PHA project examples - 5 pts	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.3
Quality of Respondent's services -5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.5
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	4.0	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Respondent's Past Performance - 6 pts	5.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.3	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.5
<b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>	<b>30.0</b>	<b>28.0</b>	<b>31.0</b>	<b>31.0</b>	<b>30.0</b>	<b>17.0</b>	<b>20.0</b>	<b>17.0</b>	<b>21.0</b>	<b>18.8</b>
<b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 25 pts</b>										
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 8 pts	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0
Personnel's Past Performance -7 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.5
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>14.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>13.8</b>
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 25 pts</b>										
The methodology proposed to perform Port Houston projects and services- 10 pts	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	5.0	5.3
Plan for communicating with Port Houston- 7 pts	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	3.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	3.8
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 8 pts	6.0	7.0	6.0	6.0	6.3	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.5
<b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>	<b>20.0</b>	<b>21.0</b>	<b>20.0</b>	<b>20.0</b>	<b>20.3</b>	<b>11.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>11.0</b>	<b>12.5</b>
<b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 15 pts</b>										
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives- 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8
Clarity, robustness and brevity of response, including submission of all required items- 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.5
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8
Willingness to employ small business and MWBE's to the extent they are qualified, available and cost competitive - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>9.0</b>	<b>11.0</b>	<b>12.0</b>	<b>12.0</b>	<b>11.0</b>
<b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>					<b>87.3</b>					<b>56.0</b>