

RFQ-2136 Construction Material Testing Services for Rehabilitation and Repair of Wharf No. 8 at the Turning Basin Terminal

Budget: \$50,000 03/31/2022 at 4:00 PM via Teams

<p style="text-align: center;">Evaluators: 1-Eddy, 2-Gene, 3-Ross, 4-Chaney</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">HVJ Associates, Inc.</p>					<p style="text-align: center;">Ninyo & Moore</p>					
<p style="text-align: center;">Project Relative Weight</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Average Team Score</p>					<p style="text-align: center;">Average Team Score</p>					
	E1	E2	E3	E5	92.0	E1	E2	E3	E5	90.5	
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal											
Calculation of SMWBE Participation											
					0.00						0.00
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts											
Background of Respondent - _5_ pts	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - _5_ pts	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	
References, including PHA project examples - 3_ pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.8	
Quality of Respondent's services - _3_ pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - _2_ pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Respondent's Past Performance - _2_ pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	18.0	18.0	18.0	20.0	18.5	18.0	18.0	19.0	18.0	18.3	
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts											
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- _10_ pts	7.0	9.0	9.0	10.0	8.8	10.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	9.0	
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - _10_ pts	8.0	9.0	10.0	10.0	9.3	8.0	9.0	10.0	8.0	8.8	
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - _10_ pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Personnel's Past Performance - _5_ pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8	
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	30.0	33.0	33.0	35.0	32.8	33.0	33.0	32.0	32.0	32.5	
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts											
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility _10_ pts	7.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	8.8	8.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project _5_ pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work _5_ pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent _5_ pts	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods _5_ pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.8	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.5	
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project _10_ pts	9.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.3	9.0	9.0	10.0	10.0	9.5	
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	34.0	34.0	38.0	37.0	35.8	34.0	34.0	36.0	35.0	34.8	
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts											
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives _1_ pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Clarity and Brevity of Response - _2_ pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - _2_ pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					92.0						90.5

RFQ-2136 Construction Material Testing Services for Rehabilitation and Repair of Wharf No. 8 at the Turning Basin Terminal

Budget: \$50,000 03/31/2022 at 4:00 PM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Eddy, 2-Gene, 3-Ross, 4-Chaney	Terracon Consultants, Inc.					Raba Kistner					
Project Relative Weight	Average Team Score					Average Team Score					
	E1	E2	E3	E5	89.5	E1	E2	E3	E5	87.8	
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal											
Calculation of SMWBE Participation											
	0.00					0.00					
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts											
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.5	
Quality of Respondent's services - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Respondent's Past Performance - 2 pts	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	18.0	18.0	20.0	18.0	18.5	14.0	18.0	19.0	18.0	17.3	
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts											
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	9.0	9.0	9.0	10.0	9.3	9.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.5	
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	10.0	9.0	5.0	10.0	8.5	9.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.3	
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Personnel's Past Performance - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.5	
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	34.0	32.0	29.0	34.0	32.3	32.0	32.0	30.0	31.0	31.3	
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts											
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility 10 pts	9.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.3	9.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	8.8	
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project 5 pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work 5 pts	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent 5 pts	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods 5 pts	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.8	
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project 10 pts	9.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	7.5	8.0	8.0	10.0	8.0	8.5	
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	35.0	33.0	35.0	33.0	34.0	36.0	33.0	35.0	33.0	34.3	
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts											
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					89.5						87.8

RFQ-2136 Construction Material Testing Services for Rehabilitation and Repair of Wharf No. 8 at the Turning Basin Terminal

Budget: \$50,000 03/31/2022 at 4:00 PM via Teams

<p>Evaluators: 1-Eddy, 2-Gene, 3-Ross, 4-Chaney</p>	Braun Intertec Corporation					Geotech Engineering and Testing				
Project Relative Weight	Average Team Score					Average Team Score				
	E1	E2	E3	E4	82.5	E1	E2	E3	E5	64.3
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal										
Calculation of SMWBE Participation	0.00					0.00				
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts										
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	1.0	1.0	3.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Quality of Respondent's services - 3 pts	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.3	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.3
Respondent's Past Performance - 2 pts	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	15.0	15.0	19.0	14.0	15.8	13.0	12.0	13.0	11.0	12.3
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts										
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	9.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	8.8	7.0	8.0	6.0	8.0	7.3
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	8.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	5.0	8.0	5.0	9.0	6.8
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 10 pts	9.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.3	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Personnel's Past Performance - 5 pts	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	30.0	30.0	32.0	30.0	30.5	25.0	29.0	24.0	30.0	27.0
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts										
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility 10 pts	8.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	8.5	7.0	6.0	3.0	4.0	5.0
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project 5 pts	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.5
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work 5 pts	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent 5 pts	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3	5.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.5
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods 5 pts	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.5
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project 10 pts	7.0	8.0	10.0	9.0	8.5	10.0	6.0	5.0	4.0	6.3
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	31.0	33.0	31.0	32.0	31.8	32.0	20.0	16.0	16.0	21.0
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts										
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.8
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.8
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	1.5
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	1.0	5.0	4.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES	82.5					64.3				