

RFQ- 2066 Planning Support for the Development of Port Houston  
Facilities BCT & BPT

Budget: \$5,000,000 2/9/2022 at 4:00 PM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Bryan 2- Harvey 3-Jessica 4-Paulo 5-Rohit	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.					Moffat & Nichol						
	Project Relative Weight					Average Team Score	Average Team Score					
	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	89.4	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	84.4
<b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Services - 15 pts</b>												
Background and Reputation of Respondent - 3 pts	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Quality of Respondent's services and recent experience in container terminal and port facility planning - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.6	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.4
Respondent's past performance with Port Houston or similar clients - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.6
Respondents recent experience of working together with proposed subconsultants - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Demonstrated HSE commitment and acceptable safety record - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
<b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>	<b>13.5</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>14.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>14.5</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>12.0</b>	<b>14.0</b>
<b>Personnel Qualification and Experience - 40 pts</b>												
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel in container terminal and port facility planning - 15 pts	12.0	15.0	15.0	13.0	15.0	14.0	14.0	15.0	12.0	13.0	12.0	13.2
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 12 pts	9.5	11.0	12.0	11.0	12.0	11.1	12.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.8
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Personnel's Past Performance with Port Houston or similar clients - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.4	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.8
Proposed Personnel's team relationship and experience of working together, preferably on similar projects - 5 pts	3.5	4.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.1	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.2
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	<b>32.0</b>	<b>37.0</b>	<b>40.0</b>	<b>34.0</b>	<b>40.0</b>	<b>36.6</b>	<b>39.0</b>	<b>35.0</b>	<b>33.0</b>	<b>33.0</b>	<b>30.0</b>	<b>34.0</b>
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts</b>												
Quality, robustness, and clarity of the methodology proposed to perform the required scope of services - 20 pts	14.0	19.0	18.0	15.0	15.0	16.2	19.0	17.0	12.0	18.0	12.0	15.6
Respondents plan to incorporate lessons learned from previous projects with Port Houston and other clients - 10 pts	8.5	9.0	8.0	8.0	10.0	8.7	9.0	8.0	5.0	9.0	5.0	7.2
Plan for regular communication and providing progress updates to Port Houston - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Proven project management systems and tools and any unique or specialized processes or programs/software, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods - 8 pts	5.0	8.0	4.0	7.0	8.0	6.4	7.0	7.0	6.0	8.0	6.0	6.8
<b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>	<b>29.5</b>	<b>38.0</b>	<b>32.0</b>	<b>32.0</b>	<b>35.0</b>	<b>33.3</b>	<b>37.0</b>	<b>34.0</b>	<b>25.0</b>	<b>37.0</b>	<b>25.0</b>	<b>31.6</b>
<b>Overall Compliance with Port Houston Policies - 5 pts</b>												
Demonstrated understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Clarity, robustness, and brevity of Response including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Willingness to employ small business and MWBEs to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with Port Houston Policies</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.8</b>
<b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>						<b>89.4</b>						<b>84.4</b>

RFQ- 2066 Planning Support for the Development of Port Houston  
Facilities BCT & BPT

Budget: \$5,000,000 2/9/2022 at 4:00 PM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Bryan 2- Harvey 3-Jessica 4-Paulo 5-Rohit	WSP USA, Inc.					HATCH Associates Consultants, Inc.						
	Project Relative Weight					Average Team Score						Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5		E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	
<b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Services - 15 pts</b>						<b>78.8</b>						<b>75.7</b>
Background and Reputation of Respondent - 3 pts	1.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.6	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8
Quality of Respondent's services and recent experience in container terminal and port facility planning - 5 pts	2.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	5.0	3.8	2.5	5.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.5
Respondent's past performance with Port Houston or similar clients - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.6	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.4
Respondents recent experience of working together with proposed subconsultants - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Demonstrated HSE commitment and acceptable safety record - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	1.6
<b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>12.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>11.5</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>12.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>12.3</b>
<b>Personnel Qualification and Experience - 40 pts</b>												
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel in container terminal and port facility planning - 15 pts	7.0	15.0	12.0	11.0	15.0	12.0	9.0	15.0	14.0	12.0	5.0	11.0
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 12 pts	7.0	8.0	12.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	7.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	6.0	8.4
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Personnel's Past Performance with Port Houston or similar clients - 5 pts	3.5	4.0	5.0	2.0	4.0	3.7	4.0	5.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	3.4
Proposed Personnel's team relationship and experience of working together, preferably on similar projects - 5 pts	2.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	3.6	2.5	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	3.7
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	<b>22.5</b>	<b>34.0</b>	<b>37.0</b>	<b>28.0</b>	<b>35.0</b>	<b>31.3</b>	<b>25.5</b>	<b>37.0</b>	<b>36.0</b>	<b>29.0</b>	<b>20.0</b>	<b>29.5</b>
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts</b>												
Quality, robustness, and clarity of the methodology proposed to perform the required scope of services - 20 pts	10.0	17.0	16.0	12.0	20.0	15.0	13.0	18.0	14.0	13.0	12.0	14.0
Respondents plan to incorporate lessons learned from previous projects with Port Houston and other clients - 10 pts	5.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	7.0	7.5	9.0	7.0	8.0	5.0	7.3
Plan for regular communication and providing progress updates to Port Houston - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Proven project management systems and tools and any unique or specialized processes or programs/software, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods - 8 pts	4.5	7.0	4.0	7.0	6.0	5.7	5.5	8.0	6.0	6.0	4.0	5.9
<b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>	<b>21.5</b>	<b>34.0</b>	<b>29.0</b>	<b>28.0</b>	<b>36.0</b>	<b>29.7</b>	<b>28.0</b>	<b>37.0</b>	<b>29.0</b>	<b>29.0</b>	<b>23.0</b>	<b>29.2</b>
<b>Overall Compliance with Port Houston Policies - 5 pts</b>												
Demonstrated understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Clarity, robustness, and brevity of Response including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.9
Willingness to employ small business and MWBEs to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive - 3 pts	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with Port Houston Policies</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.7</b>
<b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>						<b>78.8</b>						<b>75.7</b>