

RFQ- 2036 Routine FICAP Assessment Program- Marine Structures 2022

Budget: \$600,000 12/08/2021 at 9:00 AM via Teams

Evaluators: 1) Eddy K, 2) Grant G, 3) Derek G	Wiss, Janey, Elstner Associates, Inc.				Moffatt & Nichol			
Project Relative Weight	Average Team Score				Average Team Score			
	E1	E2	E3	99.7	E1	E2	E3	95.7
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts								
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
References, including PHA project examples - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Quality of Respondent's services -4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7
Respondent's Past Performance - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.7
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	24.0	25.0	24.0	24.3
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts								
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 15 pts	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's Past Performance -10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	9.0	10.0	8.0
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	30.0	34.0	34.0	32.7
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts								
Methodology Proposed - 20 pts	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
Plan for Communicating with PHA - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10 pts	10.0	10.0	9.0	9.7	8.0	9.0	9.0	8.7
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	35.0	35.0	34.0	34.7	33.0	34.0	34.0	33.7
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts								
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				99.7				95.7

RFQ- 2036 Routine FICAP Assessment Program- Marine Structures 2022

Budget: \$600,000 12/08/2021 at 9:00 AM via Teams

Evaluators: 1) Eddy K, 2) Grant G, 3) Derek G	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.				WSP USA, Inc.			
Project Relative Weight	Average Team Score				Average Team Score			
	E1	E2	E3	94.7	E1	E2	E3	94.7
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts								
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
References, including PHA project examples - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7
Quality of Respondent's services -4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Respondent's Past Performance - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	25.0	25.0	24.0	24.7	25.0	25.0	22.0	24.0
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts								
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 15 pts	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	13.0	15.0	13.0	13.7
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's Past Performance -10 pts	10.0	10.0	9.0	9.7	10.0	10.0	8.0	9.3
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	35.0	35.0	33.0	34.3	33.0	35.0	30.0	32.7
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts								
Methodology Proposed - 20 pts	15.0	20.0	19.0	18.0	20.0	20.0	19.0	19.7
Plan for Communicating with PHA - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10 pts	5.0	9.0	9.0	7.7	7.0	9.0	9.0	8.3
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	25.0	34.0	33.0	30.7	32.0	34.0	33.0	33.0
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts								
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES	94.7				94.7			

RFQ- 2036 Routine FICAP Assessment Program- Marine Structures 2022

Budget: \$600,000 12/08/2021 at 9:00 AM via Teams

Evaluators: 1) Eddy K, 2) Grant G, 3) Derek G	Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc.				LJA Engineering, Inc.			
Project Relative Weight	Average Team Score				Average Team Score			
	E1	E2	E3	90.3	E1	E2	E3	81.7
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts								
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.3
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7
References, including PHA project examples - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7
Quality of Respondent's services -4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7
Respondent's Past Performance - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	25.0	25.0	23.0	24.3	25.0	25.0	18.0	22.7
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts								
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 15 pts	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	5.0	14.0	12.0	10.3
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's Past Performance -10 pts	5.0	10.0	7.0	7.3	10.0	10.0	8.0	9.3
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	30.0	35.0	31.0	32.0	25.0	34.0	29.0	29.3
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts								
Methodology Proposed - 20 pts	15.0	20.0	14.0	16.3	10.0	17.0	15.0	14.0
Plan for Communicating with PHA - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10 pts	5.0	9.0	9.0	7.7	3.0	9.0	5.0	5.7
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	25.0	34.0	28.0	29.0	18.0	31.0	25.0	24.7
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts								
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES	90.3				81.7			

RFQ- 2036 Routine FICAP Assessment Program- Marine Structures 2022

Budget: \$600,000 12/08/2021 at 9:00 AM via Teams

Evaluators: 1) Eddy K, 2) Grant G, 3) Derek G	Lloyd Engineering, Inc.			
Project Relative Weight	Average Team Score			
	E1	E2	E3	79.0
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts				
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	3.0	5.0	3.0	3.7
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 4 pts	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.7
References, including PHA project examples - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7
Quality of Respondent's services -4 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Respondent's Past Performance - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	2.0	3.3
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	22.0	25.0	19.0	22.0
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts				
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 15 pts	5.0	13.0	11.0	9.7
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -5 pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.7
Personnel's Past Performance -10 pts	10.0	10.0	7.0	9.0
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	25.0	31.0	27.0	27.7
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts				
Methodology Proposed - 20 pts	9.0	15.0	15.0	13.0
Plan for Communicating with PHA - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10 pts	3.0	9.0	7.0	6.3
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	17.0	29.0	27.0	24.3
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts				
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				79.0