

**RFQ-2018 Electrical and Communications FICAP at Barbours Cut Terminal**

**Budget: \$500,000 12/2/2021 at 11:00 AM via Teams**

<b>Evaluators: 1) Derek G., 2) Grant G., 3) John L., 4) Ross T.</b>	<b>HATCH</b>					<b>JACOBS Engineering Group, Inc</b>				
<b>Project Relative Weight</b>	<b>Average Team Score</b>					<b>Average Team Score</b>				
	E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4	
<b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts</b>					<b>93.5</b>					<b>89.8</b>
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.0</b>	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.5</b>
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.0</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.5</b>
References, including PHA project examples - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.0</b>	1.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	<b>2.3</b>
Quality of Respondent's services - 4 pts	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.5</b>	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	<b>3.5</b>
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.0</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.8</b>
Respondent's Past Performance - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.0</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.0</b>
<b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>	<b>24.0</b>	<b>25.0</b>	<b>24.0</b>	<b>25.0</b>	<b>24.5</b>	<b>21.0</b>	<b>24.0</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>23.0</b>	<b>22.5</b>
<b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts</b>										
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 15 pts	15.0	14.0	14.0	12.0	<b>13.8</b>	12.0	14.0	12.0	12.0	<b>12.5</b>
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.5</b>	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.3</b>
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.0</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.0</b>
Personnel's Past Performance -10 pts	10.0	10.0	9.0	8.0	<b>9.3</b>	9.0	10.0	9.0	7.0	<b>8.8</b>
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	<b>35.0</b>	<b>33.0</b>	<b>32.0</b>	<b>30.0</b>	<b>32.5</b>	<b>31.0</b>	<b>33.0</b>	<b>30.0</b>	<b>28.0</b>	<b>30.5</b>
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts</b>										
Methodology Proposed - 20 pts	19.0	19.0	18.0	19.0	<b>18.8</b>	20.0	18.0	18.0	20.0	<b>19.0</b>
Plan for Communicating with PHA - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.8</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.0</b>
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10 pts	10.0	9.0	8.0	5.0	<b>8.0</b>	9.0	8.0	9.0	5.0	<b>7.8</b>
<b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>	<b>34.0</b>	<b>33.0</b>	<b>30.0</b>	<b>29.0</b>	<b>31.5</b>	<b>34.0</b>	<b>31.0</b>	<b>32.0</b>	<b>30.0</b>	<b>31.8</b>
<b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts</b>										
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.0</b>	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.0</b>
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	<b>1.0</b>	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	<b>1.0</b>
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.0</b>	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.0</b>
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>
<b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>					<b>93.5</b>					<b>89.8</b>