

RFQ-2015 PES PCC Building at Turning Basin Terminal

Budget: \$50,000 11/2/2021, 1:00 pm via WebEx

Evaluators: 1) Harvey Ross, 2) Ross Talbot, 3) Katharine Medina, 4) Colin Rizzo	English & Associates, Inc					Martinez Architects				
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal										
Calculation of SMWBE participation will be performed by the Business Equity staff during the evaluation phase	0%					0%				
Project Relative Weight					Average Team Score					Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	92.5	E1	E2	E3	E4	84.8
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts										
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.5
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.3
References, including PHA project examples -5 pts	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.8
Quality of Respondent's services -5 pts	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.5	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.5
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.5
Respondent's Past Performance - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	25.0	21.0	22.0	25.0	23.3	21.0	21.0	18.0	22.0	20.5
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts										
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	10.0	9.0	10.0	10.0	9.8	9.0	7.0	9.0	9.0	8.5
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	9.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.3	9.0	8.0	9.0	9.0	8.8
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.5
Personnel's Past Performance -10 pts	10.0	9.0	10.0	10.0	9.8	9.0	7.0	10.0	8.0	8.5
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	39.0	37.0	40.0	39.0	38.8	37.0	32.0	37.0	35.0	35.3
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 30 pts										
Methodology Proposed - 10 pts	10.0	5.0	10.0	10.0	8.8	9.0	5.0	9.0	8.0	7.8
Plan for Communication - 10 pts	9.0	5.0	10.0	9.0	8.3	10.0	5.0	9.0	9.0	8.3
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10 pts	10.0	5.0	10.0	10.0	8.8	9.0	5.0	9.0	9.0	8.0
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	29.0	15.0	30.0	29.0	25.8	28.0	15.0	27.0	26.0	24.0
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5pts										
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ -1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					92.5					84.8

RFQ-2015 PES PCC Building at Turning Basin Terminal

Budget: \$50,000 11/2/2021, 1:00 pm via WebEx

Evaluators: 1) Harvey Ross, 2) Ross Talbot, 3) Katharine Medina, 4) Colin Rizzo		Sigma Engineers, Inc				
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal						
Calculation of <i>SMWBE participation</i> will be performed by the Business Equity staff during the evaluation phase					0%	
Project Relative Weight					Average Team Score	
	E1	E2	E3	E4	74.3	
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts						
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.8	
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	3.5	
References, including PHA project examples -5 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	
Quality of Respondent's services -5 pts	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.5	
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 4 pts	3.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	
Respondent's Past Performance - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	21.0	18.0	15.0	15.0	17.3	
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts						
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	8.0	7.0	6.0	8.0	7.3	
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	9.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts	10.0	10.0	8.0	6.0	8.5	
Personnel's Past Performance -10 pts	9.0	6.0	5.0	7.0	6.8	
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	36.0	30.0	27.0	29.0	30.5	
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 30 pts						
Methodology Proposed - 10 pts	9.0	5.0	7.0	9.0	7.5	
Plan for Communication - 10 pts	9.0	5.0	7.0	8.0	7.3	
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10 pts	8.0	5.0	7.0	10.0	7.5	
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	26.0	15.0	21.0	27.0	22.3	
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5pts						
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.8	
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ -1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.3	
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					74.3	