

RFQ-1970 Planning & Design of Water Line Rehab at South TBT

Budget: \$100,000 10/04/2021 via WebEx

Evaluators: Craig Kasper, Randy Stiefel, Ross Talbot, Daniel Spiers

	RPS Group - North America					Freese & Nichols					DE Corporation				
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal															
Calculation of <i>SMWBE participation</i> will be performed by the Business Equity staff during the evaluation phase	0.00					0.00					0.00				
Project Relative Weight					Average Team Score					Average Team Score					Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	94.5	E1	E2	E3	E4	92.0	E1	E2	E3	E4	89.3
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts															
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
References, including PHA project examples - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.5
Quality of Respondent's services - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3
Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.5
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	25.0	24.0	25.0	25.0	24.8	24.0	24.0	23.0	23.0	23.5	22.0	24.0	23.0	24.0	23.3
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts															
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 15 pts	14.0	15.0	13.0	15.0	14.3	14.0	15.0	12.0	15.0	14.0	14.0	15.0	12.0	14.0	13.8
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	10.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.5	9.0	8.0	9.0	9.0	8.8	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's Past Performance -10 pts	9.0	10.0	5.0	9.0	8.3	9.0	10.0	8.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	10.0	8.0	9.0	9.0
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	38.0	39.0	33.0	38.0	37.0	37.0	38.0	34.0	38.0	36.8	36.0	38.0	33.0	36.0	35.8
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 30 pts															
Methodology Proposed - 15 pts	14.0	14.0	13.0	15.0	14.0	14.0	13.0	12.0	15.0	13.5	12.0	13.0	12.0	12.0	12.3
Plan for Communication - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Unique or Specialized Processes (such as water distribution condition assessment programs), organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10 pts	9.0	10.0	7.0	9.0	8.8	9.0	9.0	5.0	10.0	8.3	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	28.0	29.0	25.0	29.0	27.8	28.0	27.0	22.0	30.0	26.8	25.0	26.0	25.0	25.0	25.3
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts															
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items as required by RFQ - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					94.5					92.0					89.3

RFQ-1970 Planning & Design of Water Line Rehab at South TBT

Budget: \$100,000 10/04/2021 via WebEx

Evaluators: Craig Kasper, Randy Stiefel, Ross Talbot, Daniel Spiers

	S & B Infrastructure					KIT Professionals, Inc					5 Engineering, LLC				
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal															
Calculation of <i>SMWBE participation</i> will be performed by the Business Equity staff during the evaluation phase	0.00					0.00					0.00				
Project Relative Weight					Average Team Score					Average Team Score					Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	86.0	E1	E2	E3	E4	83.5	E1	E2	E3	E4	83.0
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts															
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.5	4.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.3
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.8
References, including PHA project examples - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.3	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.3
Quality of Respondent's services - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.5	4.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.3
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	23.0	24.0	20.0	23.0	22.5	23.0	24.0	18.0	24.0	22.3	21.0	24.0	17.0	24.0	21.5
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts															
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 15 pts	13.0	15.0	12.0	13.0	13.3	13.0	15.0	10.0	13.0	12.8	12.0	15.0	10.0	13.0	12.5
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	8.0	8.0	7.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	7.8	8.0	8.0	7.0	8.0	7.8
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's Past Performance -10 pts	9.0	10.0	7.0	8.0	8.5	8.0	10.0	5.0	8.0	7.8	8.0	10.0	5.0	9.0	8.0
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	35.0	38.0	31.0	35.0	34.8	35.0	38.0	27.0	33.0	33.3	33.0	38.0	27.0	35.0	33.3
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 30 pts															
Methodology Proposed - 15 pts	12.0	11.0	12.0	13.0	12.0	12.0	11.0	10.0	12.0	11.3	12.0	11.0	10.0	13.0	11.5
Plan for Communication - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8
Unique or Specialized Processes (such as water distribution condition assessment programs), organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10 pts	8.0	7.0	5.0	8.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	7.0	5.0	8.0	7.0
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	25.0	23.0	21.0	26.0	23.8	25.0	24.0	20.0	23.0	23.0	25.0	23.0	19.0	26.0	23.3
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts															
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items as required by RFQ - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					86.0					83.5					83.0

RFQ-1970 Planning & Design of Water Line Rehab at South TBT

Budget: \$100,000 10/04/2021 via WebEx

Evaluators: Craig Kasper, Randy Stiefel, Ross Talbot, Daniel Spiers		Riley Mountain Engineering, LLC				Kuo & Associates, Inc				
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal										
Calculation of <i>SMWBE participation</i> will be performed by the Business Equity staff during the evaluation phase		0.00				0.00				
Project Relative Weight					Average Team Score					Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	82.5	E1	E2	E3	E4	81.3
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts										
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.3
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.8
References, including PHA project examples - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.8	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.5
Quality of Respondent's services - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.5
Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.3
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	21.0	24.0	20.0	21.0	21.5	21.0	24.0	17.0	23.0	21.3
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts										
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 15 pts	12.0	15.0	10.0	12.0	12.3	12.0	15.0	8.0	13.0	12.0
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	7.0	8.0	8.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	8.0	7.8
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's Past Performance -10 pts	8.0	10.0	7.0	9.0	8.5	8.0	10.0	5.0	7.0	7.5
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	32.0	38.0	30.0	35.0	33.8	33.0	38.0	25.0	33.0	32.3
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 30 pts										
Methodology Proposed - 15 pts	10.0	11.0	12.0	12.0	11.3	11.0	11.0	10.0	13.0	11.3
Plan for Communication - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8
Unique or Specialized Processes (such as water distribution condition assessment programs), organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10 pts	7.0	7.0	5.0	6.0	6.3	7.0	7.0	5.0	9.0	7.0
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	22.0	23.0	21.0	23.0	22.3	23.0	23.0	19.0	27.0	23.0
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts										
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.8
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items as required by RFQ - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					82.5					81.3