Budget: \$75,000 09/02/2021 8:00 AM via Teams												
Evaluators- 1-Harvey , 2-Kyle, 3-Gene	Raba Kistner, Inc				HVJ Associates, Inc				Terrracon Consultants, Inc			
Project Relative Weight	E1	E2	E3	Average Team Score 93.7	E1	E2	E3	Average Team Score 92.3	E1	E2	E3	Average Team Score 91.7
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal	EI	EZ	ES	75.1	EI	EZ	ES	72.0	EI	EZ	ES	71.7
Calculation of <u>SMWBE participation</u> will be performed by the Business Equity staff during the evaluation phase	(Vendor Percentage Earned)			(Vendor Percentage Earned)				(Vendor Percentage Earned)				
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts			1			T				1		ı
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7
References, including government project examples - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Quality of Respondent's services 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.7	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.7
Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	18.0	20.0	20.0	19.3	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	18.0	20.0	18.0	18.7
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts												
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.3	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.3	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.3
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.3	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.3	9.0	9.0	8.0	8.7
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Personnel's Past Performance 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	33.0	35.0	33.0	33.7	33.0	35.0	33.0	33.7	33.0	33.0	31.0	32.3
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts												
Detail methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility- 10 pts	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project- 10 pts	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	8.7
Contact a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of the work- 3 pts	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.7	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
	5.0	2.0	5.0	2.7	5.0	2.0	5.0	2.7	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent- 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or												
environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods-	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3
5 pts		5.0				5.0				5.0		
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project- 10 pts Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	9.0 36.0	9.0	8.0 35.0	8.7 35.7	9.0	9.0	8.0 35.0	8.7 35.7	9.0 36.0	9.0	8.0 35.0	8.7 35.7
Total Average - Ferrormance Fiant and Other Benefits	30.0	30.0	33.0	0017	30.0	30.0	33.0	0017	30.0	30.0	33.0	5617
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts												
Demonstrated understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response -2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				93.7				92.3				91.7
TEMPI AVENAGE TOTAL SCORES	Ц			33.1				92.3	I.			31.7

Budget: \$75,000 09/02/2021 8:00 AM via Teams									
Evaluators- 1-Harvey , 2-Kyle, 3-Gene		Braun	ec Corporation	ATSER					
Project Relative Weight	E1	E2	E3	Average Team Score 89.7	E1	E2	E3	Average Team Score 86.3	
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal									
Calculation of <u>SMWBE participation</u> will be performed by the Business Equity staff during the evaluation phase		(Vendo	r Perc	centage Earned)	(Vendor Percentage Earned)				
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts						_	1		
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	8.9	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	9.2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
References, including government project examples - 2 pts	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.7	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.7	
Quality of Respondent's services 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.7	
Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts	4.0 17.0	4.0 17.0	4.0 17.0	4.0 17.0	4.0 15.0	4.0 17.0	3.0	3.7 16.0	
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	13.0	17.0	16.0	16.0	
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts									
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	9.0	8.0	9.0	8.7	8.0	10.0	8.0	8.7	
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	10.0	10.0	9.0	9.7	9.0	8.0	9.0	8.7	
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts	10.0	9.0	10.0	9.7	10.0	10.0	9.0	9.7	
Personnel's Past Performance 5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience		32.0	32.0	32.3	31.0	33.0	30.0	31.3	
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts									
Detail methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility- 10 pts	9.0	8.0	9.0	8.7	9.0	8.0	9.0	8.7	
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project- 10 pts	9.0	8.0	9.0	8.7	8.0	8.0	9.0	8.3	
Contact a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of the work- 3 pts	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.7	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.7	
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent- 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods-5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project- 10 pts	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	8.3	
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	36.0	34.0	36.0	35.3	35.0	33.0	34.0	34.0	
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts									
Overan Compniance with FIFA Foncies - 5 pts									
Demonstrated understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Clarity and Brevity of Response -2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by				1.0				1.0	
RFQ - 1 pts Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	1.0 5.0	1.0 5.0	1.0	1.0 5.0	1.0 5.0	1.0 5.0	5.0	1.0 5.0	
-									
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				89.7				86.3	