

RFQ-1889 Design Review & Inspection Services - 3 Dockside
Electric Container Cranes Wharf 6 at BPT

Engineering Estimate: \$800,000

| | LIFTECH CONSULTANTS, INC | | | | LAURENCE & ASSOCIATES, LLC | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------|------|--------------------|----------------------------|------|------|--------------------|
| Project Relative Weight EVALUATORS: 1) Paulo S, 2) Hugh M, 3) Gene N | | | | Average Team Score | | | | Average Team Score |
| | E1 | E2 | E3 | 96.3 | E1 | E2 | E3 | 90.0 |
| Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 35 pts | | | | | | | | |
| Background of Respondent - 8 pts | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 6 pts | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| References, including PHA project examples - 5 pts | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.7 |
| Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.7 |
| Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.7 |
| Respondent's Past Performance - 6 pts | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.3 |
| Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 32.0 | 33.0 | 29.0 | 31.3 |
| Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 25 pts | | | | | | | | |
| Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 8 pts | 8.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Personnel's Past Performance - 7 pts | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 6.3 |
| Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience | 25.0 | 23.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 22.0 | 21.0 | 22.3 |
| Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 25 pts | | | | | | | | |
| Methodology Proposed - 8 pts | 7.0 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 7.3 |
| Plan for Communication - 5 pts | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.7 |
| Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 12 pts (combined with subcategory below) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.7 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.3 |
| Efficient design schedule timeline (1-6 pts) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 4.3 |
| Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits | 22.0 | 23.0 | 22.0 | 22.3 | 20.0 | 23.0 | 22.0 | 21.7 |
| Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 15 pts | | | | | | | | |
| Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 5 pts | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Clarity and Brevity of Response - 5 pts | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.7 |
| Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 5 pts | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 14.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 14.7 |
| TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES | | | | 96.3 | | | | 90.0 |

RFQ-1889 Design Review & Inspection Services - 3 Dockside
Electric Container Cranes Wharf 6 at BPT

Engineering Estimate: \$800,000

| | PAUL BRIDGES & ASSOCIATES, LLC | | | | HATCH | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------|------|--------------------|-------|------|------|--------------------|
| Project Relative Weight EVALUATORS: 1) Paulo S, 2) Hugh M, 3) Gene N | | | | Average Team Score | | | | Average Team Score |
| | E1 | E2 | E3 | 87.0 | E1 | E2 | E3 | 69.0 |
| Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 35 pts | | | | | | | | |
| Background of Respondent - 8 pts | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 |
| Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 6 pts | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| References, including PHA project examples - 5 pts | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |
| Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts | 4.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 3.7 |
| Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts | 4.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 2.0 | 3.7 |
| Respondent's Past Performance - 6 pts | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 4.3 |
| Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service | 30.0 | 33.0 | 29.0 | 30.7 | 22.0 | 26.0 | 17.0 | 21.7 |
| Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 25 pts | | | | | | | | |
| Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 8 pts | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts | 5.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 |
| Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.7 |
| Personnel's Past Performance - 7 pts | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 |
| Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience | 24.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.7 | 17.0 | 20.0 | 14.0 | 17.0 |
| Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 25 pts | | | | | | | | |
| Methodology Proposed - 8 pts | 6.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 3.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| Plan for Communication - 5 pts | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 |
| Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 12 pts (combined with subcategory below) | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 |
| Efficient design schedule timeline (1-6 pts) | 4.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 |
| Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits | 18.0 | 19.0 | 22.0 | 19.7 | 15.0 | 20.0 | 11.0 | 15.3 |
| Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 15 pts | | | | | | | | |
| Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 5 pts | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Clarity and Brevity of Response - 5 pts | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 5 pts | 4.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies | 13.0 | 15.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES | | | | 87.0 | | | | 69.0 |

**RFQ-1889 Design Review & Inspection Services - 3 Dockside
Electric Container Cranes Wharf 6 at BPT**

Engineering Estimate: \$800,000

| SPARROW OFFSHORE, LLC | | | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| Project Relative Weight EVALUATORS: 1) Paulo S, 2) Hugh M, 3) Gene N | | | | Average Team Score |
| | E1 | E2 | E3 | 64.3 |
| Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 35 pts | | | | |
| Background of Respondent - 8 pts | 5.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.3 |
| Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 6 pts | 5.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| References, including PHA project examples - 5 pts | 3.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 2.3 |
| Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts | 4.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 |
| Respondent's Past Performance - 6 pts | 5.0 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 4.3 |
| Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service | 26.0 | 26.0 | 17.0 | 23.0 |
| Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 25 pts | | | | |
| Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 8 pts | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.7 |
| Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts | 4.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 2.7 |
| Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.7 |
| Personnel's Past Performance - 7 pts | 6.0 | 7.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 |
| Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience | 20.0 | 18.0 | 13.0 | 17.0 |
| Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 25 pts | | | | |
| Methodology Proposed - 8 pts | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.3 |
| Plan for Communication - 5 pts | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 12 pts (combined with subcategory below) | 6.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 3.7 |
| Efficient design schedule timeline (1-6 pts) | 3.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits | 15.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 13.0 |
| Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 15 pts | | | | |
| Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 5 pts | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.7 |
| Clarity and Brevity of Response - 5 pts | 5.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 5 pts | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.7 |
| Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies | 13.0 | 9.0 | 12.0 | 11.3 |
| TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES | | | | 64.3 |