

RFQ-1830 2021 FICAP Corrosion on Marine Assets

Budget: \$300,000 06/01/2021 via WebEx

POND

Evaluators: 1) Derek G, 2) Eric B, 3) Rebecca M, 4) Eric D					Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	90.8
Project Relative Weight					
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts					
Background of Respondent - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 6 pts	6.0	6.0	4.0	5.0	5.3
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.8
Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.5
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.5
Respondent's Past Performance - 3 pts	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.5
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	25.0	24.0	18.0	23.0	22.5
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts					
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	10.0	8.0	10.0	10.0	9.5
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 15 pts	15.0	15.0	12.0	15.0	14.3
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -7 pts	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Personnel's Past Performance - 3 pts	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	35.0	32.0	31.0	34.0	33.0
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts					
Methodology Proposed - 15 pts	15.0	15.0	12.0	14.0	14.0
Plan for Communication - 10 pts	8.0	5.0	8.0	9.0	7.5
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10 pts	9.0	7.0	10.0	9.0	8.8
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	32.0	27.0	30.0	32.0	30.3
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts					
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items as required by RFQ - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					90.8

RFQ-1830 2021 FICAP Corrosion on Marine Assets

Budget: \$300,000 06/01/2021 via WebEx

WISS, JANNEY, ELSTNER ASSOCIATES, INC

MOFFATT & NICHOL

Evaluators: 1) Derek G, 2) Eric B, 3) Rebecca M, 4) Eric D					Average Team Score					Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	85.5	E1	E2	E3	E4	84.3
Project Relative Weight										
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts										
Background of Respondent - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 6 pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.3	6.0	6.0	4.0	5.0	5.3
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	5.0	5.0	2.0	4.0	4.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts	5.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.3	5.0	5.0	2.0	5.0	4.3
Respondent's Past Performance - 3 pts	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.3	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.8
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	22.0	17.0	22.0	19.0	20.0	25.0	25.0	16.0	23.0	22.3
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts										
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	9.5	9.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.3
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 15 pts	13.0	10.0	12.0	13.0	12.0	13.0	13.0	5.0	12.0	10.8
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -7 pts	7.0	5.0	7.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	7.0	4.0	6.0	5.5
Personnel's Past Performance - 3 pts	3.0	2.0	3.0	1.0	2.3	3.0	3.0	1.0	3.0	2.5
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	33.0	27.0	32.0	27.0	29.8	30.0	31.0	18.0	29.0	27.0
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts										
Methodology Proposed - 15 pts	14.0	15.0	13.0	13.0	13.8	14.0	15.0	12.0	12.0	13.3
Plan for Communication - 10 pts	9.0	8.0	10.0	7.0	8.5	9.0	9.0	8.0	10.0	9.0
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10 pts	8.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	8.5	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.8
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	31.0	32.0	31.0	29.0	30.8	30.0	32.0	28.0	30.0	30.0
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts										
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					85.5					84.3

RFQ-1830 2021 FICAP Corrosion on Marine Assets

Budget: \$300,000 06/01/2021 via WebEx

WALTER P MOORE

CDI ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS, LLC

Evaluators: 1) Derek G, 2) Eric B, 3) Rebecca M, 4) Eric D					Average Team Score					Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	78.5	E1	E2	E3	E4	73.0
Project Relative Weight										
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts										
Background of Respondent - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.8	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.5
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 6 pts	6.0	6.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	6.0	3.0	4.0	4.5
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.8
Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.5	3.0	1.0	3.0	4.0	2.8
Respondent's Past Performance - 3 pts	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	24.0	23.0	19.0	18.0	21.0	19.0	18.0	14.0	19.0	17.5
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts										
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	8.0	7.0	8.0	7.0	7.5	7.0	7.0	7.0	6.0	6.8
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 15 pts	8.0	10.0	12.0	11.0	10.3	13.0	10.0	8.0	10.0	10.3
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -7 pts	7.0	5.0	7.0	5.0	6.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5
Personnel's Past Performance - 3 pts	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	26.0	24.0	30.0	25.0	26.3	25.0	24.0	22.0	23.0	23.5
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts										
Methodology Proposed - 15 pts	13.0	13.0	13.0	10.0	12.3	12.0	15.0	10.0	9.0	11.5
Plan for Communication - 10 pts	9.0	8.0	10.0	7.0	8.5	9.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	8.5
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10 pts	7.0	5.0	8.0	5.0	6.3	7.0	8.0	7.0	6.0	7.0
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	29.0	26.0	31.0	22.0	27.0	28.0	32.0	25.0	23.0	27.0
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts										
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pts	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					78.5					73.0

RFQ-1830 2021 FICAP Corrosion on Marine Assets

Budget: \$300,000 06/01/2021 via WebEx

V&A CONSULTING ENGINEERS

TIME FOR CHANGE

Evaluators: 1) Derek G, 2) Eric B, 3) Rebecca M, 4) Eric D					Average Team Score					Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	64.8	E1	E2	E3	E4	49.8
Project Relative Weight										
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts										
Background of Respondent - 3 pts	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.3	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.8
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 6 pts	6.0	6.0	5.0	4.0	5.3	3.0	6.0	5.0	3.0	4.3
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.8
Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.5
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts	2.0	1.0	3.0	3.0	2.3	2.0	1.0	5.0	1.0	2.3
Respondent's Past Performance - 3 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	20.0	19.0	19.0	17.0	18.8	14.0	18.0	19.0	14.0	16.3
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts										
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	6.0	4.0	7.0	6.0	5.8	5.0	2.0	7.0	3.0	4.3
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 15 pts	4.0	5.0	12.0	10.0	7.8	2.0	5.0	10.0	4.0	5.3
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -7 pts	7.0	2.0	7.0	6.0	5.5	1.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	2.5
Personnel's Past Performance - 3 pts	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	20.0	13.0	28.0	24.0	21.3	9.0	11.0	23.0	12.0	13.8
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts										
Methodology Proposed - 15 pts	5.0	6.0	13.0	11.0	8.8	1.0	5.0	10.0	7.0	5.8
Plan for Communication - 10 pts	5.0	5.0	8.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	8.0	7.0	6.0	6.8
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10 pts	4.0	5.0	8.0	6.0	5.8	2.0	5.0	7.0	4.0	4.5
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	14.0	16.0	29.0	23.0	20.5	9.0	18.0	24.0	17.0	17.0
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts										
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.8	0.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.3
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.8
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pts	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.0	0.8
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	1.0	2.0	5.0	3.0	2.8
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					64.8					49.8