

**Port of Houston Authority Evaluation Form for RFQ**  
**Project: RFQ - 182 CMT for All Port Authority Facilities and Locations**  
**Date: January 8, 2017      Time: 2:00 PM**

**Budget: \$46,000**

Vendor	SELECTION CRITERIA				Total Score	Comments
	1	2	3	4		
	<i>Respondent's (and Subconsultant's, if Applicable) Reputation and Quality of Services</i>	<i>Personnel (Including Subconsultant Personnel, if Applicable) Qualifications and Experience</i>	<i>Performance Plan and Other Benefits</i>	<i>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</i>		
<b>Project Relative Weight (%)</b>	35%	35%	25%	5%	<b>100</b>	
HVJ Associates, Inc. 6120 South Dairy Ashford Road Houston, Texas 77072	35.0	34.0	24.0	5.0	98.0	1. Excellent reputation and past experience. 2. The team had excellent credential, exceptional personnel. 3. The performance plan was very detailed in it's approach to the project. 4. The proposal complied with all requested information and documents.
Aviles Engineering Corporation 5790 Windfern Road Houston, Texas 77041	34.0	34.0	24.0	5.0	97.0	1. Good reputation and past experience. 2. The team has good credential, with good personnel. 3. The performance plan was very detailed in it's approach to the project. 4. The proposal did not complied with all requested information and documents.

**Port of Houston Authority Evaluation Form for RFQ**  
**Project: RFQ - 182 CMT for All Port Authority Facilities and Locations**  
**Date: January 8, 2017      Time: 2:00 PM**

**Budget: \$46,000**

		SELECTION CRITERIA					
		1	2	3	4		
Vendor		<i>Respondent's (and Subconsultant's, if Applicable) Reputation and Quality of Services</i>	<i>Personnel (Including Subconsultant Personnel, if Applicable) Qualifications and Experience</i>	<i>Performance Plan and Other Benefits</i>	<i>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</i>	Total Score	Comments
Project Relative Weight (%)		35%	35%	25%	5%	100	
Ninyo and Moore 2313 West Sam Houston Pkwy. N. Suite 119 Houston, Texas 77043		35.0	33.0	24.0	5.0	97.0	1. Good reputation, not as strong past experience. 2. The team is good but it's personnel is less experience. 3. The performance plan was very detailed in it's approach to the project. 4. The proposal complied with all requested information and documents.
<b>The following respondents were not ranked among the top 3 and are listed in alphabetical order below.</b>							
Alpha Mar Testing							
Atser, LP.							
Geotech Engineering and Testing							
Paradigm Consultants, Inc.							
Professional Service Industries, Inc.							
QC Laboratories							
Raba Kistner Consultants, Inc.							
Tolunay-Wong Engineers, Inc.							