

**RFQ-1744 Professional Engineering Services for 2021 Electrical and Communications Facility Inspection and Condition Assessment Program Port wide**

Budget: \$350,000.00

FINAL DATE: March 10, 2021 TIME: 10:00 AM via WebEx

Evaluators- <b>1-Derek, 2-Eric B, 3-Eric D, 4-John</b>	Hatch Associates Consultants, Inc.				Average Team Score	Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc.				Average Team Score
Project Relative Weight	E1	E2	E3	E4	90.8	E1	E2	E3	E4	88.8
<b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts</b>										
Background of Respondent - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 6 pts	6.0	5.0	6.0	6.0	5.8	6.0	5.0	6.0	6.0	5.8
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts	4.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.5
Respondent's Past Performance - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
<b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>	24.0	22.0	24.0	25.0	23.8	24.0	23.0	25.0	24.0	24.0
<b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts</b>										
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	9.5	10.0	8.0	8.0	10.0	9.0
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 15 pts	12.0	12.0	13.0	10.0	11.8	13.0	10.0	11.0	15.0	12.3
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -7 pts	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Personnel's Past Performance -3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	32.0	32.0	33.0	28.0	31.3	33.0	28.0	29.0	35.0	31.3
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts</b>										
Methodology Proposed - 15 pts	13.0	15.0	15.0	12.0	13.8	13.0	13.0	11.0	15.0	13.0
Plan for Communication - 10 pts	8.0	7.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	9.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	7.8
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	6.0	9.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	10.0	8.0
<b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>	31.0	32.0	34.0	26.0	30.8	30.0	27.0	25.0	33.0	28.8
<b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts</b>										
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.8
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pt	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8
<b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>					<b>90.8</b>					<b>88.8</b>

**RFQ-1744 Professional Engineering Services for 2021 Electrical and Communications Facility Inspection and Condition Assessment Program Port wide**

Budget: \$350,000.00

FINAL DATE: March 10, 2021 TIME: 10:00 AM via WebEx

Evaluators- <b>1-Derek, 2-Eric B, 3-Eric D, 4-John</b>	Power-Tech Engineers, Inc.				Average Team Score	Arup Texas, Inc.				Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	83.0	E1	E2	E3	E4	80.8
<b>Project Relative Weight</b>										
<b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts</b>										
Background of Respondent - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 6 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.8	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.5
Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.3	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.8
Respondent's Past Performance - 3 pts	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8
<b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>	22.0	23.0	19.0	19.0	20.8	20.0	21.0	20.0	22.0	20.8
<b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts</b>										
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.3	7.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	7.5
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 15 pts	12.0	14.0	10.0	10.0	11.5	13.0	12.0	12.0	13.0	12.5
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -7 pts	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Personnel's Past Performance -3 pts	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	31.0	33.0	28.0	28.0	30.0	29.0	28.0	29.0	30.0	29.0
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts</b>										
Methodology Proposed - 15 pts	13.0	15.0	13.0	13.0	13.5	14.0	8.0	11.0	12.0	11.3
Plan for Communication - 10 pts	8.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	7.5	9.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	7.8
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10 pts	7.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.3	8.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.3
<b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>	28.0	29.0	26.0	26.0	27.3	31.0	22.0	25.0	27.0	26.3
<b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts</b>										
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pt	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8
<b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>					<b>83.0</b>					<b>80.8</b>

**RFQ-1744 Professional Engineering Services for 2021 Electrical and Communications Facility Inspection and Condition Assessment Program Port wide**

Budget: \$350,000.00

FINAL DATE: March 10, 2021 TIME: 10:00 AM via WebEx

Evaluators- <b>1-Derek, 2-Eric B, 3-Eric D, 4-John</b>	Bath Group, Inc.				Average Team Score	EDG Inc.				Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	80.8	E1	E2	E3	E4	78.5
<b>Project Relative Weight</b>										
<b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts</b>										
Background of Respondent - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.0</b>	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.5</b>
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 6 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.5</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.5</b>
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.5</b>	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.8</b>
Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.3</b>	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.5</b>
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.5</b>	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	<b>2.8</b>
Respondent's Past Performance - 3 pts	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.5</b>	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.5</b>
<b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>	19.0	22.0	19.0	17.0	<b>19.3</b>	21.0	20.0	17.0	16.0	<b>18.5</b>
<b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts</b>										
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	8.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	<b>7.8</b>	10.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	<b>8.3</b>
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 15 pts	13.0	12.0	13.0	13.0	<b>12.8</b>	13.0	10.0	11.0	11.0	<b>11.3</b>
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -7 pts	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	<b>7.0</b>	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	<b>7.0</b>
Personnel's Past Performance -3 pts	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.3</b>	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.3</b>
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	31.0	28.0	30.0	30.0	<b>29.8</b>	33.0	26.0	28.0	28.0	<b>28.8</b>
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts</b>										
Methodology Proposed - 15 pts	7.0	13.0	12.0	12.0	<b>11.0</b>	13.0	13.0	12.0	12.0	<b>12.5</b>
Plan for Communication - 10 pts	8.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	<b>7.8</b>	8.0	6.0	7.0	7.0	<b>7.0</b>
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10 pts	9.0	7.0	9.0	9.0	<b>8.5</b>	8.0	6.0	7.0	7.0	<b>7.0</b>
<b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>	24.0	27.0	29.0	29.0	<b>27.3</b>	29.0	25.0	26.0	26.0	<b>26.5</b>
<b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts</b>										
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.0</b>	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.0</b>
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	<b>1.0</b>	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	<b>0.8</b>
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pt	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	<b>1.5</b>	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.0</b>
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.5</b>	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.8</b>
<b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>					<b>80.8</b>					<b>78.5</b>

**RFQ-1744 Professional Engineering Services for 2021 Electrical and Communications Facility Inspection and Condition Assessment Program Port wide**

Budget: \$350,000.00

FINAL DATE: March 10, 2021 TIME: 10:00 AM via WebEx

Evaluators- <b>1-Derek, 2-Eric B, 3-Eric D, 4-John</b>	Lanier & Associates Consulting Engineers, Inc.				Average Team Score	MS Benbow & Associates				Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	78.3	E1	E2	E3	E4	71.8
<b>Project Relative Weight</b>										
<b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts</b>										
Background of Respondent - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.0</b>	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.5</b>
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 6 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	<b>4.5</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	<b>4.3</b>
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.5</b>	3.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	<b>2.5</b>
Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.5</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.8</b>
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts	5.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	<b>2.8</b>	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.3</b>
Respondent's Past Performance - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.0</b>	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.3</b>
<b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>19.0</b>	<b>17.0</b>	<b>19.0</b>	<b>19.3</b>	<b>21.0</b>	<b>21.0</b>	<b>18.0</b>	<b>14.0</b>	<b>18.5</b>
<b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts</b>										
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	8.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	<b>7.8</b>	7.0	7.0	8.0	7.0	<b>7.3</b>
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 15 pts	12.0	8.0	11.0	10.0	<b>10.3</b>	13.0	12.0	12.0	11.0	<b>12.0</b>
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -7 pts	7.0	7.0	6.0	6.0	<b>6.5</b>	7.0	7.0	6.0	6.0	<b>6.5</b>
Personnel's Past Performance -3 pts	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	<b>2.8</b>	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.5</b>
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	<b>30.0</b>	<b>24.0</b>	<b>28.0</b>	<b>27.0</b>	<b>27.3</b>	<b>29.0</b>	<b>29.0</b>	<b>29.0</b>	<b>26.0</b>	<b>28.3</b>
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts</b>										
Methodology Proposed - 15 pts	13.0	14.0	12.0	12.0	<b>12.8</b>	12.0	10.0	11.0	11.0	<b>11.0</b>
Plan for Communication - 10 pts	7.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	<b>7.5</b>	7.0	5.0	7.0	6.0	<b>6.3</b>
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10 pts	7.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	<b>7.5</b>	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.8</b>
<b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>	<b>27.0</b>	<b>29.0</b>	<b>28.0</b>	<b>27.0</b>	<b>27.8</b>	<b>24.0</b>	<b>19.0</b>	<b>23.0</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>22.0</b>
<b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts</b>										
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	<b>1.5</b>	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	<b>1.0</b>
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	<b>1.0</b>	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	<b>1.0</b>
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pt	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	<b>1.5</b>	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	<b>1.0</b>
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>3.0</b>
<b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>					<b>78.3</b>					<b>71.8</b>