RFQ-1744 Professional Engineering Services for 2021 Electrical and Communications Facility Inspection and Condition **Assessment Program Port wide**

Budget: \$350,000.00 FINAL DATE: March 10, 2021 TIME: 10:00 AM via WebEx

Background of Respondent - 3 pts 3.0	Average Team Score	h Associates Consultants, Inc. Average Team Score Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc. Average				Hatch A	Evaluators- 1-Derek, 2-Eric B, 3-Eric D, 4-John				
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 6 pts 8.0	88.8	E4	E3	E2	E1	90.8	E4	Е3	E2	E1	Project Relative Weight
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 6 pts 6.0											Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts		3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	Background of Respondent - 3 pts
Deality of Respondent's services - 5 pts 5.0	5.8	6.0		5.0	6.0	5.8	6.0	6.0		6.0	Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 6 pts
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts 4.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 5.0 4.0 5.0 5.0 4.0 5.0 5.0 4.0 5.0 5.0 4.0 5.0 5.0 4.0 5.0 5.0 4.0 5.0 5.0 4.0 5.0 5.0 4.0 5.0 5.0 4.0 5.0 5.0 4.0 5.0 5.0 4.0 5.0 5.0 4.0 5.0		3.0									
Respondent's Past Performance - 3 pts Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service 24.0 22.0 24.0 25.0 23.8 23.8 24.0 23.0 25.0 24.0 25.0 23.8 24.0 23.0 25.0 24.0 25.0 24.0 25.0 23.8 24.0 23.0 25.0 24.0 25.0 24.0 25.0 25.8 25.8 24.0 25.0 25.0 24.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 24.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 24.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25		5.0		5.0						5.0	
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts		4.0		_			5.0			_	, , ,
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel-10 pts Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 15 pts 12.0 12.0 13.0 10.0 11.8 13.0 10.0 11.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	Respondent's Past Performance - 3 pts
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 8.0 9.5 10.0 8.0 8.0 10.0 1	0 24.0	24.0	25.0	23.0	24.0	23.8	25.0	24.0	22.0	24.0	Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service
10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 8.0 9.5 10.0 8.0 8.0 10.0 8.0 8.0 10.0 8.0 8.0 10.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 10.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 10.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 10.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 10.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 10.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 10.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 10.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 10.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 10.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 10.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 10.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 10.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 10.0 8.0											Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same rime - 15 pts 12.0 12.0 13.0 10.0 11.8 13.0 10.0 11.0 15.0 12.0 13.0 10.0 11.8 13.0 10.0 11.0 15.0 12.0 13.0 10.0 11.8 13.0 10.0 11.0 15.0 12.0 13.0 10.0 11.8 13.0 10.0 11.0 15.0 12.0 13.0 10.0 11.8 13.0 10.0 11.0 15.0 12.0 13.0 3.0											Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 15 pts	9.0	10.0	8.0	8.0	10.0	9.5	8.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	personnel- 10 pts
including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 15 pts											·
12.0 12.0 13.0 10.0 11.8 13.0 10.0 11.0 15.0									i İ	ļ	•
Personnel -7 pts	0 12.3	15.0	11.0	10.0	13.0	11.8	10.0	13.0	12.0	12.0	
Personnel -7 pts											Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated
Personnel's Past Performance -3 pts 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	, ,
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience 32.0 32.0 33.0 28.0 31.3 33.0 28.0 29.0 35.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Methodology Proposed - 15 pts 13.0 15.0 15.0 12.0 13.8 13.0 13.0 11.0 15.0 15.0 12.0 13.8 13.0 13.0 11.0 15.0 15.0 12.0 13.8 13.0 13.0 13.0 11.0 15.0 15.0 12.0 13.8 13.0	0 31.3	35.0	29.0	28.0	33.0	31.3	28.0		32.0	32.0	Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience
Methodology Proposed - 15 pts 13.0 15.0 15.0 12.0 13.8 13.0 13.0 11.0 15.0 Plan for Communication - 10 pts 8.0 7.0 9.0 8.0 8.0 9.0 7.0 7.0 8.0 Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10 Dots 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 6.0 9.0 8.0 7.0 7.0 10.0 Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits 31.0 32.0 34.0 26.0 30.8 30.0 27.0 25.0 33.0 Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 1.0 Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pt 2.0 2.											D. C DI I Oth . D Ct 25 .t.
Plan for Communication - 10 pts	0 13.0	15.0	11.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	15.0	15.0	12.0	
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10 obts Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits 31.0 32.0 34.0 26.0 30.8 30.0 27.0 25.0 33.0 34.0 26.0 30.0 30.0 30.0 30.0 30.0 30.0 30.0 3	*										
environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10 pts 10.0 10.0 10.0 6.0 9.0 8.0 7.0 7.0 10.0 1	7.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	9.0	0.0	8.0	9.0	7.0	8.0	·
Description 10.0									 		
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits 31.0 32.0 34.0 26.0 30.8 30.0 27.0 25.0 33.0 Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 2.0	0 80	10.0	7.0	7.0	9.0	0.0	6.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	, , , , ,
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 1.0											
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.	20.0	33.0	23.0	27.0	30.0	30.8	20.0	34.0	32.0	31.0	Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.											Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pt 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0	1.8	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts
RFQ - 2 pt 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts
RFQ - 2 pt 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0											Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by
	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
10tal Average - Overall Compilative with Fina Folicies 3.0		4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES 90.8	88.8	•	•								

RFQ-1744 Professional Engineering Services for 2021 Electrical and Communications Facility Inspection and Condition Assessment Program Port wide

Budget: \$350,000.00

FINAL DATE: March 10, 2021 TIME: 10:00 AM via WebEx

Evaluators- 1-Derek, 2-Eric B, 3-Eric D, 4-John	Pow	ver-Tech En	gineers, In	c.	Average Team Score		Arup Tex	as, Inc.	Average Team Score	
Project Relative Weight	E1	E2	E3	E4	83.0	E1	E2	E3	E4	80.8
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts										
Background of Respondent - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 6 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.8	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.5
Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.3	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.8
Respondent's Past Performance - 3 pts	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	22.0	23.0	19.0	19.0	20.8	20.0	21.0	20.0	22.0	20.8
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts										
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned										
personnel- 10 pts	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.3	7.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	7.5
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects,										
including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same										
time - 15 pts	12.0	14.0	10.0	10.0	11.5	13.0	12.0	12.0	13.0	12.5
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated										
personnel -7 pts	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Personnel's Past Performance -3 pts	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	31.0	33.0	28.0	28.0	30.0	29.0	28.0	29.0	30.0	29.0
<u> </u>		Į.	Į.					•		
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts										
Methodology Proposed - 15 pts	13.0	15.0	13.0	13.0	13.5	14.0	8.0	11.0	12.0	11.3
Plan for Communication - 10 pts	8.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	7.5	9.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	7.8
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or										
environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10										
pts	7.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.3	8.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.3
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	28.0	29.0	26.0	26.0	27.3	31.0	22.0	25.0	27.0	26.3
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts										
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	210	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
RFQ - 2 pt	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8
Total Average - Overall compliance with FTIA Folicies	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	7.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					83.0					80.8

RFQ-1744 Professional Engineering Services for 2021 Electrical and Communications Facility Inspection and Condition **Assessment Program Port wide**

Budget: \$350,000.00 FINAL DATE: March 10, 2021 TIME: 10:00 AM via WebEx

Evaluators- 1-Derek, 2-Eric B, 3-Eric D, 4-John		Bath Grou	up, Inc.		Average Team Score		EDG 1	Average Team Score		
Project Relative Weight	E1	E2	E3	E4	80.8	E1	E2	E3	E4	78.5
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts										
Background of Respondent - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.5
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 6 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.5
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.8
Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.5
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.5	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.8
Respondent's Past Performance - 3 pts	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.5
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	19.0	22.0	19.0	17.0	19.3	21.0	20.0	17.0	16.0	18.5
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts										
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned										
personnel- 10 pts	8.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	7.8	10.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.3
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects,										
ncluding, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same										
time - 15 pts	13.0	12.0	13.0	13.0	12.8	13.0	10.0	11.0	11.0	11.3
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated										
personnel -7 pts	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Personnel's Past Performance -3 pts	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	31.0	28.0	30.0	30.0	29.8	33.0	26.0	28.0	28.0	28.8
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts										
Methodology Proposed - 15 pts	7.0	13.0	12.0	12.0	11.0	13.0	13.0	12.0	12.0	12.5
Plan for Communication - 10 pts	8.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	7.8	8.0	6.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10						1				
pts	9.0	7.0	9.0	9.0	8.5	8.0	6.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	24.0	27.0	29.0	29.0	27.3	29.0	25.0	26.0	26.0	26.5
				25.0		27.0	20.0	20.0		
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts										
Jnderstanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by				\top		1				
RFQ - 2 pt	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					80.8					78.5

RFQ-1744 Professional Engineering Services for 2021 Electrical and Communications Facility Inspection and Condition **Assessment Program Port wide**

Budget: \$350,000.00 FINAL DATE: March 10, 2021 TIME: 10:00 AM via WebEx

Evaluators- 1-Derek, 2-Eric B, 3-Eric D, 4-John	Lanie	r & Associa Engineer		ting	Average Team Score	MS	Benbow &	Average Team Score		
Project Relative Weight	E1	E2	E3	E4	78.3	E1	E2	E3	E4	71.8
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts										
Background of Respondent - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.5
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 6 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.3
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.5
Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.8
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts	5.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	2.8	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.3
Respondent's Past Performance - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.3
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	22.0	19.0	17.0	19.0	19.3	21.0	21.0	18.0	14.0	18.5
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts										
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned										
personnel- 10 pts	8.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	7.8	7.0	7.0	8.0	7.0	7.3
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects,										
including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same										
time - 15 pts	12.0	8.0	11.0	10.0	10.3	13.0	12.0	12.0	11.0	12.0
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated										
personnel -7 pts	7.0	7.0	6.0	6.0	6.5	7.0	7.0	6.0	6.0	6.5
Personnel's Past Performance -3 pts	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.5
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	30.0	24.0	28.0	27.0	27.3	29.0	29.0	29.0	26.0	28.3
Denfermence Dien and Other Denefts 25 ato										
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts	13.0	14.0	12.0	12.0	12.8	12.0	10.0	11.0	11.0	11.0
Methodology Proposed - 15 pts	7.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	7.5	7.0	5.0		6.0	6.3
Plan for Communication - 10 pts	7.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	7.5	7.0	3.0	7.0	0.0	0.3
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or										
environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10	7.0	9.0	0.0	7.0	7.5	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.9
ots Park Annual Park Annual Planta de Chara Park Sta	7.0	8.0 29.0	8.0 28.0	7.0	7.5 27.8	5.0 24.0	4.0 19.0	5.0	5.0	4.8
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	27.0	29.0	28.0	27.0	21.0	24.0	19.0	23.0	22.0	22.0
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts										
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by										
RFQ - 2 pt	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
·			1							
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					78.3					71.8