

RFQ-1688 CMT for Construction of Wharf 6 at Bayport Terminal

Budget: \$725,000 Federal Funding

Project Relative Weight 1) Derek G 2) Gene N 3) Nick	Aviles Engineering Corporation			Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts				
Background of Respondent - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Reputation of Respondent and of Respondent services - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
References, including government project examples - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Quality of Respondent's services - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 6 pts	5.0	5.0	6.0	5.3
Respondent's Past Performance - 2 pts	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.7
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	18.0	19.0	20.0	19.0
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts				
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	10.0	9.0	10.0	9.7
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 15 pts	12.0	14.0	15.0	13.7
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts	9.0	10.0	7.0	8.7
Personnel's Past Performance -5 pts	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	35.0	38.0	35.0	36.0
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts				
Methodology Proposed - 20 pts	18.0	19.0	20.0	19.0
Plan for Communication - 12 pts	12.0	11.0	12.0	11.7
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	33.0	33.0	35.0	33.7
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts				
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items as required by RFQ - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				93.7

Fugro			Average Team Score	Raba Kistner, Inc			Average Team Score
E1	E2	E3		E1	E2	E3	
2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.3
4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.3
1.0	1.0	2.0	1.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
16.0	17.0	18.0	17.0	18.0	18.0	17.0	17.7
9.0	9.0	10.0	9.3	10.0	9.0	10.0	9.7
12.0	13.0	12.0	12.3	14.0	14.0	9.0	12.3
9.0	10.0	7.0	8.7	11.0	10.0	7.0	9.3
4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7
34.0	36.0	32.0	34.0	39.0	37.0	29.0	35.0
17.0	18.0	18.0	17.7	19.0	18.0	20.0	19.0
9.0	10.0	12.0	10.3	11.0	11.0	12.0	11.3
2.0	3.0	3.0	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
28.0	31.0	33.0	30.7	33.0	32.0	35.0	33.3
1.0	2.0	2.0	1.7	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
0.0	1.0	1.0	0.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.7
3.0	5.0	5.0	4.3	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7
			86.0				90.7