

RFQ-1662 Design of Trenchless Tunneling at Turning Basin Terminal

Budget: \$100,000
December 15, 2020, 9:00 AM via WebEx

Project Relative Weight <small>Evaluators E1: Jessica, E2: Richard, E3: Eddy</small>	Freese and Nichols, Inc			Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	
				98.2
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts				
Background of Respondent - 10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Quality of Respondent's services - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 3 pts	3.0	2.8	3.0	2.9
Respondent's Past Performance - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	25.0	24.8	25.0	24.9
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts				
Background, Reputation, Qualification and relevant experience of assigned personnel- 20 pts	20.0	20.0	19.0	19.7
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	10.0	8.0	10.0	9.3
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's Past Performance - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	40.0	38.0	39.0	39.0
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 30 pts				
Methodology Proposed - 20 pts	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
Plan for Communication - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.7
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 5 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	29.0	29.0	30.0	29.3
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts				
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	4.9	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				98.2

*Terradyne deemed nonresponsive- vendor proposed as a subconsultant and not on the entire project scope

RFQ-1662 Design of Trenchless Tunneling at Turning Basin Terminal

Budget: \$100,000
December 15, 2020, 9:00 AM via WebEx

Project Relative Weight <small>Evaluators E1: Jessica, E2: Richard, E3: Eddy</small>	Gunda Corporation			Average Team Score	AECOM			Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3		E1	E2	E3	
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts				94.2				90.2
Background of Respondent - 10 pts	10.0	9.0	10.0	9.7	9.0	9.0	10.0	9.3
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Quality of Respondent's services - 3 pts	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 3 pts	3.0	2.8	3.0	2.9	3.0	2.8	3.0	2.9
Respondent's Past Performance - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	25.0	23.7	25.0	24.6	24.0	23.7	25.0	24.2
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts								
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 20 pts	18.0	18.0	19.0	18.3	18.0	17.0	16.0	17.0
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.3	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.7
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's Past Performance - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	38.0	37.0	38.0	37.7	38.0	35.0	34.0	35.7
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 30 pts								
Methodology Proposed - 20 pts	20.0	17.0	18.0	18.3	20.0	17.0	16.0	17.7
Plan for Communication - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	2.0	3.3
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	29.0	26.0	26.0	27.0	29.0	25.0	22.0	25.3
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts								
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				94.2				90.2

*Terradyne deemed nonresponsive- vendor proposed as a subconsultant and not on the entire project scope

RFQ-1662 Design of Trenchless Tunneling at Turning Basin Terminal

Budget: \$100,000
December 15, 2020, 9:00 AM via WebEx

Project Relative Weight <small>Evaluators E1: Jessica, E2: Richard, E3: Eddy</small>	Lockwood, Andrews & Newnam			Average Team Score	Mott MacDonald			Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3		E1	E2	E3	
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts				87.6				87.6
Background of Respondent - 10 pts	9.0	9.0	10.0	9.3	8.0	9.0	10.0	9.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Quality of Respondent's services - 3 pts	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 3 pts	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0
Respondent's Past Performance - 3 pts	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	23.0	23.8	25.0	23.9	23.0	23.8	25.0	23.9
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts								
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 20 pts	18.0	18.0	16.0	17.3	17.0	15.0	16.0	16.0
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.7
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's Past Performance - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	36.0	36.0	34.0	35.3	37.0	33.0	34.0	34.7
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 30 pts								
Methodology Proposed - 20 pts	18.0	15.0	16.0	16.3	17.0	15.0	18.0	16.7
Plan for Communication - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	2.0	3.3	4.0	3.0	2.0	3.0
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	27.0	23.0	22.0	24.0	26.0	22.0	24.0	24.0
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts								
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.7	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.7	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				87.6				87.6

*Terradyne deemed nonresponsive- vendor proposed as a subconsultant and not on the entire project scope

RFQ-1662 Design of Trenchless Tunneling at Turning Basin Terminal

Budget: \$100,000
December 15, 2020, 9:00 AM via WebEx

Project Relative Weight <small>Evaluators E1: Jessica, E2: Richard, E3: Eddy</small>	Moffatt & Nichol			Average Team Score	Johnson and Pace, Inc			Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	80.3	E1	E2	E3	69.9
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts								
Background of Respondent - 10 pts	9.0	9.0	8.0	8.7	6.0	9.0	5.0	6.7
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.3
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.3
Quality of Respondent's services - 3 pts	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.9	1.0	2.3
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 3 pts	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.9	1.0	2.3
Respondent's Past Performance - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.3
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	24.0	23.8	23.0	23.6	21.0	23.8	10.0	18.3
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts								
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 20 pts	17.0	15.0	10.0	14.0	12.0	12.0	10.0	11.3
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	9.0	8.0	5.0	7.3	8.0	9.0	8.0	8.3
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's Past Performance - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	36.0	33.0	25.0	31.3	30.0	31.0	28.0	29.7
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 30 pts								
Methodology Proposed - 20 pts	17.0	15.0	10.0	14.0	10.0	13.0	10.0	11.0
Plan for Communication - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	2.0	3.7	5.0	5.0	2.0	4.0
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 5 pts	4.0	3.0	1.0	2.7	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.3
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	26.0	22.0	13.0	20.3	18.0	21.0	13.0	17.3
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts								
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.7
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				80.3				69.9

*Terradyne deemed nonresponsive- vendor proposed as a subconsultant and not on the entire project scope