

**RFQ-1661 U-Shaped Property Pre-Development at Bayport Container Terminal**

**Budget: \$500,000 12/3/2020 3:00 pm via WebEx**

Project Relative Weight Evaluators: 1-Harvey R, 2-Nick K, 3-Ross T	Lockwood, Andrews and Newman			Average Team Score	Half Associates, Inc.			Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	92.7	E1	E2	E3	91.0
<b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts</b>								
Background of Respondent 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services 5 pts	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
References, including PHA project examples 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.7
Quality of Respondent's services 2 pts	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.7	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects 7 pts	6.0	6.0	5.0	5.7	5.0	6.0	3.0	5.0
Respondent's Past Performance 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
<b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>	24.0	24.0	19.0	22.3	23.0	23.0	21.0	22.3
<b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts</b>								
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel related to this project 15 pts	15.0	15.0	12.0	14.0	13.0	15.0	13.0	14.0
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time 15 pts	14.0	14.0	10.0	12.7	12.0	13.0	13.0	13.0
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's Past Performance 5 pts	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	39.0	39.0	30.0	36.0	35.0	38.0	36.0	36.3
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 20 pts</b>								
Methodology Proposed to perform the Port Authority projects and services 10 pts	10.0	10.0	9.0	9.7	8.0	9.0	9.0	8.7
Plan for Communicating with the Port Authority 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Any unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods related to this project 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.3
<b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>	20.0	20.0	18.0	19.3	18.0	19.0	17.0	18.0
<b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 15 pts</b>								
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives 10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.3
Clarity and Brevity of Response 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by the RFQ 1 pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	14.0	15.0	14.0	14.3
<b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>				<b>92.7</b>				<b>91.0</b>

\*Terradyne Engineering, Inc. was deemed nonresponsive, as they proposed as a subconsultant and not on the entire scope.

**RFQ-1661 U-Shaped Property Pre-Development at Bayport Container Terminal**

**Budget: \$500,000 12/3/2020 3:00 pm via WebEx**

Project Relative Weight Evaluators: 1-Harvey R, 2-Nick K, 3-Ross T	Cobb, Fendley & Associates, Inc.			Average Team Score	Johnson & Pace Incorporated			Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	90.7	E1	E2	E3	88.0
<b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts</b>								
Background of Respondent 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7
References, including PHA project examples 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.7
Quality of Respondent's services 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects 7 pts	6.0	4.0	7.0	5.7	6.0	3.0	7.0	5.3
Respondent's Past Performance 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
<b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>	24.0	22.0	22.0	22.7	24.0	20.0	23.0	22.3
<b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts</b>								
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel related to this project 15 pts	14.0	14.0	12.0	13.3	14.0	13.0	12.0	13.0
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time 15 pts	14.0	11.0	12.0	12.3	14.0	10.0	12.0	12.0
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's Past Performance 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	38.0	35.0	33.0	35.3	38.0	33.0	33.0	34.7
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 20 pts</b>								
Methodology Proposed to perform the Port Authority projects and services 10 pts	8.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
Plan for Communicating with the Port Authority 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.3
Any unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods related to this project 5 pts	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.3	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.3
<b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>	18.0	20.0	17.0	18.3	17.0	17.0	16.0	16.7
<b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 15 pts</b>								
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives 10 pts	9.0	10.0	10.0	9.7	9.0	9.0	10.0	9.3
Clarity and Brevity of Response 4 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by the RFQ 1 pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>	14.0	15.0	14.0	14.3	14.0	14.0	15.0	14.3
<b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>				<b>90.7</b>				<b>88.0</b>

\*Terradyne Engineering, Inc. was deemed nonresponsive, as they proposed as a subconsultant and not on the entire scope.

**RFQ-1661 U-Shaped Property Pre-Development at Bayport Container Terminal**

**Budget: \$500,000 12/3/2020 3:00 pm via WebEx**

Project Relative Weight Evaluators: 1-Harvey R, 2-Nick K, 3-Ross T	PGAL, Inc.			Average Team Score	Riley Mountain Engineering, Inc			Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	85.7	E1	E2	E3	81.3
<b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts</b>								
Background of Respondent 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.3
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services 5 pts	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
References, including PHA project examples 5 pts	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.3	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
Quality of Respondent's services 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects 7 pts	5.0	5.0	7.0	5.7	5.0	3.0	7.0	5.0
Respondent's Past Performance 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
<b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>	23.0	20.0	23.0	22.0	23.0	17.0	21.0	20.3
<b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts</b>								
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel related to this project 15 pts	13.0	12.0	10.0	11.7	13.0	11.0	12.0	12.0
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time 15 pts	13.0	12.0	10.0	11.7	13.0	10.0	12.0	11.7
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's Past Performance 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	36.0	34.0	29.0	33.0	36.0	31.0	33.0	33.3
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 20 pts</b>								
Methodology Proposed to perform the Port Authority projects and services 10 pts	7.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	9.0	7.0	8.0	8.0
Plan for Communicating with the Port Authority 5 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.3
Any unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods related to this project 5 pts	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.3	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.7
<b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>	16.0	19.0	16.0	17.0	19.0	13.0	16.0	16.0
<b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 15 pts</b>								
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives 10 pts	7.0	9.0	10.0	8.7	8.0	7.0	8.0	7.7
Clarity and Brevity of Response 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	3.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by the RFQ 1 pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>	12.0	14.0	15.0	13.7	13.0	11.0	11.0	11.7
<b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>				<b>85.7</b>				<b>81.3</b>

\*Terradyne Engineering, Inc. was deemed nonresponsive, as they proposed as a subconsultant and not on the entire scope.

**RFQ-1661 U-Shaped Property Pre-Development at Bayport Container Terminal**

**Budget: \$500,000 12/3/2020 3:00 pm via WebEx**

Project Relative Weight Evaluators: 1-Harvey R, 2-Nick K, 3-Ross T	EJES Incorporated			Average Team Score	RSG Engineering			Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	82.0	E1	E2	E3	78.3
<b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts</b>								
Background of Respondent 5 pts	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.3	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services 5 pts	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.7	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
References, including PHA project examples 5 pts	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.7	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
Quality of Respondent's services 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.7
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects 7 pts	6.0	4.0	3.0	4.3	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.7
Respondent's Past Performance 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
<b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>	24.0	18.0	15.0	19.0	23.0	17.0	17.0	19.0
<b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts</b>								
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel related to this project 15 pts	13.0	12.0	10.0	11.7	15.0	11.0	10.0	12.0
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time 15 pts	13.0	12.0	10.0	11.7	13.0	10.0	8.0	10.3
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's Past Performance 5 pts	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.3	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	36.0	34.0	28.0	32.7	38.0	31.0	27.0	32.0
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 20 pts</b>								
Methodology Proposed to perform the Port Authority projects and services 10 pts	8.0	9.0	9.0	8.7	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.3
Plan for Communicating with the Port Authority 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.7
Any unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods related to this project 5 pts	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.3	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.7
<b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>	18.0	19.0	17.0	18.0	19.0	13.0	15.0	15.7
<b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 15 pts</b>								
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives 10 pts	8.0	9.0	7.0	8.0	10.0	7.0	7.0	8.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response 4 pts	4.0	4.0	2.0	3.3	4.0	3.0	2.0	3.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by the RFQ 1 pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.7
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>	13.0	14.0	10.0	12.3	15.0	11.0	9.0	11.7
<b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>				<b>82.0</b>				<b>78.3</b>

\*Terradyne Engineering, Inc. was deemed nonresponsive, as they proposed as a subconsultant and not on the entire scope.