

**RFQ-1660 PES for Planning & Design for Reconstruction of 87  
Acres of Container Yards at BCT**

**Budget: \$2,000,000  
January 25, 2021, 1:00 pm via WebEx**

Project Relative Weight <small>Evaluators: 1-Harvey, 2-Bridget, 3-Ross, 4-Bryan, 5-Craig</small>	AECOM					Average Team Score	Hatch Associates and Consultants, Inc					Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	92.8	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	91.7
<b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20pts</b>												
Background of Respondent - <u>3</u> pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	<b>2.8</b>	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.0</b>
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - <u>2</u> pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.0</b>	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.0</b>
References, including PHA project examples - <u>2</u> pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.0</b>	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.0</b>
Quality of Respondent's services - <u>2</u> pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.0</b>	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.0</b>
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - <u>10</u> pts	10.0	10.0	8.0	9.0	10.0	<b>9.4</b>	9.0	10.0	9.0	7.5	10.0	<b>9.1</b>
Respondent's Past Performance - <u>1</u> pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	<b>1.0</b>	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	<b>1.0</b>
<b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>	<b>20.0</b>	<b>20.0</b>	<b>17.0</b>	<b>19.0</b>	<b>20.0</b>	<b>19.2</b>	<b>19.0</b>	<b>20.0</b>	<b>19.0</b>	<b>17.5</b>	<b>20.0</b>	<b>19.1</b>
<b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts</b>												
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevent experience of assigned personnel- <u>15</u> pts	15.0	15.0	12.0	15.0	15.0	<b>14.4</b>	15.0	15.0	14.0	13.5	15.0	<b>14.5</b>
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - <u>15</u> pts	14.0	15.0	12.0	15.0	14.0	<b>14.0</b>	14.0	15.0	12.0	13.0	13.0	<b>13.4</b>
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - <u>5</u> pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.0</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.0</b>
Personnel's Past Performance - <u>5</u> pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.6</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.0</b>
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	<b>39.0</b>	<b>40.0</b>	<b>33.0</b>	<b>40.0</b>	<b>38.0</b>	<b>38.0</b>	<b>39.0</b>	<b>40.0</b>	<b>36.0</b>	<b>36.5</b>	<b>38.0</b>	<b>37.9</b>
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts</b>												
Methodology Proposed - <u>15</u> pts	14.0	14.0	12.0	14.5	15.0	<b>13.9</b>	14.0	15.0	12.0	13.0	15.0	<b>13.8</b>
Plan for Communication - <u>10</u> pts	9.0	10.0	5.0	9.0	10.0	<b>8.6</b>	8.0	10.0	5.0	8.0	10.0	<b>8.2</b>
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- <u>10</u> pts	8.0	10.0	5.0	9.5	9.0	<b>8.3</b>	8.0	10.0	5.0	7.5	8.0	<b>7.7</b>
<b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>	<b>31.0</b>	<b>34.0</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>33.0</b>	<b>34.0</b>	<b>30.8</b>	<b>30.0</b>	<b>35.0</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>28.5</b>	<b>33.0</b>	<b>29.7</b>
<b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts</b>												
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - <u>2</u> pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.0</b>	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.0</b>
Clarity and Brevity of Response - <u>2</u> pts	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>1.8</b>	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.0</b>
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all itemas required by RFQ - <u>1</u> pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	<b>1.0</b>	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	<b>1.0</b>
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>
<b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>						<b>92.8</b>						<b>91.7</b>

RFQ-1660 PES for Planning & Design for Reconstruction of 87  
Acres of Container Yards at BCT

Budget: \$2,000,000  
January 25, 2021, 1:00 pm via WebEx

Project Relative Weight <small>Evaluators: 1-Harvey, 2-Bridget, 3-Ross, 4-Bryan, 5-Craig</small>	RPS Group					Average Team Score	Moffatt & Nichol					Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	90.8	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	85.6
<b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20pts</b>												
Background of Respondent - <u>3</u> pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.8
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - <u>2</u> pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
References, including PHA project examples - <u>2</u> pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Quality of Respondent's services - <u>2</u> pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - <u>10</u> pts	8.0	10.0	8.0	8.0	10.0	8.8	7.0	10.0	6.0	8.0	10.0	8.2
Respondent's Past Performance - <u>1</u> pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
<b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>	18.0	20.0	18.0	18.0	20.0	18.8	17.0	20.0	15.0	18.0	20.0	18.0
<b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts</b>												
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- <u>15</u> pts	15.0	15.0	13.0	15.0	14.0	14.4	15.0	13.0	10.0	12.0	14.0	12.8
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - <u>15</u> pts	13.0	15.0	12.0	13.5	14.0	13.5	13.0	15.0	10.0	12.0	13.0	12.6
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - <u>5</u> pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's Past Performance - <u>5</u> pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.4
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	38.0	40.0	34.0	38.5	38.0	37.7	38.0	38.0	28.0	33.0	37.0	34.8
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts</b>												
Methodology Proposed - <u>15</u> pts	13.0	15.0	12.0	13.5	14.0	13.5	13.0	12.0	10.0	13.0	13.0	12.2
Plan for Communication - <u>10</u> pts	8.0	8.0	5.0	9.0	10.0	8.0	7.0	8.0	5.0	9.0	10.0	7.8
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- <u>10</u> pts	8.0	10.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	7.8	8.0	10.0	5.0	8.0	9.0	8.0
<b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>	29.0	33.0	22.0	30.5	32.0	29.3	28.0	30.0	20.0	30.0	32.0	28.0
<b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts</b>												
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - <u>2</u> pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8
Clarity and Brevity of Response - <u>2</u> pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - <u>1</u> pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8
<b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>						<b>90.8</b>						<b>85.6</b>

RFQ-1660 PES for Planning & Design for Reconstruction of 87  
Acres of Container Yards at BCT

Budget: \$2,000,000  
January 25, 2021, 1:00 pm via WebEx

Project Relative Weight <small>Evaluators: 1-Harvey, 2-Bridget, 3-Ross, 4-Bryan, 5-Craig</small>	LJA Engineering					Average Team Score	Civil Tech Engineering, Inc.					Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	83.6	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	83.0
<b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20pts</b>												
Background of Respondent - <u>3</u> pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.8
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - <u>2</u> pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
References, including PHA project examples - <u>2</u> pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.6
Quality of Respondent's services - <u>2</u> pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.8
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - <u>10</u> pts	7.0	10.0	7.0	8.0	10.0	8.4	7.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	10.0	7.8
Respondent's Past Performance - <u>1</u> pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
<b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>	17.0	20.0	16.0	18.0	20.0	18.2	17.0	17.0	14.0	18.0	19.0	17.0
<b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts</b>												
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- <u>15</u> pts	15.0	15.0	10.0	12.0	13.0	13.0	15.0	15.0	10.0	11.0	11.0	12.4
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - <u>15</u> pts	12.0	13.0	10.0	12.0	14.0	12.2	12.0	13.0	10.0	11.0	12.0	11.6
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - <u>5</u> pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's Past Performance - <u>5</u> pts	5.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	37.0	38.0	28.0	32.0	36.0	34.2	37.0	38.0	28.0	30.0	32.0	33.0
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts</b>												
Methodology Proposed - <u>15</u> pts	13.0	8.0	12.0	10.0	12.0	11.0	13.0	15.0	12.0	10.0	11.0	12.2
Plan for Communication - <u>10</u> pts	8.0	8.0	5.0	9.0	10.0	8.0	8.0	10.0	5.0	8.0	10.0	8.2
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- <u>10</u> pts	8.0	10.0	5.0	7.0	8.0	7.6	8.0	10.0	5.0	7.0	8.0	7.6
<b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>	29.0	26.0	22.0	26.0	30.0	26.6	29.0	35.0	22.0	25.0	29.0	28.0
<b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts</b>												
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - <u>2</u> pts	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.6	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - <u>2</u> pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - <u>1</u> pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.6	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>						<b>83.6</b>						<b>83.0</b>

**RFQ-1660 PES for Planning & Design for Reconstruction of 87  
Acres of Container Yards at BCT**

**Budget: \$2,000,000**  
**January 25, 2021, 1:00 pm via WebEx**

Project Relative Weight <small>Evaluators: 1-Harvey, 2-Bridget, 3-Ross, 4-Bryan, 5-Craig</small>	McDonough Engineering Corporation					Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	82.2
<b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20pts</b>						
Background of Respondent - <u>3</u> pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	<b>2.8</b>
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - <u>2</u> pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>2.0</b>
References, including PHA project examples - <u>2</u> pts	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	<b>1.6</b>
Quality of Respondent's services - <u>2</u> pts	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	<b>1.8</b>
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - <u>10</u> pts	6.0	10.0	6.0	8.0	9.0	<b>7.8</b>
Respondent's Past Performance - <u>1</u> pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	<b>1.0</b>
<b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>	<b>16.0</b>	<b>20.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>18.0</b>	<b>18.0</b>	<b>17.0</b>
<b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts</b>						
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- <u>15</u> pts	15.0	15.0	10.0	11.0	11.0	<b>12.4</b>
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - <u>15</u> pts	12.0	14.0	12.0	11.0	12.0	<b>12.2</b>
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - <u>5</u> pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.0</b>
Personnel's Past Performance - <u>5</u> pts	5.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	5.0	<b>4.2</b>
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	<b>37.0</b>	<b>39.0</b>	<b>30.0</b>	<b>30.0</b>	<b>33.0</b>	<b>33.8</b>
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts</b>						
Methodology Proposed - <u>15</u> pts	13.0	14.0	9.0	10.0	13.0	<b>11.8</b>
Plan for Communication - <u>10</u> pts	7.0	8.0	5.0	9.0	10.0	<b>7.8</b>
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- <u>10</u> pts	7.0	10.0	5.0	7.0	8.0	<b>7.4</b>
<b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>	<b>27.0</b>	<b>32.0</b>	<b>19.0</b>	<b>26.0</b>	<b>31.0</b>	<b>27.0</b>
<b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts</b>						
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - <u>2</u> pts	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	<b>1.6</b>
Clarity and Brevity of Response - <u>2</u> pts	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	<b>1.8</b>
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - <u>1</u> pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	<b>1.0</b>
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.4</b>
<b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>						<b>82.2</b>