

RFQ-1487 Professional Engineering Services for the Design of Construct Container Yard 3 North at Harbours Cut Terminal

Date: May 27, 2020, 9:00 a.m., Close Webex

Sheet: 5329.00

Project Relative Weight	RPS Group				Average Team Score	Hatch Associates Consultants, Inc.				Average Team Score	Lockwood, Andrews and Newman, Inc.				Average Team Score	Kinley-Horn and Associates, Inc.				Average Team Score	EMBE&T				Average Team Score	LJA Engineering, Inc.				Average Team Score	Lawrence and Associates, LLC				Average Team Score	Haft Associates, Inc.				Average Team Score			
	R1	R2	R3	R4		R1	R2	R3	R4		R1	R2	R3	R4		R1	R2	R3	R4		R1	R2	R3	R4		R1	R2	R3	R4		R1	R2	R3	R4		R1	R2	R3	R4				
<b>Personnel, Reputation and Quality of Service - 16 pts</b>					<b>97.3</b>					<b>97.0</b>					<b>95.5</b>					<b>76.6</b>					<b>64.6</b>					<b>59.3</b>					<b>56.5</b>					<b>51.1</b>			
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.0	3.5
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.3	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	5.0	3.3	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.0	3.5	
References, including PMA project examples - 2 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	1.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.3	1.0	1.0	3.0	2.0	1.5
Quality of Respondent's services - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PMA projects - 2 pts	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	3.0	1.5	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.3	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.5	
Respondent's Past Performance - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.9	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.9	2.0	2.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.3	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	1.5	2.1	
<b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>	<b>20.0</b>	<b>19.0</b>	<b>20.0</b>	<b>19.0</b>	<b>18.5</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>20.0</b>	<b>20.0</b>	<b>18.3</b>	<b>19.0</b>	<b>19.0</b>	<b>19.0</b>	<b>18.0</b>	<b>16.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>12.5</b>	<b>14.6</b>	<b>12.0</b>	<b>12.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.5</b>	<b>12.0</b>	<b>12.5</b>	<b>12.0</b>	<b>12.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>16.0</b>	<b>16.0</b>	<b>12.0</b>	<b>12.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>18.0</b>	<b>12.0</b>	<b>12.0</b>	<b>19.0</b>	<b>15.5</b>	<b>14.1</b>					
<b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 48 pts</b>																																											
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel - 15 pts	15.0	15.0	14.0	13.0	14.3	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	14.0	14.0	12.0	10.0	11.0	9.0	10.5	9.0	8.0	10.0	7.0	7.0	8.5	8.0	10.0	13.0	7.0	9.5	9.0	8.0	10.0	6.0	8.3	11.0	10.0	12.0	8.0	10.3			
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	9.0	10.0	8.0	10.0	9.3	8.0	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.5	9.0	10.0	8.0	10.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.3	6.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	5.0	5.5	6.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	5.0	6.0	1.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	5.0	7.0	5.0	5.8		
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		
Personnel's Past Performance - 10 pts	10.0	10.0	9.0	8.0	9.3	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	10.0	8.0	9.0	8.0	7.0	8.0	6.0	7.3	6.0	5.0	7.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	8.0	8.0	6.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	5.0	5.0	8.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0		
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	<b>39.0</b>	<b>40.0</b>	<b>38.0</b>	<b>36.0</b>	<b>37.8</b>	<b>38.0</b>	<b>40.0</b>	<b>38.0</b>	<b>38.0</b>	<b>38.5</b>	<b>38.0</b>	<b>40.0</b>	<b>38.0</b>	<b>37.0</b>	<b>33.0</b>	<b>29.0</b>	<b>37.0</b>	<b>29.0</b>	<b>29.8</b>	<b>28.0</b>	<b>28.0</b>	<b>28.0</b>	<b>21.0</b>	<b>26.0</b>	<b>23.0</b>	<b>24.5</b>	<b>25.0</b>	<b>31.0</b>	<b>31.0</b>	<b>28.5</b>	<b>30.0</b>	<b>23.0</b>	<b>24.0</b>	<b>27.0</b>	<b>25.0</b>	<b>32.0</b>	<b>24.0</b>	<b>29.0</b>					
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 38 pts</b>																																											
The methodology proposed to perform Port Houston projects and services - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			
Plan for communicating with Port Houston - 5 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods - 8 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8	1.0	1.0	0.8	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.5	1.0	1.0	0.8		
Design schedule timeline (30%, 60%, 100% package) or the design duration for the final package - 12 pts	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	11.0	12.0	11.0	12.0	11.5	10.0	12.0	11.0	12.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	11.0	15.0	18.0	5.0	12.3	5.0	5.0	10.0	6.3		
<b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>14.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>14.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>14.5</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>14.0</b>	<b>15.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>12.8</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>13.0</b>		
<b>Overall Compliance with PMA Policies - 5 pts</b>																																											
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.5		
Clarity and brevity of Response - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	3.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8	1.0	1.0	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.5	1.0	1.0	0.5		
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PMA Policies</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.8</b>		
<b>Total Overall Project Scores</b>					<b>87.3</b>					<b>87.0</b>					<b>86.5</b>					<b>66.6</b>					<b>59.3</b>					<b>56.5</b>					<b>51.1</b>								