

Port of Houston Authority Evaluation Form for RFQ

Project: RFQ - 148 Container Freight Station, Utilities and Drainage at Bayport Container Terminal

Date: November 16, 2016

Time: 3:00 P.M.

Budget: \$500,000

	SELECTION CRITERIA					Comments
	1	2	3	4		
Vendor	<i>Respondent's (and Subconsultant's, if Applicable) Reputation and Quality of Services</i>	<i>Personnel (Including Subconsultant Personnel, if Applicable) Qualifications and Experience</i>	<i>Performance Plan and Other Benefits</i>	<i>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</i>	Total Score	
Project Relative Weight (%)	25%	40%	20%	15%	100	
CobbFendley 13430 Northwest Freeway, Suite 1100 Houston, TX 77040	25	39	20	15	99	1. Reputation and quality of work are outstanding. 2. The proposed team has outstanding credentials and possesses all required certifications and licenses. 3. The performance plan and other benefits are excellent. 4. Respondent complied with all PHA Guidelines.
HRGreen 11011 Richmond Ave., Suite 200 Houston, TX 77042	25	38	19	14	96	1. Reputation and quality of work are outstanding. 2. The proposed team has excellent credentials and possesses all required certifications and licenses. 3. The performance plan and other benefits are very good. 4. Respondent complied with most PHA Guidelines.

FOR ED AND PORT COMMISSION ONLY

Port of Houston Authority Evaluation Form for RFQ

Project: RFQ - 148 Container Freight Station, Utilities and Drainage at Bayport Container Terminal

Date: November 16, 2016

Time: 3:00 P.M.

Budget: \$500,000

SELECTION CRITERIA						
	1	2	3	4		
Vendor	<i>Respondent's (and Subconsultant's, if Applicable) Reputation and Quality of Services</i>	<i>Personnel (Including Subconsultant Personnel, if Applicable) Qualifications and Experience</i>	<i>Performance Plan and Other Benefits</i>	<i>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</i>	Total Score	Comments
Project Relative Weight (%)	25%	40%	20%	15%	100	
KIT Professionals, Inc. 2000 W. Sam Houston Parkway S., Suite 1400 Houston, TX 77042	24	37	18	14	93	1. Reputation and quality of work are excellent. 2. The proposed team has very good credentials and possesses all required certifications and licenses. 3. The performance plan and other benefits are good. 4. Respondent complied with most PHA Guidelines.
The following respondents were not ranked among the top 3 and are listed in alphabetical order below.						
Binkely & Barfield, Inc.						
Bovay Engineers, Inc.						
Chester Engineers						