

RFQ-1424 Analysis and Design for the Rehab and Repair of Wharf 8 at TBT

April 2, 2020, 3:00 pm, 3rd Floor Conference Room

Budget: \$500,000

Project Relative Weight	Half & Associates					Average Team Score	Jacobs					Average Team Score	Lloyd Engineering, Inc					Average Team Score	Lockwood, Andrews & Newnam, Inc					Average Team Score	Moffatt & Nichol					Average Team Score	Wood					Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5		E1	E2	E3	E4	E5		E1	E2	E3	E4	E5		E1	E2	E3	E4	E5		E1	E2	E3	E4	E5		E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 40 pts																																				
Background of Respondent - 10pts	10.0	7.0	8.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	7.0	6.0	8.4	10.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	7.0	9.0	10.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	7.0	7.8	10.0	10.0	10.0	7.0	8.0	9.0	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	6.0	8.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 10 pts	10.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	7.8	10.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	6.0	7.8	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	8.2	10.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	7.0	7.8	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.4	10.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	6.0	7.6
References, including PHA project examples - 5pts	5.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.6	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	4.2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.6	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.2
Quality of Respondent's services -5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	2.0	3.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.6	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.2	3.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.4	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.2	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	2.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	2.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.2	1.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	2.0	3.2
Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.6	1.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	2.0	3.4	1.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	3.4	3.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.6	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	2.0	4.0
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	40.0	30.0	31.0	32.0	30.0	32.6	40.0	37.0	32.0	29.0	22.0	32.0	36.0	37.0	37.0	35.0	25.0	34.0	36.0	31.0	31.0	36.0	26.0	32.0	36.0	37.0	33.0	33.0	30.0	33.8	36.0	30.0	30.0	33.0	21.0	30.0
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 45 pts																																				
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevent experience of assigned personnel- 15 pts	15.0	12.0	13.0	10.0	14.0	12.8	12.0	15.0	13.0	7.0	10.0	11.4	15.0	13.0	13.0	12.0	12.0	13.0	15.0	12.0	12.0	13.0	12.0	12.8	15.0	13.0	13.0	10.0	14.0	13.0	15.0	12.0	12.0	10.0	9.0	11.6
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	9.0	8.6	5.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	6.0	6.4	10.0	8.0	9.0	8.0	6.0	8.2	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	6.0	8.0	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.4	5.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	6.8
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 10 pts	10.0	7.0	7.0	10.0	8.0	8.4	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	9.6	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	9.6	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	9.6	10.0	6.0	6.0	10.0	8.0	8.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	10.0	8.0	7.6
Personnel's Past Performance -10 pts	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	8.2	8.0	10.0	8.0	5.0	6.0	7.4	5.0	8.0	9.0	8.0	7.0	7.4	5.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	7.2	5.0	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.8	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	7.8
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	45.0	35.0	36.0	36.0	38.0	38.0	35.0	43.0	39.0	27.0	30.0	34.8	40.0	39.0	41.0	38.0	33.0	38.2	40.0	38.0	38.0	39.0	33.0	37.6	40.0	37.0	35.0	36.0	38.0	37.2	40.0	33.0	33.0	36.0	27.0	33.8
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 10 pts																																				
Methodology Proposed - 3 pts	1.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.6	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	1.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.6	1.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.4	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	1.0	1.8
Plan for Communication - 2 pts	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.2	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2
Unique or Specialized Processes or Methods - 3 pts	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.8	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.2
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 2pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.2	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.8	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.6	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.6	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.8	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.4
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	4.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	10.0	7.6	7.0	9.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.2	4.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.2	4.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	7.6	10.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	9.0	5.0	7.0	7.0	5.0	4.0	5.6
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts																																				
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.8	2	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.6
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.6	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.8	2	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.6	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.6	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.4	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.6	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.6	5.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.8
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES						82.8						80.0						83.8						81.8						84.6						73.2