

RFQ-1374 CMT for Rehab of Wharves 4 & 5 at BPT

Budget: \$200,000

March 3, 2020, 11:00 am, 3rd Floor S Conf Rm

Project Relative Weight	All-Terra Engineering, Inc				Average Team Score	Associated Testing Laboratories, Inc				Average Team Score	Aviles Engineering Corporation				Average Team Score	HTS, Inc Consultants				Average Team Score	Professional Service Industries, Inc (PSI)				Average Team Score	Raba Kistner				Average Team Score	Terracon				Average Team Score	The Murillo Company				Average Team Score	Tolunay-Wong Engineers				Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4	
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts																																													
Background of Respondent - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
References, including project examples - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.8	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	2.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.3	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Quality of Respondent's services - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 2 pts	2.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	1.5	2.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	1.3	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	1.3	2.0	0.0	2.0	3.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.8	1.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.0	3.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	1.5
Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	2.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	2.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	1.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	19.0	18.0	19.0	18.0	18.5	15.0	18.0	19.0	14.0	16.5	19.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	19.8	14.0	18.0	19.0	17.0	17.0	20.0	18.0	20.0	20.0	19.5	20.0	20.0	19.0	18.0	19.3	18.0	18.0	19.0	20.0	18.8	14.0	18.0	18.0	11.0	15.3	20.0	17.0	19.0	18.0	18.5
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts																																													
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	8.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	9.3	7.0	10.0	10.0	7.0	8.5	9.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.8	6.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	8.5	8.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	9.3	9.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	9.5	9.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.8	6.0	10.0	10.0	7.0	8.3	8.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	9.0
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	8.0	5.0	4.0	8.0	6.3	8.0	2.0	4.0	9.0	5.8	8.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	9.3	8.0	10.0	1.0	9.0	7.0	8.0	2.0	10.0	9.0	7.3	8.0	10.0	1.0	9.0	7.0	8.0	2.0	1.0	8.0	4.8	8.0	5.0	0.0	9.0	5.5	8.0	2.0	10.0	9.0	7.3
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Personnel's Past Performance - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8	3.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	31.0	30.0	29.0	31.0	30.3	28.0	27.0	29.0	29.0	28.3	32.0	35.0	35.0	34.0	34.0	27.0	35.0	26.0	31.0	29.8	31.0	27.0	35.0	33.0	31.5	32.0	35.0	26.0	33.0	31.5	32.0	27.0	26.0	33.0	29.5	27.0	30.0	25.0	29.0	27.8	31.0	27.0	35.0	31.0	31.0
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts																																													
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility - 10 pts	9.0	6.0	7.0	10.0	8.0	5.0	1.0	6.0	7.0	4.8	9.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.8	5.0	10.0	4.0	7.0	6.5	9.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.8	9.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	9.5	9.0	1.0	10.0	10.0	7.5	5.0	5.0	3.0	6.0	4.8	7.0	10.0	10.0	7.0	8.5
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project - 10 pts	7.0	4.0	5.0	8.0	6.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	8.0	5.0	5.0	9.0	6.8	5.0	6.0	5.0	5.0	5.3	7.0	4.0	5.0	9.0	6.3	7.0	4.0	5.0	8.0	6.0	7.0	4.0	5.0	8.0	6.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	7.0	4.0	7.0	7.0	6.3
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of the work - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.3	3.0	1.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	3.0	2.5	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	0.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.5
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent- 5 pts	4.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	5.0	2.0	2.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8	3.0	3.0	1.0	3.0	2.5	4.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.3	4.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	0.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	3.0
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.3	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	3.0	5.0	2.0	3.0	3.3	4.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.3	4.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.3	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	3.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	1.8	3.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project - 5 pts	4.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	2.3	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	3.0	4.0	1.0	3.0	2.8	4.0	2.0	5.0	4.0	3.8	4.0	5.0	2.0	4.0	3.8	3.0	2.0	1.0	4.0	2.5	3.0	1.0	0.0	3.0	1.8	3.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	2.8
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	32.0	24.0	27.0	31.0	28.5	22.0	10.0	26.0	22.0	20.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	36.0	35.3	22.0	31.0	14.0	24.0	22.8	32.0	31.0	35.0	33.0	32.8	32.0	34.0	32.0	31.0	32.3	32.0	22.0	31.0	37.0	30.5	22.0	22.0	8.0	21.0	18.3	26.0	28.0	32.0	26.0	28.0
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts																																													
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.3	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.8	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.5
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.8
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pt	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.5	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.5	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.8	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.8	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.5	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.8
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.5	4.0	3.0	5.0	2.0	3.5	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	2.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.3	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.3	5.0	2.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	3.3	4.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					81.8					68.3					93.8					72.8					88.5					87.3					82.8					64.5					81.5