

**Port of Houston Authority Detailed Evaluation Form for RFQ**  
**RFQ-1203 Inspection Services for Three Dockside Electric Container Cranes for**  
**Wharf 5 at BPT**

Budget: \$911,000

Date: 9/19/2019

	Aptim Port Services International, LLC			Laurence and Associates			Liftech Consultants			OES Asset Integrity Management			Paul Bridges and Associates, LLC							
Project Relative Weight	E1	E2	E3	Average Team Score	E1	E2	E3	Average Team Score	E1	E2	E3	Average Team Score	E1	E2	E3	Average Team Score	E1	E2	E3	Average Team Score
<b>Respondent's (and Subconsultant's, if Applicable) Reputation and Quality of Services - 35 pts</b>				<b>94.7</b>				<b>84.7</b>				<b>93.0</b>				<b>54.3</b>				<b>92.7</b>
Background of Respondent - 8 pts	8.0	8.0	8.0	<b>8.0</b>	5.0	7.0	5.0	<b>5.7</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>8.0</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.0</b>	6.0	7.0	8.0	<b>7.0</b>
Reputation of Respondent and of Respondent's services - 6 pts	6.0	6.0	6.0	<b>6.0</b>	6.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.3</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.0</b>	5.0	6.0	5.0	<b>5.3</b>	6.0	6.0	6.0	<b>6.0</b>
References, including government project examples - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.0</b>	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.7</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>3.7</b>	1.0	3.0	3.0	<b>2.3</b>	3.0	4.0	4.0	<b>3.7</b>
Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.0</b>	5.0	5.0	3.0	<b>4.3</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.0</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.0</b>
Availability and dedication of resources to PHA projects - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.7</b>	5.0	4.0	3.0	<b>4.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	1.0	3.0	3.0	<b>2.3</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.7</b>
Respondent's past performance - 6 pts	6.0	6.0	6.0	<b>6.0</b>	6.0	6.0	5.0	<b>5.7</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.0</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.0</b>	4.0	6.0	6.0	<b>5.3</b>
<b>Total Average - Respondent's Reputation and Quality of Services</b>	35.0	35.0	34.0	<b>34.7</b>	30.0	31.0	25.0	<b>28.7</b>	<b>34.0</b>	<b>34.0</b>	<b>33.0</b>	<b>33.7</b>	17.0	26.0	23.0	<b>22.0</b>	29.0	33.0	33.0	<b>31.7</b>
<b>Personnel (including Subconsultant Personnel, if Applicable) Qualifications and Experience - 25 pts</b>																				
Background, reputation, qualification, and relevant experience of assigned personnel - 8 pts	8.0	8.0	8.0	<b>8.0</b>	6.0	6.0	6.0	<b>6.0</b>	8.0	8.0	8.0	<b>8.0</b>	2.0	5.0	4.0	<b>3.7</b>	8.0	8.0	8.0	<b>8.0</b>
Availability and dedication of qualified personnel to PHA projects- 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.7</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.7</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.0</b>	2.0	3.0	3.0	<b>2.7</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.7</b>
Certifications, registrations, and licenses - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.0</b>	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.3</b>	5.0	5.0	3.0	<b>4.3</b>	1.0	3.0	2.0	<b>2.0</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.0</b>
Personnel's past performance - 7 pts	7.0	6.0	7.0	<b>6.7</b>	7.0	6.0	6.0	<b>6.3</b>	5.0	6.0	7.0	<b>6.0</b>	3.0	5.0	5.0	<b>4.3</b>	7.0	6.0	7.0	<b>6.7</b>
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	25.0	24.0	24.0	<b>24.3</b>	23.0	21.0	20.0	<b>21.3</b>	23.0	24.0	23.0	<b>23.3</b>	8.0	16.0	14.0	<b>12.7</b>	25.0	24.0	24.0	<b>24.3</b>
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 25 pts</b>																				
Methodology proposed to perform PHA projects and services - 10 pts	10.0	7.0	10.0	<b>9.0</b>	7.0	9.0	8.0	<b>8.0</b>	10.0	9.0	9.0	<b>9.3</b>	3.0	4.0	3.0	<b>3.3</b>	10.0	8.0	10.0	<b>9.3</b>
Plan for communicating with PHA - 7 pts	7.0	7.0	5.0	<b>6.3</b>	7.0	6.0	7.0	<b>6.7</b>	7.0	7.0	7.0	<b>7.0</b>	2.0	5.0	3.0	<b>3.3</b>	7.0	7.0	5.0	<b>6.3</b>
Unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods - 8 pts	6.0	5.0	8.0	<b>6.3</b>	6.0	5.0	6.0	<b>5.7</b>	5.0	5.0	7.0	<b>5.7</b>	3.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.0</b>	6.0	5.0	8.0	<b>6.3</b>
<b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>	23.0	19.0	23.0	<b>21.7</b>	20.0	20.0	21.0	<b>20.3</b>	22.0	21.0	23.0	<b>22.0</b>	8.0	14.0	10.0	<b>10.7</b>	23.0	20.0	23.0	<b>22.0</b>
<b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 15 pts</b>																				
Demonstrated understanding of the RFQ and its objectives- 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.7</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.0</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.7</b>	3.0	4.0	2.0	<b>3.0</b>	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.7</b>
Clarity and brevity of the Response - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.7</b>	5.0	5.0	3.0	<b>4.3</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.0</b>	3.0	3.0	3.0	<b>3.0</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.0</b>
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	<b>4.7</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.0</b>	5.0	4.0	4.0	<b>4.3</b>	3.0	4.0	2.0	<b>3.0</b>	5.0	5.0	5.0	<b>5.0</b>
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>	15.0	15.0	12.0	<b>14.0</b>	15.0	15.0	13.0	<b>14.3</b>	15.0	14.0	13.0	<b>14.0</b>	9.0	11.0	7.0	<b>9.0</b>	15.0	15.0	14.0	<b>14.7</b>
<b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>				<b>94.7</b>				<b>84.7</b>				<b>93.0</b>				<b>54.3</b>				<b>92.7</b>