

Port of Houston Authority Evaluation Form

Project: RFP-472 Enterprise Asset Software Capabilities Assessment Project

Date: 9/21/2017 @ 1:00 P.M.

Estimate: \$200,000

| Vendor | Proposal Amount | Small Business Information SB Goal SB Prime % SB Subs | SELECTION CRITERIA | | | | | Total Score | Comments |
|--|-----------------|--|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| | | | 1 Price | 2 Respondent | 3 Benefit to PHA | 4 Compliance w/PHA Policies | 5 Small Business and Local Business Participation | | |
| Project Relative Weight (%) | | | 15% | 37% | 30% | 15% | 3% | 100% | |
| Technology Evaluation Centers, Inc. 740 Rue St. Maurice, 4th floor Montreal, Quebec Canada H3C 1L5 | \$138,700 | 0% Goal 0% SB Sub | 12 | 31 | 19 | 11 | 0 | 73 | 1. Second lowest price. 2 a. Excellent references and extensive experience providing services of this type. b. Capable of full independence to assist PHA with the selection of three proposed concepts and software. c. Extensive knowledge bases housing software vendor support for thousands of software features and functions. d. Includes decision support tools for software selection ("TEC Advisor") to assist PHA with decision support for concept selection based on these tools. 3. Vendor would provide an excellent benefit to PHA. 4. Vendor complied with most PHA guidelines. 5. This project is exempt from Small Business participation; vendor did not meet local business requirement. |
| Computerized Facility Integration, LLC d/b/a Newmark Knight Frank 18000 W. Nine Mile Road, Suite 700 Southfield, MI 48075 | \$106,601 | 0% Goal 0% SB Sub | 15 | 28 | 16 | 11 | 0 | 70 | 1. Lowest price. 2 a. Good experience and good references. b. Questionable independence to a specific software solution vs. providing three concepts as requested in RFP. c. Vendor stated in their proposal that "PHA would like to move to an centralized system.....". Inconsistent with RFP requirements for delivery of three concepts vs. a single centralized system. 3. Vendor would provide a good benefit to PHA. 4. Vendor complied with most PHA guidelines. 5. This project is exempt from Small Business participation; vendor did not meet local business requirement. |
| Access Sciences Corporation 1900 West Loop South, Suite 250 Houston, TX 77027 | \$340,821 | 0% Goal 0% SB Sub | 5 | 28 | 17 | 12 | 0 | 62 | 1. Third lowest price. 2. Good experience and good references. 3. Vendor would provide an above average benefit to PHA. 4. Vendor complied with most PHA guidelines. 5. This project is exempt from Small Business participation; vendor did not meet local business requirement. |

| | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
|--|-----------------|--|------------|------------|----------------|---------------------------|---|-------------|--|
| Vendor | Proposal Amount | Small Business Information SB Goal SB Prime % SB Subs | Price | Respondent | Benefit to PHA | Compliance w/PHA Policies | Small Business and Local Business Participation | Total Score | Comments |
| Project Relative Weight (%) | | | 15% | 37% | 30% | 15% | 3% | 100% | |
| Alvarez & Marsal Business Consulting 700 Louisiana, Suite 3200 Houston, TX 70809 | \$490,600 | 0% Goal 0% SB Sub | 3 | 28 | 17 | 12 | 0 | 60 | 1. Highest price. 2. Good experience and good references. 3. Vendor would provide an above average benefit to PHA. 4. Vendor complied with most PHA guidelines. 5. This project is exempt from Small Business participation; vendor did not meet local business requirement. |
| The following respondents were not ranked among the top 4 and are listed in alphabetical order below. | | | | | | | | | |
| Microdesk, Inc. | | | | | | | | | |
| North Highland | | | | | | | | | |
| Tandi Oil and Gas LLC | | | | | | | | | |