

**Port of Houston Authority- Detailed Evaluation Form
for RFQ
RFQ-878 CMT during Container Yard 1 and 2 North at
BCT
January 7, 2019, 11:00 AM 3rd Floor Conference Room**

Budget: \$285,000

	Associated Testing				Atser, LP				Aviles Engineering Corporation							
Project Relative Weight	62.5000				Average Team Score	73.25				Average Team Score	95.50				Average Team Score	
	E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4		
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts																
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.3	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
References, including PHA project examples - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Quality of Respondent's services -3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 2 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Respondent's Past Relationship with PHA - 3 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	16.0	14.0	13.0	15.0	14.5	18.0	15.0	14.0	16.0	15.8	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts																
Background, Reputation, Qualification -20 pts	10.0	10.0	13.0	10.0	10.8	10.0	10.0	16.0	12.0	12.0	20.0	20.0	19.0	20.0	19.8	
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel -5 pts	2.0	3.0	4.0	2.0	2.8	5.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.3	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8	
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses -5 pts	2.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	3.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Personnel's Past Relationship with PHA -5 pts	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	16.0	21.0	25.0	18.0	20.0	25.0	23.0	28.0	27.0	25.8	35.0	35.0	34.0	34.0	34.5	
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts																
Methodology Proposed -20 pts	8.0	10.0	13.0	10.0	10.3	10.0	10.0	16.0	10.0	11.5	20.0	20.0	19.0	20.0	19.8	
Plan for Communication - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Unique or Specialized Processes or Methods - 10 pts	6.0	5.0	8.0	5.0	6.0	7.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	9.0	7.0	7.5	
Confirmation of Performing all Tests -5 pts	3.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	22.0	25.0	30.0	23.0	25.0	27.0	25.0	33.0	27.0	28.0	35.0	37.0	38.0	35.0	36.3	
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts																
Understanding of the RFQ - 2 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Thoroughness of Response - 2 pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					62.50					73.25					95.50	

**Port of Houston Authority- Detailed Evaluation Form
for RFQ
RFQ-878 CMT during Container Yard 1 and 2 North at
BCT
January 7, 2019, 11:00 AM 3rd Floor Conference Room**

Budget: \$285,000

	Braun Intertec				Fugro USA Land, Inc				Geotest Engineering, Inc						
Project Relative Weight	86.75				Average Team Score	91.00				Average Team Score	80.25				Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4	
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts															
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	3.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.8
References, including PHA project examples - 2 pts	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8
Quality of Respondent's services -3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.8
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.3
Respondent's Past Relationship with PHA - 3 pts	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	1.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.5
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	15.0	19.0	17.0	16.0	16.8	17.0	20.0	18.0	19.0	18.5	16.0	14.0	18.0	16.0	16.0
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts															
Background, Reputation, Qualification -20 pts	15.0	20.0	19.0	15.0	17.3	15.0	20.0	19.0	20.0	18.5	15.0	15.0	18.0	15.0	15.8
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel -5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.5	3.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.3
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses -5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's Past Relationship with PHA -5 pts	1.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	5.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.3
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	26.0	35.0	31.0	27.0	29.8	27.0	35.0	33.0	32.0	31.8	28.0	26.0	31.0	28.0	28.3
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts															
Methodology Proposed -20 pts	20.0	20.0	19.0	18.0	19.3	20.0	20.0	18.0	20.0	19.5	15.0	15.0	16.0	15.0	15.3
Plan for Communication - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8
Unique or Specialized Processes or Methods - 10 pts	8.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	7.3	5.0	5.0	8.0	7.0	6.3	5.0	5.0	8.0	7.0	6.3
Confirmation of Performing all Tests -5 pts	3.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	36.0	35.0	37.0	34.0	35.5	35.0	35.0	36.0	37.0	35.8	30.0	30.0	33.0	32.0	31.3
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts															
Understanding of the RFQ - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of Response - 2 pt	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.8
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					86.75					91.00					80.25

**Port of Houston Authority- Detailed Evaluation Form
for RFQ
RFQ-878 CMT during Container Yard 1 and 2 North at
BCT
January 7, 2019, 11:00 AM 3rd Floor Conference Room**

Budget: \$285,000

	Paradigm Consultants				Professional Service Industries, Inc				Ninyo & Moore						
Project Relative Weight	58.75				Average Team Score	88.25				Average Team Score	84.75				Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4	
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts															
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
References, including PHA project examples - 2 pts	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Quality of Respondent's services -3 pts	2.0	2.0	3.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.8
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 2 pts	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.5
Respondent's Past Relationship with PHA - 3 pts	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.3	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	12.0	12.0	14.0	10.0	12.0	20.0	20.0	18.0	18.0	19.0	20.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.3
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts															
Background, Reputation, Qualification -20 pts	15.0	10.0	15.0	10.0	12.5	20.0	20.0	19.0	20.0	19.8	15.0	20.0	19.0	15.0	17.3
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel -5 pts	2.0	3.0	4.0	2.0	2.8	3.0	5.0	4.0	2.0	3.5	5.0	3.0	5.0	3.0	4.0
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses -5 pts	0.0	5.0	4.0	2.0	2.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's Past Relationship with PHA -5 pts	2.0	3.0	4.0	2.0	2.8	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	19.0	21.0	27.0	16.0	20.8	33.0	35.0	32.0	31.0	32.8	30.0	33.0	34.0	28.0	31.3
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts															
Methodology Proposed -20 pts	10.0	10.0	11.0	10.0	10.3	15.0	15.0	18.0	15.0	15.8	15.0	15.0	19.0	10.0	14.8
Plan for Communication - 5 pts	3.0	3.0	5.0	2.0	3.3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Unique or Specialized Processes or Methods - 10 pts	5.0	5.0	6.0	2.0	4.5	8.0	5.0	8.0	6.0	6.8	5.0	5.0	8.0	5.0	5.8
Confirmation of Performing all Tests -5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.5
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	23.0	23.0	27.0	19.0	23.0	31.0	30.0	36.0	29.0	31.5	30.0	30.0	37.0	23.0	30.0
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts															
Understanding of the RFQ - 2 pts	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of Response - 2 pt	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.8	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	4.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.3
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					58.75					88.25					84.75

**Port of Houston Authority- Detailed Evaluation Form
for RFQ
RFQ-878 CMT during Container Yard 1 and 2 North at
BCT
January 7, 2019, 11:00 AM 3rd Floor Conference Room**

Budget: \$285,000

	Raba Kistner				Terracon				Tolunay-Wong Engineers						
Project Relative Weight	87.25				Average Team Score	91.50				Average Team Score	81.75				Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4	
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts															
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
References, including PHA project examples - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.8	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	
Quality of Respondent's services -3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 2 pts	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	
Respondent's Past Relationship with PHA - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	20.0	19.0	18.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	20.0	17.0	18.8	19.0	19.0	18.0	18.0	
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts															
Background, Reputation, Qualification -20 pts	20.0	20.0	19.0	18.0	19.3	20.0	20.0	19.0	18.0	19.3	15.0	15.0	17.0	17.0	
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel -5 pts	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.3	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.3	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses -5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Personnel's Past Relationship with PHA -5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	33.0	33.0	32.0	30.0	32.0	33.0	33.0	33.0	31.0	32.5	28.0	28.0	30.0	29.0	
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts															
Methodology Proposed -20 pts	20.0	15.0	18.0	15.0	17.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	15.0	16.0	15.0	
Plan for Communication - 5 pts	5.0	3.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	
Unique or Specialized Processes or Methods - 10 pts	5.0	5.0	8.0	7.0	6.3	5.0	5.0	9.0	8.0	6.8	5.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	
Confirmation of Performing all Tests -5 pts	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	3.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	33.0	28.0	36.0	30.0	31.8	33.0	35.0	39.0	35.0	35.5	33.0	30.0	31.0	27.0	
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts															
Understanding of the RFQ - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Thoroughness of Response - 2 pt	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					87.25					91.50					81.75