Evaluators: 1-Josh, 2-Harvey, 3-Ryan, 4-Gene		Toluna	y-Wong E	ngineers	s, Inc.	HVJ Associates, Inc.						
Project Relative Weight					Average Team Score					Average Team Score		
	E1	E2	E3	E4	93.0	E1	E2	E3	E4	91.3		
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal												
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts												
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.5		
 	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		
References, including governmental project examples - 5 pts Quality of Respondent's services -3 pts	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	2.5	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.6		
Availability and Dedication of Resources to Port Houston projects, including, if	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	4.3	2.0	5.0	5.0	2.0		
required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.8	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.8		
	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.2	4.5	4.0	5.0	5.0	16		
Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	4.0 24.0	4.0 23.0	5.0 25.0	4.0 23.0	4.3 23.8	4.5 24.0	4.0 21.0	5.0 24.0	5.0 25.0	4.6 23.5		
	24.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	25.0	24.0	21.0	24.0	23.0	20.3		
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts				1								
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	15.0	14.3		
personnel- 15 pts Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects,	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	13.0	14.3		
including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time -												
10 pts	10.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	9.0	8.5		
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -5												
pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		
Personnel's Past Performance -10 pts Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	9.0 38.0	9.0 37.0	9.0	9.0	9.0 37.0	7.0	9.0 36.0	7.0 34.0	9.0 38.0	8.0 35.8		
Total Average - Personner, Quantication and Experience	36.0	37.0	30.0	37.0	37.0	33.0	30.0	34.0	36.0	33.6		
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 20 pts												
The methodology proposed to perform Port Houston projects and services- 10 pts	9.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	8.8	9.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.5		
					4.0							
Plan for communicating with Port Houston- 5 pts	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.5		
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or												
environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 5 pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3		
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	19.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.5	18.0	17.0	16.0	18.0	17.3		
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 15 pts												
•												
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives- 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 3 pts	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.8		
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 8	0.0				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		
pts Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	8.0 15.0	8.0 14.0	8.0 15.0	8.0 15.0	8.0 14.8	8.0 15.0	8.0 14.0	8.0 15.0	8.0 15.0	8.0 14.8		
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	13.0	14.0	13.0	13.0	14.0	13.0	14.0	13.0	13.0	14.0		
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					93.0					91.3		

Evaluators: 1-Josh, 2-Harvey, 3-Ryan, 4-Gene		Terra	acon Cons	ultants, l	Inc.	Ninyo & Moore Geotechnical and Environmental Sciences Consultants						
Project Relative Weight					Average Team Score					Average Team Score		
	E1	E2	E3	E4	90.8	E1	E2	E3	E4	90.3		
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal												
D 1 (D 4 () 10 F(60) 27 (
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts												
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8		
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8		
References, including governmental project examples - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5		
Quality of Respondent's services -3 pts	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.8		
Availability and Dedication of Resources to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 2 pts	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.8		
Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3		
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	23.0	22.0	25.0	23.0	23.3	23.0	20.0	25.0	23.0	22.8		
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts												
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned												
personnel- 15 pts	14.0	14.0	13.0	14.0	13.8	14.0	13.0	14.0	14.0	13.8		
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects,												
including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	9.0	8.0	8.0	9.0	8.5	9.0	7.0	8.0	9.0	8.3		
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -5	7.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	7.0	7.0	0.0	7.0	0.0		
pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		
Personnel's Past Performance -10 pts	10.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.8	8.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.3		
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	38.0	36.0	33.0	37.0	36.0	36.0	34.0	34.0	37.0	35.3		
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 20 pts												
Terror manager and and other Benefits 20 pts												
The methodology proposed to perform Port Houston projects and services- 10 pts	9.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.5	9.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	8.8		
Plan for communicating with Port Houston- 5 pts	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8		
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or												
environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3 16.8	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	18.0	17.0	15.0	17.0	16.8	18.0	17.0	17.0	18.0	17.5		
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 15 pts												
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives- 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 3 pts	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.8		
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 8												
pts	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0		
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	15.0	14.0	15.0	15.0	14.8	15.0	14.0	15.0	15.0	14.8		
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					90.8					90.3		

Evaluators: 1-Josh, 2-Harvey, 3-Ryan, 4-Gene	Fugro USA Land, Inc.						Raba Kistner, Inc.						
Project Relative Weight					Average Team Score					Average Team Score			
	E1	E2	E3	E4	87.3	E1	E2	E3	E4	86.4			
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal													
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts		T	ı	1	1		I	ı		1			
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3			
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3			
References, including governmental project examples - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3			
Quality of Respondent's services -3 pts	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3			
Availability and Dedication of Resources to Port Houston projects, including, if	2.0				4.0	2.0		1.0		4.0			
required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 2 pts	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3			
Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3			
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	22.0	20.0	22.0	20.0	21.0	21.0	20.0	22.0	19.0	20.5			
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts													
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned		1		T T									
personnel- 15 pts	14.0	13.0	12.0	14.0	13.3	13.0	13.0	12.0	14.0	13.0			
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects,													
including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time -													
10 pts	9.0	7.0	7.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	7.0	7.0	9.0	8.0			
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0			
Personnel's Past Performance -10 pts	8.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	8.5	8.5	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.4			
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	36.0	34.0	32.0	37.0	34.8	35.5	34.0	31.0	37.0	34.4			
Total Average Tersonner, quantication and experience	30.0	31.0	32.0	37.0	5 110	33.3	31.0	31.0	37.0				
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 20 pts													
The methodology proposed to perform Port Houston projects and services- 10 pts	9.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.5	8.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.3			
Plan for communicating with Port Houston- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.3			
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or													
environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3			
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	17.0	17.0	16.0	17.0	16.8	17.0	17.0	16.0	17.0	16.8			
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 15 pts			1	1			ı	ı					
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives- 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0			
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 3 pts	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.8			
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 8	5.0	2.0	5.0	5.0	2.0	5.0	2.0	5.0	5.0				
pts	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0			
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	15.0	14.0	15.0	15.0	14.8	15.0	14.0	15.0	15.0	14.8			
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					87.3					86.4			

Evaluators: 1-Josh, 2-Harvey, 3-Ryan, 4-Gene	Professional Service Industries, Inc. (PSI)						Eustis Engineering, LLC						
Project Relative Weight					Average Team Score					Average Team Score			
	E1	E2	E3	E4	86.3	E1	E2	E3	E4	84.3			
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30%													
Aspirational Goal													
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts													
	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3			
Background of Respondent - 5 pts			5.0	4.0			4.0	4.0	4.0				
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	4.0	4.0			4.3	3.0	-			3.8			
References, including governmental project examples - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5			
Quality of Respondent's services -3 pts	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.3			
Availability and Dedication of Resources to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 2 pts	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3			
Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	3.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	3.8			
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	22.0	20.0	21.0	19.0	20.5	18.0	20.0	23.0	18.0	19.8			
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts													
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned													
personnel- 15 pts	13.0	13.0	11.0	14.0	12.8	13.0	13.0	12.0	14.0	13.0			
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects,													
including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	9.0	7.0	7.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	9.0	8.0			
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -5	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	7.0	OIO			
pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0			
Personnel's Past Performance -10 pts	8.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.3	8.0	9.0	7.0	8.0	8.0			
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	35.0	34.0	30.0	37.0	34.0	34.0	35.0	31.0	36.0	34.0			
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 20 pts		l I	1		I		1	I	l	1			
The methodology proposed to perform Double control of the control	8.0	0.0	0.0	9.0	8.5	7.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	8.0			
The methodology proposed to perform Port Houston projects and services- 10 pts	8.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	0.5	7.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	0.0			
Plan for communicating with Port Houston- 5 pts	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0			
rian for communicating with Fort Houston- 5 pts	5.0	7.0	7.0	1.0	4.0	1.0	7.0	7.0	1.0	4.0			
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or													
environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0			
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	15.0	17.0	17.0	15.0	16.0			
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 15 pts													
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives- 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0			
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 3 pts	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.8			
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 8										- 0			
pts Compliance with BUA Beliefer	8.0 15.0	8.0 14.0	8.0 15.0	8.0	8.0 14.8	7.0	8.0 14.0	8.0 15.0	8.0	7.8 14.5			
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	15.0	14.0	15.0	15.0	14.8	14.0	14.0	15.0	15.0	14.5			
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					86.3					84.3			

Evaluators: 1-Josh, 2-Harvey, 3-Ryan, 4-Gene		Associated	l Testing I	aborato	ories, Inc.	Vertex Resource Services, Inc.						
Project Relative Weight					Average Team Score					Average Team Score		
	E1	E2	E3	E4	82.0	E1	E2	E3	E4	81.5		
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal												
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts												
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.8		
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8		
References, including governmental project examples - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	1.0	4.0	3.5	3.0	5.0	2.0	4.0	3.5		
Quality of Respondent's services -3 pts	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.3	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.3		
Availability and Dedication of Resources to Port Houston projects, including, if												
required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 2 pts	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3		
Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	3.8		
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	19.0	20.0	18.0	18.0	18.8	17.0	20.0	18.0	18.0	18.3		
				1								
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts								ı		1		
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned	13.0	13.0	10.0	14.0	12.5	12.0	13.0	11.0	13.0	12.3		
personnel- 15 pts Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects,	13.0	13.0	10.0	14.0	12.3	12.0	13.0	11.0	13.0	12.3		
including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time -												
10 pts	8.0	9.0	5.0	9.0	7.8	8.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	7.5		
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -5												
pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		
Personnel's Past Performance -10 pts	7.0	9.0	5.0	8.0	7.3	8.0	9.0	6.0	8.0	7.8		
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	33.0	36.0	25.0	36.0	32.5	33.0	34.0	29.0	34.0	32.5		
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 20 pts												
reflormance Fian and Other Benefits - 20 pts												
The methodology proposed to perform Port Houston projects and services- 10 pts	9.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	8.5	8.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	8.5		
The methodology proposed to perform for thouston projects and services to per	7.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	7.0	0.0	OIL OIL		
Plan for communicating with Port Houston- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or												
environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 5 pts	3.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	3.8	3.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	3.8		
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	16.0	17.0	17.0	15.0	16.3	15.0	17.0	18.0	15.0	16.3		
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 15 pts												
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives- 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 3 pts	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.8		
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 8	3.0	2.0	5.0	3.0	2.0	5.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0		
pts	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.8	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.8		
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	14.0	14.0	15.0	15.0	14.5	14.0	14.0	15.0	15.0	14.5		
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					82.0					81.5		