## RFQ-2944 Construction Material Testing for the Rehabilitation of Wharves 4, 5, and 6 at Barbours Cut Terminal

Budget: \$500,000 10/9/2023 at 9:00 AM via Teams																
Evaluators: 1-Harvey, 2-Nathan, 3-Alex, 4-Gene	Ninyo & Moore Geotechnical & Environmental Sciences Consultants						Aviles l	Engineering	ation	HVJ Associates, Inc.						
Project Relative Weight					Average Team Score					Average Team Score					Average Team Score	
	E1	E2	E3	E4	95.3	E1	E2	E3	E4	92.8	E1	E2	E3	E4	88.8	
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal																
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Services - 20 pts																
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
References, including government project examples - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.3	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Quality of Respondent's services - 3 pts	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.3	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Respondent's Past Performance - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	19.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	19.8	22.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.5	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts																
Background, Reputation, Qualifications and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	9.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.8	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.3	9.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.3	
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.5	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Personnel's Past Performance -5 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	32.0	34.0	34.0	34.0	33.5	33.0	34.0	32.0	32.0	32.8	32.0	31.0	31.0	31.0	31.3	
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts																
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	
responsibility- 5 pts Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project- 5 nts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.3	
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work-5 pts	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is																
also required from each respondent- 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project- 10 pts	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.3	
Availability of Staff Shall be dedicated to this project- 10 pts	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.3	
Plan for utilizing Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available and cost competitive- 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	39.0	37.0	36.0	36.0	37.0	35.0	35.0	34.0	34.0	34.5	35.0	33.0	33.0	33.0	33.5	
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts																
									l	_				T T		
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives- 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Willingness to utilize Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
										l .						
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES	I				95.3					92.8	I				88.8	

## RFQ-2944 Construction Material Testing for the Rehabilitation of Wharves 4, 5, and 6 at Barbours Cut Terminal

Budget: \$500,000 10/9/2023 at 9:00 AM via Teams															
Evaluators: 1-Harvey, 2-Nathan, 3-Alex, 4-Gene	Terracon Consultants, Inc.						Professio	es, Inc.	QC Laboratories, Inc.						
Project Relative Weight					Average Team Score					Average Team Score					Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	87.8	E1	E2	E3	E4	86.3	E1	E2	E3	E4	82.5
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal															
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Services - 20 pts															
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
References, including government project examples - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3
Quality of Respondent's services - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Respondent's Past Performance - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	20.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.3	19.0	19.0	17.0	17.0	18.0	17.0	16.0	16.0	16.0	16.3
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts  Background, Reputation, Qualifications and Relevant experience of assigned personnel-		1	ı	1					<u> </u>					1	
background, Reputation, Qualifications and Relevant experience or assigned personner- 10 pts	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including,															
if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	9.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.3	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts	10.0	9.0	10.0	10.0	9.8	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
Personnel's Past Performance -5 pts  Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	4.0 32.0	3.0 29.0	4.0 31.0	4.0 31.0	3.8 30.8	4.0 31.0	4.0 31.0	4.0 31.0	4.0 31.0	4.0 31.0	4.0 29.0	4.0 29.0	4.0 29.0	4.0 29.0	4.0 29.0
	32.0	27.0	31.0	31.0		31.0	31.0	31.0	31.0	2.10	27.0	27.0	27.0	27.0	2,10
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts		1						ı							
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility- 5 pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.3
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project-5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is															
also required from each respondent- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project- 10 pts	9.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.3	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
Plan for utilizing Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman-Owned		0.0			910		0.0					0.0			910
businesses to the extent they are qualified, available and cost competitive- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	34.0	32.0	33.0	32.0	32.8	33.0	32.0	32.0	32.0	32.3	33.0	32.0	32.0	32.0	32.3
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts															
· ·			_	Ι.	2.0			_		2.2	_			Ι.	2.0
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives- 2 pts  Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Willingness to utilize Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman-Owned															
businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0 5.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					87.8					86.3					82.5

## RFQ-2944 Construction Material Testing for the Rehabilitation of Wharves 4. 5. and 6 at Barbours Cut Terminal

Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts

Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies

Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pts

Willingness to utilize Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive - 1 pts

TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES

Budget: \$500,000 10/9/2023 at 9:00 AM via Teams																
Evaluators: 1-Harvey, 2-Nathan, 3-Alex, 4-Gene	Raba Kistner, Inc.						Associated	d Testing L	ies, Inc.	ATSER						
Project Relative Weight	Average Team Score					Average Team Score										
	E1	E2	E3	E4	82.3	E1	E2	E3	E4	80.0	E1	E2	E3	E4	79.8	
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal																
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Services - 20 pts																
	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.8	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.8	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.8	
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	
References, including government project examples - 3 pts  Quality of Respondent's services - 3 pts	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Respondent's Past Performance - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	18.0	17.0	16.0	16.0	16.8	16.0	16.0	14.0	12.0	14.5	16.0	15.0	14.0	12.0	14.3	
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts																
Background, Reputation, Qualifications and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	8.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.8	8.0	7.0	8.0	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	7.8	
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	8.0	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	7.8	
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts	10.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	8.8	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Personnel's Past Performance -5 pts  Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	3.0 29.0	3.0 29.0	3.0 28.0	3.0 28.0	3.0 28.5	4.0 30.0	4.0 28.0	3.0 29.0	3.0 27.0	3.5 28.5	4.0 30.0	4.0 30.0	3.0 29.0	3.0 27.0	3.5 29.0	
	29.0	29.0	26.0	20.0	20.0	30.0	20.0	29.0	27.0	20.5	30.0	30.0	29.0	27.0	27.0	
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts																
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project-5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work-5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.5	
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project- 10 pts	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	7.5	
Plan for utilizing Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available and cost competitive- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.5	
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	32.0	32.0	32.0	32.0	32.0	34.0	34.0	30.0	30.0	32.0	33.0	33.0	30.0	30.0	31.5	
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts																

1.0

1.0

5.0

82.3

1.0

1.0

1.0

5.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

5.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

5.0

1.0

1.0

5.0

80.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

5.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

5.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

5.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

5.0 5.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

5.0

79.8

1.0

1.0

1.0

5.0 5.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

5.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

5.0

## RFQ-2944 Construction Material Testing for the Rehabilitation of Wharves 4, 5, and 6 at Barbours Cut Terminal

Budget: \$500,000 10/9/2023 at 9:00 AM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Harvey, 2-Nathan, 3-Alex, 4-Gene		Н	ΓS, Inc. Co	nsultants		Geotech Engineering and Testing					
Project Relative Weight					Average Team Score				Average Team Score		
,	E1	E2	E3	E4	78.5	E1	E2	E3	E4	78.0	
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal											
Demonstrate Demonstration and Oraclita of Security 20 at											
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Services - 20 pts  Background of Respondent - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	
References, including government project examples - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3	
Quality of Respondent's services - 3 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 2 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Respondent's Past Performance - 2 pts	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	16.0	15.0	14.0	14.0	14.8	16.0	13.0	14.0	12.0	13.8	
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts											
Background, Reputation, Qualifications and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	8.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.3	8.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.3	
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	8.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.3	8.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.3	
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Personnel's Past Performance -5 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.3	
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	30.0	28.0	27.0	27.0	28.0	30.0	27.0	27.0	27.0	27.8	
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts											
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project-5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work-5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 5 pts	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project- 10 pts	8.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	7.5	8.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	7.5	
Plan for utilizing Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available and cost competitive- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	32.0	31.0	30.0	30.0	30.8	32.0	32.0	31.0	31.0	31.5	
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts											
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives- 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Willingness to utilize Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					78.5					78.0	