

**RFQ-2757 Professional Engineering and Architectural Services
for the Programming and Optional Design of the Maintenance
Building Annex at Bayport Terminal (Phase One)**

Budget: \$20,000,000 Final: 6/29/2023 at 4:00 PM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Harvey, 2-Josh, 3-Corrie, 4-Hugh	RDLR Architects, Inc.					AECOM Technical Services, Inc.				
	Project Relative Weight				Average Team Score					Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	94.8	E1	E2	E3	E4	91.3
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal										
Respondent's (and Subconsultant's, if Applicable) Reputation and Quality of Services) - 20 pts										
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
References, including government project examples - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Quality of Respondent's services - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Availability and Dedication of resources to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5
Respondent's past performance - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	19.0	20.0	20.0	19.0	19.5
Personnel (Including Subconsultant Personnel, if Applicable) Qualifications and Experience) - 40 pts										
Background, reputation, qualification and relevant experience of assigned personnel - 10 pts	10.0	9.0	9.0	10.0	9.5	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.3
Availability and dedication of qualified personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	9.0	8.0	8.3
Certifications, registrations, and licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts	10.0	8.0	10.0	9.0	9.3	10.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.5
Personnel's past performance -10 pts	10.0	8.0	10.0	9.0	9.3	9.0	8.0	10.0	9.0	9.0
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	39.0	34.0	38.0	37.0	37.0	37.0	34.0	38.0	35.0	36.0
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts										
The methodology proposed to perform Port Houston projects and services - 10 pts	10.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.5	9.0	8.0	9.0	9.0	8.8
Plan for communicating with Port Houston - 10 pts	10.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.5	10.0	8.0	10.0	9.0	9.3
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods - 10 pts	9.0	8.0	9.0	9.0	8.8	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
Plan for utilizing Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	34.0	31.0	34.0	32.0	32.8	31.0	29.0	32.0	31.0	30.8
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts										
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity, robustness, and brevity of response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Willingness to utilize Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive 1 pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					94.8					91.3

**RFQ-2757 Professional Engineering and Architectural Services
for the Programming and Optional Design of the Maintenance
Building Annex at Bayport Terminal (Phase One)**

Budget: \$20,000,000 Final: 6/29/2023 at 4:00 PM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Harvey, 2-Josh, 3-Corrie, 4-Hugh	English + Associates Architects, Inc.					Prozign Architects, Inc.					
	Project Relative Weight					Average Team Score					Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	91.0	E1	E2	E3	E4	83.0	
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal											
Respondent's (and Subconsultant's, if Applicable) Reputation and Quality of Services) - 20 pts											
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.3	
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.3	
References, including government project examples - 2 pts	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.5	
Quality of Respondent's services - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Availability and Dedication of resources to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	
Respondent's past performance - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	17.0	20.0	20.0	19.0	19.0	17.0	15.0	18.0	19.0	17.3	
Personnel (Including Subconsultant Personnel, if Applicable) Qualifications and Experience) - 40 pts											
Background, reputation, qualification and relevant experience of assigned personnel - 10 pts	10.0	8.0	8.0	9.0	8.8	10.0	5.0	7.0	8.0	7.5	
Availability and dedication of qualified personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	8.0	8.0	9.0	8.0	8.3	8.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	7.8	
Certifications, registrations, and licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts	10.0	8.0	10.0	9.0	9.3	10.0	8.0	10.0	8.0	9.0	
Personnel's past performance -10 pts	10.0	8.0	10.0	10.0	9.5	10.0	6.0	10.0	8.0	8.5	
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	38.0	32.0	37.0	36.0	35.8	38.0	26.0	35.0	32.0	32.8	
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts											
The methodology proposed to perform Port Houston projects and services - 10 pts	10.0	8.0	10.0	9.0	9.3	10.0	5.0	10.0	7.0	8.0	
Plan for communicating with Port Houston - 10 pts	10.0	8.0	10.0	9.0	9.3	10.0	5.0	10.0	7.0	8.0	
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods - 10 pts	8.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	7.8	9.0	4.0	9.0	7.0	7.3	
Plan for utilizing Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	33.0	28.0	33.0	31.0	31.3	33.0	19.0	34.0	26.0	28.0	
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts											
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Clarity, robustness, and brevity of response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Thoroughness of response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Willingness to utilize Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive 1 pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES						91.0					83.0