

RFQ-2723 Professional Engineering Consulting Services for Routine Facility Inspection and Condition Assessment Program – Marine Structures 2023

Budget: \$600,000 Final: 6/5/2023 at 2:30 PM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Derek, 2-Ross, 3-Eddy	Green, Rubiano & Associates				LJA Engineering, Inc.			
	Project Relative Weight			Average Team Score				Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	93.3	E1	E2	E3	90.7
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal								
Respondent's (and Subconsultant's, if Applicable) Reputation and Quality of Services - 35 pts								
Background of Respondent - 10 pts	10.0	7.0	9.0	8.7	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.7
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 10 pts	10.0	7.0	9.0	8.7	9.0	7.0	7.0	7.7
References, including government project examples - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Quality of Respondent's services - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Availability and dedication of resources to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7
Respondent's past performance - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.7
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	34.0	29.0	33.0	32.0	33.0	29.0	30.0	30.7
Personnel (Including Subconsultant Personnel, if Applicable), Qualifications and Experience - 35 pts								
Background, Reputation, qualifications and relevant experience of assigned personnel - 20 pts	20.0	17.0	19.0	18.7	19.0	18.0	18.0	18.3
Availability and dedication of qualified personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's past performance - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	34.0	31.0	33.0	32.7	33.0	32.0	32.0	32.3
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 25 pts								
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.7
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.7
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods - 4 pts	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.3
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project - 4 pts	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	23.0	24.0	24.0	23.7	22.0	23.0	23.0	22.7
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts								
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				93.3				90.7

RFQ-2723 Professional Engineering Consulting Services for Routine Facility Inspection and Condition Assessment Program – Marine Structures 2023

Budget: \$600,000 Final: 6/5/2023 at 2:30 PM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Derek, 2-Ross, 3-Eddy	Lloyd Engineering, Inc.				Conсор Engineers, LLC			
Project Relative Weight				Average Team Score				Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	88.0	E1	E2	E3	85.7
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal								
Respondent's (and Subconsultant's, if Applicable) Reputation and Quality of Services - 35 pts								
Background of Respondent - 10 pts	10.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	9.0	7.0	8.0	8.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 10 pts	10.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	9.0	7.0	7.0	7.7
References, including government project examples - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Quality of Respondent's services - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Availability and dedication of resources to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Respondent's past performance - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.7
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	34.0	28.0	29.0	30.3	33.0	28.0	30.0	30.3
Personnel (Including Subconsultant Personnel, if Applicable), Qualifications and Experience - 35 pts								
Background, Reputation, qualifications and relevant experience of assigned personnel - 20 pts	19.0	17.0	15.0	17.0	18.0	15.0	15.0	16.0
Availability and dedication of qualified personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's past performance - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.3
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	33.0	31.0	28.0	30.7	33.0	28.0	28.0	29.7
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 25 pts								
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.3
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.7
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent - 4 pts	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.7
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods - 4 pts	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.7	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.3
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project - 4 pts	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	22.0	23.0	22.0	22.3	20.0	22.0	22.0	21.3
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts								
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.7
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.7	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.7
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.3
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				88.0				85.7

**RFQ-2723 Professional Engineering Consulting Services for
Routine Facility Inspection and Condition Assessment Program –
Marine Structures 2023**

Budget: \$600,000 Final: 6/5/2023 at 2:30 PM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Derek, 2-Ross, 3-Eddy		EDP Engineers and Inspectors, LLC		
Project Relative Weight				Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	70.0
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal				
Respondent's (and Subconsultant's, if Applicable) Reputation and Quality of Services - 35 pts				
Background of Respondent - 10 pts	7.0	6.0	6.0	6.3
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 10 pts	7.0	6.0	6.0	6.3
References, including government project examples - 2 pts	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3
Quality of Respondent's services - 3 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Availability and dedication of resources to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Respondent's past performance - 5 pts	4.0	3.0	5.0	4.0
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	25.0	21.0	23.0	23.0
Personnel (Including Subconsultant Personnel, if Applicable), Qualifications and Experience - 35 pts				
Background, Reputation, qualifications and relevant experience of assigned personnel - 20 pts	15.0	10.0	14.0	13.0
Availability and dedication of qualified personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's past performance - 5 pts	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	28.0	21.0	25.0	24.7
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 25 pts				
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility - 5 pts	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.3
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project - 4 pts	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.7
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work - 4 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent - 4 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods - 4 pts	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.7
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project - 4 pts	4.0	2.0	4.0	3.3
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	17.0	17.0	20.0	18.0
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts				
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.7
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.7
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 1 pt	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.3
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				70.0