

RFQ-2662 Design of Wharf 1 at Bayport Container Terminal

Budget: \$3,500,000 Final: 5/16/2023 at 2:00 PM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Jessica, 2-Craig, 3-Josh, 4-Candice, 5-Jackie	Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc.					WSP USA, INC.					Average Team Score	Average Team Score		
	Project Relative Weight					97.4							90.8	
	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5		E1	E2	E3	E4				E5
<b>SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal</b>														
<b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts</b>														
Background and Reputation of Respondent and Respondent's services, including References - 10 pts	10.0	10.0	9.0	10.0	10.0	9.8	10.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	9.2		
Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8		
Availability and dedication of resources to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.2		
Respondent's past performance - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.6		
<b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>	<b>25.0</b>	<b>24.0</b>	<b>24.0</b>	<b>25.0</b>	<b>25.0</b>	<b>24.6</b>	<b>24.0</b>	<b>24.0</b>	<b>23.0</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>21.0</b>	<b>22.8</b>		
<b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts</b>														
Background, reputation, qualifications, and relevant experience of assigned personnel - 25 pts	25.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	24.0	23.6	22.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	21.0	21.8		
Availability and dedication of qualified personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.4		
Certifications, registrations, and licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		
Personnel's past performance - 5 pts	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.6		
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	<b>39.0</b>	<b>37.0</b>	<b>38.0</b>	<b>38.0</b>	<b>39.0</b>	<b>38.2</b>	<b>36.0</b>	<b>36.0</b>	<b>37.0</b>	<b>36.0</b>	<b>34.0</b>	<b>35.8</b>		
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 30 pts</b>														
The methodology proposed to perform Port Houston projects and services, including design schedule - 15 pts	15.0	14.0	14.0	15.0	15.0	14.6	13.0	12.0	14.0	13.0	12.0	12.8		
Plan for communicating with Port Houston - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.4		
Plan for utilizing Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		
<b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>	<b>30.0</b>	<b>29.0</b>	<b>29.0</b>	<b>30.0</b>	<b>30.0</b>	<b>29.6</b>	<b>28.0</b>	<b>26.0</b>	<b>29.0</b>	<b>28.0</b>	<b>25.0</b>	<b>27.2</b>		
<b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts</b>														
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives														
Clarity, robustness, and brevity of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ														
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ														
Willingness to utilize Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>		
<b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>						<b>97.4</b>						<b>90.8</b>		

RFQ-2662 Design of Wharf 1 at Bayport Container Terminal

Budget: \$3,500,000 Final: 5/16/2023 at 2:00 PM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Jessica, 2-Craig, 3-Josh, 4-Candice, 5-Jackie	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.					Hatch Associates Consultants, Inc.					Average Team Score	
	Project Relative Weight					Average Team Score	Average Team Score					
	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5		E1	E2	E3	E4		E5
						90.4						85.0
<b>SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal</b>												
<b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts</b>												
Background and Reputation of Respondent and Respondent's services, including References - 10 pts	10.0	10.0	8.0	10.0	10.0	9.6	9.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.4
Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.4	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.6
Availability and dedication of resources to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.4	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.2
Respondent's past performance - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.4	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.2
<b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>	<b>23.0</b>	<b>21.0</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>24.0</b>	<b>24.0</b>	<b>22.8</b>	<b>21.0</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>20.0</b>	<b>21.4</b>
<b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts</b>												
Background, reputation, qualifications, and relevant experience of assigned personnel - 25 pts	23.0	22.0	20.0	22.0	23.0	22.0	21.0	21.0	19.0	21.0	15.0	19.4
Availability and dedication of qualified personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.4
Certifications, registrations, and licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's past performance - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.4
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	<b>36.0</b>	<b>35.0</b>	<b>33.0</b>	<b>36.0</b>	<b>36.0</b>	<b>35.2</b>	<b>34.0</b>	<b>34.0</b>	<b>34.0</b>	<b>36.0</b>	<b>28.0</b>	<b>33.2</b>
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 30 pts</b>												
The methodology proposed to perform Port Houston projects and services, including design schedule - 15 pts	14.0	13.0	13.0	14.0	13.0	13.4	12.0	12.0	10.0	12.0	13.0	11.8
Plan for communicating with Port Houston - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.4	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.2
Plan for utilizing Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8
<b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>	<b>28.0</b>	<b>28.0</b>	<b>26.0</b>	<b>29.0</b>	<b>27.0</b>	<b>27.6</b>	<b>26.0</b>	<b>27.0</b>	<b>22.0</b>	<b>26.0</b>	<b>27.0</b>	<b>25.6</b>
<b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts</b>												
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives												
Clarity, robustness, and brevity of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ												
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ												
Willingness to utilize Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.8</b>
<b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>						<b>90.4</b>						<b>85.0</b>

RFQ-2662 Design of Wharf 1 at Bayport Container Terminal

Budget: \$3,500,000 Final: 5/16/2023 at 2:00 PM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Jessica, 2-Craig, 3-Josh, 4-Candice, 5-Jackie	Moffatt & Nichol					Infinity Engineering Consultants, LLC						
	Project Relative Weight					Average Team Score						Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	78.4	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	72.4
<b>SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal</b>												
<b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts</b>												
Background and Reputation of Respondent and Respondent's services, including References - 10 pts	8.0	9.0	6.0	9.0	7.0	7.8	7.0	8.0	6.0	8.0	6.0	7.0
Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.8
Availability and dedication of resources to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.8	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	3.8
Respondent's past performance - 5 pts	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.4	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.4
<b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>	18.0	21.0	20.0	20.0	16.0	19.0	18.0	20.0	18.0	19.0	15.0	18.0
<b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts</b>												
Background, reputation, qualifications, and relevant experience of assigned personnel - 25 pts	18.0	21.0	18.0	20.0	15.0	18.4	15.0	20.0	17.0	15.0	12.0	15.8
Availability and dedication of qualified personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.8	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.4
Certifications, registrations, and licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's past performance - 5 pts	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.6	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.4
<b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>	30.0	34.0	30.0	32.0	27.0	30.6	27.0	32.0	30.0	26.0	23.0	27.6
<b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 30 pts</b>												
The methodology proposed to perform Port Houston projects and services, including design schedule - 15 pts	10.0	11.0	8.0	14.0	13.0	11.2	8.0	11.0	10.0	12.0	7.0	9.6
Plan for communicating with Port Houston - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.6	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.4
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods - 5 pts	3.0	4.0	3.0	5.0	3.0	3.6	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0
Plan for utilizing Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8
<b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>	22.0	25.0	20.0	29.0	26.0	24.4	20.0	25.0	24.0	26.0	19.0	22.8
<b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts</b>												
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives												
Clarity, robustness, and brevity of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ												
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ												
Willingness to utilize Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.4	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0
<b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.4	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0
<b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>						<b>78.4</b>						<b>72.4</b>