

RFQ-2638 Construction Material Testing Services for Construction of Wharf 7 at Bayport Container Terminal

Budget: \$800,000 Final Date: 08/22/2023 at 1:00 PM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Craig, 2-Ross, 3-Gene	Aviles Engineering Corporation				Terracon Consultants, Inc.			
Project Relative Weight				Average Team Score				Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	97.0	E1	E2	E3	90.0
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal								
Respondent's Reputation and Quality of Services - 35 pts								
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent's services - 10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	9.7
References, including government project examples - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3
Quality of Respondent's services - 7 pts	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	35.0	35.0	34.0	34.7	33.0	35.0	32.0	33.3
Personnel, Qualifications and Experience - 35 pts								
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	10.0	8.0	10.0	9.3	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.3
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	10.0	8.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Personnel's Past Performance -5 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	34.0	31.0	34.0	33.0	33.0	33.0	32.0	32.7
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 25 pts								
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility- 6 pts	5.0	6.0	6.0	5.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project- 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work- 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.7
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent- 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 4pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.7
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project- 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	24.0	25.0	24.0	24.3	22.0	21.0	18.0	20.3
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts								
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives- 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	3.7
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				97.0				90.0

RFQ-2638 Construction Material Testing Services for Construction of Wharf 7 at Bayport Container Terminal

Budget: \$800,000 Final Date: 08/22/2023 at 1:00 PM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Craig, 2-Ross, 3-Gene	Ninyo & Moore Geotechnical & Environmental Sciences Consultants				Raba Kistner, Inc.			
Project Relative Weight				Average Team Score				Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	88.7	E1	E2	E3	88.3
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal								
Respondent's Reputation and Quality of Services - 35 pts								
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent's services - 10 pts	9.0	10.0	10.0	9.7	9.0	10.0	8.0	9.0
References, including government project examples - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3
Quality of Respondent's services - 7 pts	6.0	7.0	7.0	6.7	6.0	7.0	6.0	6.3
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.7
Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	32.0	35.0	35.0	34.0	30.0	35.0	27.0	30.7
Personnel, Qualifications and Experience - 35 pts								
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	9.0	8.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	8.3
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	8.0	8.0	9.0	8.3	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Personnel's Past Performance -5 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	31.0	31.0	34.0	32.0	31.0	31.0	29.0	30.3
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 25 pts								
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility- 6 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7	5.0	6.0	5.0	5.3
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work- 3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent- 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 4pts	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.7	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project- 5 pts	4.0	5.0	2.0	3.7	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	22.0	21.0	15.0	19.3	22.0	25.0	21.0	22.7
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts								
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives- 2 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.7
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pts	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				88.7				88.3

RFQ-2638 Construction Material Testing Services for Construction of Wharf 7 at Bayport Container Terminal

Budget: \$800,000 Final Date: 08/22/2023 at 1:00 PM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Craig, 2-Ross, 3-Gene	Paradigm Consultants, Inc.				Tolunay-Wong Engineers, Inc.			
	Project Relative Weight			Average Team Score				Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	84.7	E1	E2	E3	80.3
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal								
Respondent's Reputation and Quality of Services - 35 pts								
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent's services - 10 pts	8.0	8.0	9.0	8.3	9.0	8.0	8.0	8.3
References, including government project examples - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3
Quality of Respondent's services - 7 pts	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.0	6.0	5.7
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.7	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.7
Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	29.0	30.0	29.0	29.3	30.0	30.0	27.0	29.0
Personnel, Qualifications and Experience - 35 pts								
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	8.0	8.0	9.0	8.3	9.0	7.0	8.0	8.0
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	8.0	8.0	9.0	8.3	8.0	7.0	8.0	7.7
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Personnel's Past Performance -5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	30.0	30.0	32.0	30.7	31.0	28.0	29.0	29.3
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 25 pts								
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility- 6 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.7
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work- 3 pts	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.7	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.7
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent- 2 pts	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.7	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.7
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 4pts	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.7
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	5.0	2.0	3.3
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	21.0	19.0	20.0	20.0	21.0	21.0	14.0	18.7
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts								
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives- 2 pts	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				84.7				80.3

RFQ-2638 Construction Material Testing Services for Construction of Wharf 7 at Bayport Container Terminal

Budget: \$800,000 Final Date: 08/22/2023 at 1:00 PM via Teams

Project Relative Weight	Associated Testing Laboratories, Inc.			Geotest Engineering, Inc.				
	Average Team Score			Average Team Score				
	E1	E2	E3	E1	E2	E3		
				77.0				76.3
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal								
Respondent's Reputation and Quality of Services - 35 pts								
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent's services - 10 pts	7.0	7.0	8.0	7.3	7.0	7.0	8.0	7.3
References, including government project examples - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	3.0	5.0	3.0	3.7
Quality of Respondent's services - 7 pts	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.0	5.0	5.3
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.7	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.7
Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	28.0	29.0	27.0	28.0	27.0	28.0	25.0	26.7
Personnel, Qualifications and Experience - 35 pts								
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	7.0	7.0	8.0	7.3	7.0	7.0	8.0	7.3
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	8.0	7.0	8.0	7.7	7.0	7.0	8.0	7.3
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Personnel's Past Performance -5 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	29.0	28.0	29.0	28.7	28.0	28.0	29.0	28.3
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 25 pts								
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility- 6 pts	3.0	5.0	2.0	3.3	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	2.0	3.3	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work- 3 pts	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.3	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.3
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent- 2 pts	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.7	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.7
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 4pts	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.7	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.7
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project- 5 pts	4.0	5.0	2.0	3.7	4.0	5.0	2.0	3.7
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	20.0	21.0	10.0	17.0	20.0	21.0	13.0	18.0
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts								
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives- 2 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pts	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				77.0				76.3

RFQ-2638 Construction Material Testing Services for Construction of Wharf 7 at Bayport Container Terminal

Budget: \$800,000 Final Date: 08/22/2023 at 1:00 PM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Craig, 2-Ross, 3-Gene	Earth Engineering, Inc.				QC Laboratories			
Project Relative Weight				Average Team Score				Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	71.7	E1	E2	E3	71.0
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal								
Respondent's Reputation and Quality of Services - 35 pts								
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.3	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.3
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent's services - 10 pts	6.0	6.0	8.0	6.7	6.0	6.0	8.0	6.7
References, including government project examples - 5 pts	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.3
Quality of Respondent's services -7 pts	5.0	5.0	6.0	5.3	6.0	4.0	6.0	5.3
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.7	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.7
Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	24.0	24.0	27.0	25.0	25.0	22.0	27.0	24.7
Personnel, Qualifications and Experience - 35 pts								
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel-10 pts	6.0	6.0	8.0	6.7	6.0	6.0	8.0	6.7
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	6.0	7.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	6.0	8.0	7.0
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Personnel's Past Performance -5 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	26.0	27.0	29.0	27.3	27.0	25.0	29.0	27.0
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 25 pts								
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility- 6 pts	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.3	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.3
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project- 5 pts	4.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work- 3 pts	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.3	3.0	2.0	1.0	2.0
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent- 2 pts	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.7
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 4pts	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.7	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.7
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	2.0	3.3	4.0	3.0	2.0	3.0
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	20.0	17.0	11.0	16.0	20.0	16.0	12.0	16.0
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts								
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives- 2 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pts	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				71.7				71.0

RFQ-2638 Construction Material Testing Services for Construction of Wharf 7 at Bayport Container Terminal

Budget: \$800,000 Final Date: 08/22/2023 at 1:00 PM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Craig, 2-Ross, 3-Gene	Atser			Millennium Engineers Group, Inc.				
				Average Team Score				Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	70.7	E1	E2	E3	70.7
Project Relative Weight								
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal								
Respondent's Reputation and Quality of Services - 35 pts								
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.3	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent's services - 10 pts	5.0	6.0	5.0	5.3	5.0	6.0	7.0	6.0
References, including government project examples - 5 pts	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.3	3.0	5.0	3.0	3.7
Quality of Respondent's services - 7 pts	5.0	5.0	6.0	5.3	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.7
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.7	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.7
Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	23.0	23.0	24.0	23.3	23.0	25.0	23.0	23.7
Personnel, Qualifications and Experience - 35 pts								
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 10 pts	5.0	6.0	8.0	6.3	5.0	7.0	7.0	6.3
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	6.0	6.0	8.0	6.7	6.0	7.0	7.0	6.7
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Personnel's Past Performance -5 pts	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	25.0	25.0	29.0	26.3	25.0	28.0	27.0	26.7
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 25 pts								
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility- 6 pts	3.0	5.0	3.0	3.7	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.3
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.7	4.0	4.0	2.0	3.3
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work- 3 pts	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.7	3.0	3.0	1.0	2.3
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent- 2 pts	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.7	2.0	3.0	1.0	2.0
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 4pts	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.7	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.7
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project- 5 pts	4.0	4.0	2.0	3.3	4.0	4.0	2.0	3.3
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	20.0	20.0	13.0	17.7	20.0	20.0	11.0	17.0
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts								
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives- 2 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pts	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES				70.7				70.7

RFQ-2638 Construction Material Testing Services for Construction of Wharf 7 at Bayport Container Terminal

Budget: \$800,000 Final Date: 08/22/2023 at 1:00 PM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Craig, 2-Ross, 3-Gene		Geotech Engineering and Testing			
Project Relative Weight					Average Team Score
		E1	E2	E3	69.3
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal					
Respondent's Reputation and Quality of Services - 35 pts					
Background of Respondent - 5 pts		3.0	3.0	4.0	3.3
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent's services - 10 pts		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
References, including government project examples - 5 pts		3.0	3.0	4.0	3.3
Quality of Respondent's services -7 pts		5.0	4.0	6.0	5.0
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 3 pts		3.0	3.0	2.0	2.7
Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts		4.0	3.0	4.0	3.7
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service		23.0	21.0	25.0	23.0
Personnel, Qualifications and Experience - 35 pts					
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel-10 pts		6.0	6.0	8.0	6.7
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts		6.0	6.0	8.0	6.7
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts		10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Personnel's Past Performance -5 pts		4.0	3.0	4.0	3.7
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience		26.0	25.0	30.0	27.0
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 25 pts					
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility- 6 pts		3.0	3.0	4.0	3.3
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project- 5 pts		4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work- 3 pts		3.0	2.0	1.0	2.0
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent- 2 pts		2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 4pts		4.0	2.0	2.0	2.7
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project- 5 pts		4.0	4.0	2.0	3.3
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits		20.0	15.0	13.0	16.0
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts					
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives- 2 pts		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pts		2.0	1.0	1.0	1.3
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies		4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					69.3