

RFQ-2366 Professional Engineering and Architectural Services for the Design of the Administration Building at Barbours Cut Terminal

Budget: \$2,000,000 Final Date: 12/19/2022 at 2:30 PM via Teams

| Evaluators: 1-Jessica, 2-Harvey, 3-Candice   | PGAL, Inc.              |      |      |                    | Martinez Architects |      |      |                    | AECOM Technical Services, Inc. |      |      |                    |
|--|-------------------------|------|------|--------------------|---------------------|------|------|--------------------|--------------------------------|------|------|--------------------|
|  | Project Relative Weight |      |      | Average Team Score |                     |      |      | Average Team Score |                                |      |      | Average Team Score |
|  | E1                      | E2   | E3   | 92.3               | E1                  | E2   | E3   | 90.3               | E1                             | E2   | E3   | 89.3               |
| <b>SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal</b>  |                         |      |      |                    |                     |      |      |                    |                                |      |      |                    |
| <b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts</b>  |                         |      |      |                    |                     |      |      |                    |                                |      |      |                    |
| Background and Reputation of Respondent, including references - 5 pts  | 5.0                     | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0                 | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0                            | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                |
| Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts   | 5.0                     | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0                 | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0                            | 5.0  | 4.0  | 4.7                |
| Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts  | 5.0                     | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                | 5.0                 | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                | 5.0                            | 4.0  | 4.0  | 4.3                |
| Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts  | 4.0                     | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.3                | 4.0                 | 4.0  | 4.0  | 4.0                | 4.0                            | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.3                |
| <b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>   | 19.0                    | 18.0 | 20.0 | 19.0               | 19.0                | 18.0 | 19.0 | 18.7               | 19.0                           | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.3               |
| <b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts</b>  |                         |      |      |                    |                     |      |      |                    |                                |      |      |                    |
| Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel - 25 pts   | 22.0                    | 23.0 | 23.0 | 22.7               | 22.0                | 23.0 | 22.0 | 22.3               | 22.0                           | 23.0 | 22.0 | 22.3               |
| Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts   | 5.0                     | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                | 5.0                 | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                | 4.0                            | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.3                |
| Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts   | 5.0                     | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0                 | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0                            | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                |
| Personnel's Past Performance - 5 pts   | 4.0                     | 4.0  | 4.0  | 4.0                | 4.0                 | 4.0  | 4.0  | 4.0                | 4.0                            | 4.0  | 4.0  | 4.0                |
| <b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>   | 36.0                    | 36.0 | 37.0 | 36.3               | 36.0                | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0               | 35.0                           | 36.0 | 36.0 | 35.7               |
| <b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts</b>  |                         |      |      |                    |                     |      |      |                    |                                |      |      |                    |
| The methodology proposed to perform Port Houston projects and services - 20 pts  | 18.0                    | 18.0 | 17.0 | 17.7               | 17.0                | 18.0 | 17.0 | 17.3               | 17.0                           | 17.0 | 15.0 | 16.3               |
| Plan for communicating with Port Houston - 5 pts   | 4.0                     | 5.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                | 4.0                 | 5.0  | 4.0  | 4.3                | 4.0                            | 5.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                |
| Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods - 5 pts  | 4.0                     | 5.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                | 4.0                 | 5.0  | 4.0  | 4.3                | 4.0                            | 5.0  | 4.0  | 4.3                |
| Plan for utilizing Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive - 5 pts  | 5.0                     | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0                 | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0                            | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                |
| <b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>   | 31.0                    | 33.0 | 32.0 | 32.0               | 30.0                | 33.0 | 30.0 | 31.0               | 30.0                           | 32.0 | 29.0 | 30.3               |
| <b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts</b>  |                         |      |      |                    |                     |      |      |                    |                                |      |      |                    |
| ·Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives<br>·Clarity and Brevity of Response<br>·Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ<br>·Willingness to utilize Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available and cost competitive | 5.0                     | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0                 | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                | 5.0                            | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                |
| <b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>  | 5.0                     | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0                 | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                | 5.0                            | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                |
| <b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>   |                         |      |      | <b>92.3</b>        |                     |      |      | <b>90.3</b>        |                                |      |      | <b>89.3</b>        |

RFQ-2366 Professional Engineering and Architectural Services for the Design of the Administration Building at Barbours Cut Terminal

Budget: \$2,000,000 Final Date: 12/19/2022 at 2:30 PM via Teams

| Evaluators: 1-Jessica, 2-Harvey, 3-Candice   | English + Associates Architects |      |      |                    | STOA International Architects, Inc. dba STOA Architects |      |      |                    | Powers Brown Architecture |      |      |                    |
|--|---------------------------------|------|------|--------------------|---|------|------|--------------------|---------------------------|------|------|--------------------|
|  | Project Relative Weight         |      |      | Average Team Score |   |      |      | Average Team Score |                           |      |      | Average Team Score |
|  | E1                              | E2   | E3   | 88.7               | E1  | E2   | E3   | 88.3               | E1                        | E2   | E3   | 88.3               |
| <b>SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal</b>  |                                 |      |      |                    |   |      |      |                    |                           |      |      |                    |
| <b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts</b>  |                                 |      |      |                    |   |      |      |                    |                           |      |      |                    |
| Background and Reputation of Respondent, including references - 5 pts  | 5.0                             | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0   | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0                       | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                |
| Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts   | 5.0                             | 5.0  | 4.0  | 4.7                | 5.0   | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0                       | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                |
| Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts  | 5.0                             | 4.0  | 4.0  | 4.3                | 5.0   | 3.0  | 4.0  | 4.0                | 5.0                       | 3.0  | 5.0  | 4.3                |
| Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts  | 4.0                             | 4.0  | 4.0  | 4.0                | 4.0   | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.3                | 4.0                       | 4.0  | 4.0  | 4.0                |
| <b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>   | 19.0                            | 18.0 | 17.0 | 18.0               | 19.0  | 17.0 | 19.0 | 18.3               | 19.0                      | 17.0 | 19.0 | 18.3               |
| <b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts</b>  |                                 |      |      |                    |   |      |      |                    |                           |      |      |                    |
| Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel - 25 pts   | 20.0                            | 22.0 | 22.0 | 21.3               | 22.0  | 20.0 | 23.0 | 21.7               | 22.0                      | 21.0 | 22.0 | 21.7               |
| Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts   | 5.0                             | 4.0  | 4.0  | 4.3                | 5.0   | 3.0  | 5.0  | 4.3                | 5.0                       | 3.0  | 5.0  | 4.3                |
| Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts   | 5.0                             | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0   | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0                       | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                |
| Personnel's Past Performance - 5 pts   | 4.0                             | 4.0  | 4.0  | 4.0                | 4.0   | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.3                | 4.0                       | 4.0  | 4.0  | 4.0                |
| <b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>   | 34.0                            | 35.0 | 35.0 | 34.7               | 36.0  | 32.0 | 38.0 | 35.3               | 36.0                      | 33.0 | 36.0 | 35.0               |
| <b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts</b>  |                                 |      |      |                    |   |      |      |                    |                           |      |      |                    |
| The methodology proposed to perform Port Houston projects and services - 20 pts  | 17.0                            | 17.0 | 17.0 | 17.0               | 15.0  | 17.0 | 17.0 | 16.3               | 16.0                      | 17.0 | 17.0 | 16.7               |
| Plan for communicating with Port Houston - 5 pts   | 4.0                             | 5.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                | 4.0   | 5.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                | 4.0                       | 5.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                |
| Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods - 5 pts  | 4.0                             | 5.0  | 4.0  | 4.3                | 4.0   | 4.0  | 4.0  | 4.0                | 3.0                       | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.0                |
| Plan for utilizing Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive - 5 pts  | 5.0                             | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0   | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0                       | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                |
| <b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>   | 30.0                            | 32.0 | 31.0 | 31.0               | 28.0  | 31.0 | 31.0 | 30.0               | 28.0                      | 31.0 | 32.0 | 30.3               |
| <b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts</b>  |                                 |      |      |                    |   |      |      |                    |                           |      |      |                    |
| ·Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives<br>·Clarity and Brevity of Response<br>·Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ<br>·Willingness to utilize Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available and cost competitive | 5.0                             | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0   | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                | 5.0                       | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                |
| <b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>  | 5.0                             | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0   | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                | 5.0                       | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                |
| <b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>   |                                 |      |      | <b>88.7</b>        |   |      |      | <b>88.3</b>        |                           |      |      | <b>88.3</b>        |

RFQ-2366 Professional Engineering and Architectural Services for the Design of the Administration Building at Barbours Cut Terminal

Budget: \$2,000,000 Final Date: 12/19/2022 at 2:30 PM via Teams

| Evaluators: 1-Jessica, 2-Harvey, 3-Candice   | Acardis IBI Group       |      |      |                    | PDG, Inc. dba PDG Architects |      |      |                    | MWA Architects, Inc. |      |      |                    |
|--|-------------------------|------|------|--------------------|------------------------------|------|------|--------------------|----------------------|------|------|--------------------|
|  | Project Relative Weight |      |      | Average Team Score |                              |      |      | Average Team Score |                      |      |      | Average Team Score |
|  | E1                      | E2   | E3   | 88.3               | E1                           | E2   | E3   | 87.3               | E1                   | E2   | E3   | 86.7               |
| <b>SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal</b>  |                         |      |      |                    |                              |      |      |                    |                      |      |      |                    |
| <b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts</b>  |                         |      |      |                    |                              |      |      |                    |                      |      |      |                    |
| Background and Reputation of Respondent, including references - 5 pts  | 5.0                     | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0                          | 5.0  | 4.0  | 4.7                | 4.0                  | 5.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                |
| Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts   | 5.0                     | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0                          | 5.0  | 4.0  | 4.7                | 5.0                  | 5.0  | 4.0  | 4.7                |
| Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts  | 5.0                     | 4.0  | 4.0  | 4.3                | 5.0                          | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                | 4.0                  | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.3                |
| Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts  | 4.0                     | 4.0  | 4.0  | 4.0                | 4.0                          | 4.0  | 4.0  | 4.0                | 4.0                  | 4.0  | 4.0  | 4.0                |
| <b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>   | 19.0                    | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.3               | 19.0                         | 18.0 | 17.0 | 18.0               | 17.0                 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 17.7               |
| <b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts</b>  |                         |      |      |                    |                              |      |      |                    |                      |      |      |                    |
| Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel - 25 pts   | 22.0                    | 20.0 | 23.0 | 21.7               | 22.0                         | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.3               | 21.0                 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 21.7               |
| Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts   | 5.0                     | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                | 5.0                          | 4.0  | 4.0  | 4.3                | 4.0                  | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.3                |
| Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts   | 5.0                     | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0                          | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0                  | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                |
| Personnel's Past Performance - 5 pts   | 4.0                     | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.3                | 4.0                          | 4.0  | 4.0  | 4.0                | 4.0                  | 4.0  | 4.0  | 4.0                |
| <b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>   | 36.0                    | 33.0 | 38.0 | 35.7               | 36.0                         | 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.7               | 34.0                 | 35.0 | 36.0 | 35.0               |
| <b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts</b>  |                         |      |      |                    |                              |      |      |                    |                      |      |      |                    |
| The methodology proposed to perform Port Houston projects and services - 20 pts  | 17.0                    | 16.0 | 17.0 | 16.7               | 16.0                         | 17.0 | 16.0 | 16.3               | 15.0                 | 17.0 | 16.0 | 16.0               |
| Plan for communicating with Port Houston - 5 pts   | 4.0                     | 5.0  | 4.0  | 4.3                | 4.0                          | 5.0  | 4.0  | 4.3                | 4.0                  | 5.0  | 4.0  | 4.3                |
| Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods - 5 pts  | 3.0                     | 5.0  | 4.0  | 4.0                | 4.0                          | 5.0  | 4.0  | 4.3                | 3.0                  | 5.0  | 4.0  | 4.0                |
| Plan for utilizing Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive - 5 pts  | 5.0                     | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0                          | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0                  | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                |
| <b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>   | 29.0                    | 31.0 | 30.0 | 30.0               | 29.0                         | 32.0 | 29.0 | 30.0               | 27.0                 | 32.0 | 29.0 | 29.3               |
| <b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts</b>  |                         |      |      |                    |                              |      |      |                    |                      |      |      |                    |
| ·Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives<br>·Clarity and Brevity of Response<br>·Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ<br>·Willingness to utilize Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available and cost competitive | 5.0                     | 3.0  | 5.0  | 4.3                | 5.0                          | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                | 5.0                  | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                |
| <b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>  | 5.0                     | 3.0  | 5.0  | 4.3                | 5.0                          | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                | 5.0                  | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                |
| <b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>   |                         |      |      | <b>88.3</b>        |                              |      |      | <b>87.3</b>        |                      |      |      | <b>86.7</b>        |

RFQ-2366 Professional Engineering and Architectural Services for the Design of the Administration Building at Barbours Cut Terminal

Budget: \$2,000,000 Final Date: 12/19/2022 at 2:30 PM via Teams

| Evaluators: 1-Jessica, 2-Harvey, 3-Candice   | Precision Architecture  |      |      |                    | Prozign Architects |      |      |                    |             |
|--|-------------------------|------|------|--------------------|--------------------|------|------|--------------------|-------------|
|  | Project Relative Weight |      |      | Average Team Score |                    |      |      | Average Team Score |             |
|  | E1                      | E2   | E3   | 85.3               | E1                 | E2   | E3   | 83.0               |             |
| <b>SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal</b>  |                         |      |      |                    |                    |      |      |                    |             |
| <b>Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts</b>  |                         |      |      |                    |                    |      |      |                    |             |
| Background and Reputation of Respondent, including references - 5 pts  | 4.0                     | 5.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                | 4.0                | 5.0  | 4.0  | 4.3                |             |
| Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts   | 5.0                     | 5.0  | 4.0  | 4.7                | 5.0                | 5.0  | 4.0  | 4.7                |             |
| Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts  | 4.0                     | 3.0  | 5.0  | 4.0                | 3.0                | 3.0  | 5.0  | 3.7                |             |
| Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts  | 4.0                     | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.3                | 4.0                | 4.0  | 4.0  | 4.0                |             |
| <b>Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service</b>   | 17.0                    | 17.0 | 19.0 | 17.7               | 16.0               | 17.0 | 17.0 | 16.7               |             |
| <b>Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 40 pts</b>  |                         |      |      |                    |                    |      |      |                    |             |
| Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel - 25 pts   | 20.0                    | 21.0 | 22.0 | 21.0               | 20.0               | 21.0 | 21.0 | 20.7               |             |
| Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts   | 4.0                     | 3.0  | 4.0  | 3.7                | 3.0                | 3.0  | 4.0  | 3.3                |             |
| Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts   | 5.0                     | 5.0  | 4.0  | 4.7                | 5.0                | 5.0  | 4.0  | 4.7                |             |
| Personnel's Past Performance - 5 pts   | 4.0                     | 4.0  | 4.0  | 4.0                | 4.0                | 4.0  | 4.0  | 4.0                |             |
| <b>Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience</b>   | 33.0                    | 33.0 | 34.0 | 33.3               | 32.0               | 33.0 | 33.0 | 32.7               |             |
| <b>Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts</b>  |                         |      |      |                    |                    |      |      |                    |             |
| The methodology proposed to perform Port Houston projects and services - 20 pts  | 15.0                    | 17.0 | 17.0 | 16.3               | 14.0               | 17.0 | 17.0 | 16.0               |             |
| Plan for communicating with Port Houston - 5 pts   | 4.0                     | 5.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                | 4.0                | 5.0  | 5.0  | 4.7                |             |
| Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods - 5 pts  | 3.0                     | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.0                | 3.0                | 4.0  | 4.0  | 3.7                |             |
| Plan for utilizing Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority- and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available, and cost competitive - 5 pts  | 5.0                     | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                | 5.0                | 5.0  | 5.0  | 5.0                |             |
| <b>Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits</b>   | 27.0                    | 31.0 | 32.0 | 30.0               | 26.0               | 31.0 | 31.0 | 29.3               |             |
| <b>Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts</b>  |                         |      |      |                    |                    |      |      |                    |             |
| -Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives<br>-Clarity and Brevity of Response<br>-Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ<br>-Willingness to utilize Port Houston enrolled Small, Minority and/or Woman-Owned businesses to the extent they are qualified, available and cost competitive | 4.0                     | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.3                | 4.0                | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.3                |             |
| <b>Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies</b>  | 4.0                     | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.3                | 4.0                | 4.0  | 5.0  | 4.3                |             |
| <b>TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES</b>   |                         |      |      | <b>85.3</b>        |                    |      |      |                    | <b>83.0</b> |