

RFQ-2214 Construction Materials Testing Services Repair of Wharves 24, 25 and 26 at Turning Basin Terminal

Budget: \$50,000 05/23/2022 at 10:00 AM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Eddy, 2-Ross, 3-Chaney, 4-Gene	HVJ Associates					Raba Kistner						
	Average Team Score					Average Team Score						
Project Relative Weight	E1	E2	E3	E4	94.8	E1	E2	E3	E4	87.0		
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal												
Calculation of SMWBE Participation	0.00					0.00						
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts												
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5		
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5		
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.8		
Quality of Respondent's services -3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.5		
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.8		
Respondent's Past Performance - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.5		
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	19.0	20.0	21.0	18.0	19.5	16.0	20.0	20.0	14.0	17.5		
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts												
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel-10 pts	8.0	8.0	10.0	9.0	8.8	9.0	8.0	10.0	9.0	9.0		
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	8.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	9.0	7.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	8.8		
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	9.8	8.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	9.3		
Personnel's Past Performance -5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8	2.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	3.8		
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	31.0	33.0	35.0	30.0	32.3	26.0	33.0	35.0	29.0	30.8		
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts												
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility- 10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	9.5	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.8		
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project- 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5		
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work- 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5		
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent- 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5		
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project- 10 pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	9.5	7.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	8.8		
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	40.0	40.0	40.0	32.0	38.0	30.0	37.0	37.0	32.0	34.0		
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts												
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives- 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.8		
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8		
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES						94.8						87.0

RFQ-2214 Construction Materials Testing Services Repair of Wharves 24, 25 and 26 at Turning Basin Terminal

Budget: \$50,000 05/23/2022 at 10:00 AM via Teams

Evaluators: 1-Eddy, 2-Ross, 3-Chaney, 4-Gene	Paradigm Consultants, Inc.					Geotech Engineering and Testing					
Project Relative Weight	Average Team Score					Average Team Score					
	E1	E2	E3	E4	82.3	E1	E2	E3	E4	81.5	
SMWBE Participation - 35% Organizational Goal/MWBE - 30% Aspirational Goal											
Calculation of SMWBE Participation	0.00					0.00					
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 20 pts											
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.5	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 5 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.8	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	
References, including PHA project examples - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	
Quality of Respondent's services -3 pts	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.3	
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 2 pts	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Respondent's Past Performance - 2 pts	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.3	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	20.0	16.0	15.0	17.0	17.0	15.0	15.0	18.0	15.0	15.8	
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts											
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel-10 pts	6.0	3.0	8.0	8.0	6.3	6.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	7.3	
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Authority projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	7.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	8.8	9.0	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.8	
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel -10 pts	9.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	9.5	8.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	9.3	
Personnel's Past Performance -5 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.3	
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	26.0	28.0	33.0	29.0	29.0	26.0	32.0	31.0	29.0	29.5	
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 40 pts											
Detail the methods to be employed in performance of each facet of consultant responsibility- 10 pts	9.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	9.0	7.8	
Include a summary of what needs to be done to accomplish the goals of this project- 5 pts	3.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Contract a specific QA/QC Plan with assigned roles and responsibilities that will be used during all phases of work- 5 pts	2.0	10.0	1.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
A separate plan for communicating with the Port Authority during the contract term is also required from each respondent- 5 pts	3.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Any unique or specialized processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 5 pts	2.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.3	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.3	
Availability of staff shall be dedicated to this project- 10 pts	7.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	8.8	7.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	8.8	
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	26.0	41.0	33.0	28.0	32.0	30.0	32.0	32.0	33.0	31.8	
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts											
Demonstrated understanding of this RFQ and its objectives- 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pts	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.5	
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.5	
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					82.3						81.5