

RFQ- 2037 FICAP Corrosion Inspection Bayport Terminal

Budget: \$300,000 12/01/2021 at 2:00 PM via Teams

Evaluators: 1) Derek G, 2) Hugh M, 3) Grant G 4) Sam C.	Wiss, Janney, Elstner Associates, Inc					Moffatt & Nichol				
	Project Relative Weight				Average Team Score					Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	93.5	E1	E2	E3	E4	91.3
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service - 25 pts										
Background of Respondent - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.3
References, including PHA project examples - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.5
Quality of Respondent's services - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.5
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 4 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.3	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.3
Respondent's Past Performance - 4 pts	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	24.0	24.0	23.0	25.0	24.0	23.0	24.0	23.0	23.0	23.3
Personnel, Qualification and Experience - 35 pts										
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 15 pts	13.0	13.0	15.0	15.0	14.0	13.0	12.0	13.0	15.0	13.3
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 5 pts	4.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.3
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8
Personnel's Past Performance - 10 pts	9.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.3	9.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.3
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	31.0	30.0	34.0	34.0	32.3	31.0	30.0	32.0	33.0	31.5
Performance Plan and Other Benefits - 35 pts										
Methodology Proposed - 20 pts	18.0	17.0	19.0	19.0	18.3	19.0	18.0	16.0	19.0	18.0
Plan for Communicating with PHA - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.5
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10 pts	9.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.3	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.3
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	32.0	31.0	33.0	33.0	32.3	33.0	32.0	29.0	33.0	31.8
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts										
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.8
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					93.5					91.3

POND & Company				
				Average Team Score
E1	E2	E3	E4	87.0
5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.8
4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3
4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.8
3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.3
4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.8
23.0	24.0	23.0	21.0	22.8
14.0	10.0	14.0	13.0	12.8
5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.5
9.0	8.0	9.0	8.0	8.5
33.0	25.0	32.0	29.0	29.8
18.0	18.0	18.0	15.0	17.3
5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.8
10.0	7.0	9.0	7.0	8.3
33.0	30.0	31.0	27.0	30.3
2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.8
1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.5
4.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.3
				87.0