

FINAL Shortlist Scoring Matrix

Budget: \$3,000,000

June 16, 2020, 10:00 a.m. via WebEx

Project Relative Weight	AECOM				Average Team Score	Hatch				Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4	87.3	E1	E2	E3	E4	91.4
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service 25 pts										
Background of Respondent - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - 3 pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
References, including PHA project examples - 5 pts	5.0	3.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Quality of Respondent's services - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	3.5	5.0	4.4	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.8
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - 5pts	3.0	4.0	3.5	4.0	3.6	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.3
Respondent's Past Performance - 5 pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	21.0	22.0	22.0	22.0	21.8	22.0	23.0	23.0	22.0	22.5
Personnel, Qualification and Experience 40 pts										
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- 15 pts	13.0	15.0	13.0	13.0	13.5	14.0	14.0	13.0	14.0	13.8
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - 10 pts	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.5	9.0	8.4
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - 5 pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's Past Performance - 10 pts	8.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.8	9.0	8.0	10.0	9.0	9.0
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	34.0	37.0	35.0	35.0	35.3	36.0	35.0	36.5	37.0	36.1
Performance Plan and Other Benefits 30 pts										
Methodology Proposed - 10 pts	9.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.5	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.3
Plan for Communication - 10 pts	9.0	8.0	9.0	9.0	8.8	9.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	9.5
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- 10 pts	8.0	8.0	7.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.0
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	26.0	25.0	23.0	27.0	25.3	26.0	30.0	28.0	27.0	27.8
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies 5 pts										
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - 1 pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - 2 pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - 2 pt	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					87.3					91.4

Budget: \$3,000,000

Project Relative Weight	Jacobs				Average Team Score	Lloyd Engineering, Inc				Average Team Score
	E1	E2	E3	E4		E1	E2	E3	E4	
					96.3					86.1
Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service 25 pts										
Background of Respondent - <u>2</u> pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.8
Reputation of Respondent and Respondent services - <u>3</u> pts	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.8
References, including PHA project examples - <u>5</u> pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.5
Quality of Respondent's services - <u>5</u> pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8
Availability and Dedication of Resources to PHA projects - <u>5</u> pts	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.5	3.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.3
Respondent's Past Performance - <u>5</u> pts	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5
Total Average - Respondents Reputation and Quality of Service	23.0	25.0	24.0	25.0	24.3	21.0	25.0	23.0	21.0	22.5
Personnel, Qualification and Experience 40 pts										
Background, Reputation, Qualification and Relevant experience of assigned personnel- <u>15</u> pts	14.0	15.0	15.0	14.0	14.5	12.0	13.0	13.0	10.0	12.0
Availability and Dedication of Qualified Personnel to Port Houston projects, including, if required, the ability to perform multiple projects at the same time - <u>10</u> pts	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	9.3	8.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.8
Certifications, Registrations, and Licenses of available and dedicated personnel - <u>5</u> pts	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Personnel's Past Performance - <u>10</u> pts	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	10.0	9.0	8.8
Total Average - Personnel, Qualification and Experience	37.0	39.0	38.0	37.0	37.8	33.0	35.0	37.0	33.0	34.5
Performance Plan and Other Benefits 30 pts										
Methodology Proposed - <u>10</u> pts	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	9.0	7.5	9.0	8.4
Plan for Communication - <u>10</u> pts	9.0	10.0	9.0	10.0	9.5	8.0	8.0	10.0	9.0	8.8
Unique or Specialized Processes, organization, capabilities, safety or environmental considerations, best practices, or quality control methods- <u>10</u> pts	9.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.8	7.0	8.0	7.0	8.0	7.5
Total Average - Performance Plan and Other Benefits	28.0	30.0	29.0	30.0	29.3	23.0	25.0	24.5	26.0	24.6
Overall Compliance with PHA Policies 5 pts										
Understanding of the RFQ and its objectives - <u>1</u> pts	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Clarity and Brevity of Response - <u>2</u> pts	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.5
Thoroughness of Response, including submission of all items required by RFQ - <u>2</u> pt	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Total Average - Overall Compliance with PHA Policies	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES					96.3					86.1