

**Port of Houston Authority Detailed Evaluation Form for CSP
CSP-658 Revised Demolition of Lash Dock at Barbours Cut Terminal**

Budget: \$3,292,730

Date: 5/8/2018

1	2				3				4				5												
	Cayo, LLC 1400 Everman Pkwy, Ste. 127 Fort Worth, TX 76140				GSD Trading USA, Inc. 7135 South Lake Houston Parkway Houston, TX 77049				Shoreline Foundation, Inc. 2781 SW 56th Avenue Pembroke Park, FL 33023				Taylor Marine Construction 707 Harborside Way Kemah, TX 77565				Weeks Marine, Inc. 304 Gaille Drive Covington, LA 70433								
Project Relative Weight	33.5				56.75				79.3				41.8				35.3								
	Average Team Score				Average Team Score				Average Team Score				Average Team Score				Average Team Score								
	E1	E2	E3	E4	E1	E2	E3	E4	E1	E2	E3	E4	E1	E2	E3	E4	E1	E2	E3	E4	E1	E2	E3	E4	
Purchase Price - 45 pts																									
Purchase Price Calculation per "Instruction to Respondents"																									
Total Average - Price																									
	Actual Price				Actual Price				Actual Price				Actual Price				Actual Price								
	\$8,742,600.00				\$6,965,000.00				\$5,876,800.00				\$14,843,342.00				\$12,035,000.00								
	0.0				17.0				45.0				0.0				0.0								
Vendor's Reputation , Quality of Services and/or Product, Safety Record - 20 pts																									
Reputation of Respondent and of the Respondent's goods or services = 5																									
The Respondent's past performance =5																									
Quality of Respondent's goods or services =5																									
Safety and environmental records of Respondent=5																									
Total Average - Vendor's Reputation																									
	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5
	0.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.8	0.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.8	0.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.8	0.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.8	0.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.8
	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5
	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.3	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.5	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	0.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.8
	10.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	16.0	10.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	17.5	10.0	19.0	18.0	18.0	16.25	10.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	17.5	6.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	16.5
Benefit to PHA - 15 pts																									
Extent to which the goods or services meet the Port Authority's needs =7																									
Total long-term cost term to the Port Authority to acquire the Respondent's goods or services =8																									
Total Average - Benefit to PHA																									
	3.0	7.0	7.0	4.0	5.3	5.0	7.0	7.0	4.0	5.8	4.0	7.0	7.0	4.0	5.5	3.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	6.0	3.0	7.0	7.0	4.0	5.3
	5.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.3	6.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.5	6.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.5	1.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	6.3	2.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	6.5
	8.0	15.0	15.0	12.0	12.5	11.0	15.0	15.0	12.00	13.25	10.0	15.0	15.0	12.0	13.0	4.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	12.25	5.0	15.0	15.0	12.0	11.75
Compliance with PHA Policies - 5 pts																									
Full completion of the Competitive Sealed/Proposal Response Form =2																									
Submission of the items required by the Bid/Proposal Documents, including all items set forth in Part C of the Competitive Sealed Bid/Proposal form =3																									
Total Average - Compliance with PHA Policies																									
	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Small Business Participation - 12 pts																									
Small Business Calculation per "Instruction to Respondent's" = 12																									
Total Average - Small Business Participation and Local Business																									
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Local Business - 3 pts																									
Local Business Calculation per "Instruction to Respondent's" = 3																									
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TEAM AVERAGE TOTAL SCORES																									
	33.5				56.75				79.25				41.75				35.25								